


China State Construction Engineering (Hong Kong) Ltd.

Contract No. CV/2007/03

**Development at Anderson Road –
Site Formation and Associated
Infrastructure Works****Monthly EM&A Report for
April 2015**

May 2015

	Name	Signature
Prepared & Checked:	Joanne Ko	
Reviewed, Approved & Certified:	Yiu Wah Fung (ETL)	

Version: 0

Date: 20 May 2015

Disclaimer

This report is prepared for China State Construction Engineering (Hong Kong) Ltd. and is given for its sole benefit in relation to and pursuant to Contract No. CV/2007/03 Development at Anderson Road – Site Formation and Associated Infrastructure Works and may not be disclosed to, quoted to or relied upon by any person other than China State Construction Engineering (Hong Kong) Ltd. without our prior written consent. No person (other than China State Construction Engineering (Hong Kong) Ltd.) into whose possession a copy of this report comes may rely on this report without our express written consent and China State Construction Engineering (Hong Kong) Ltd. may not rely on it for any purpose other than as described above.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.

11/F, Grand Central Plaza, Tower 2, 138 Shatin Rural Committee Road, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong.

Tel: (852) 3922 9000 Fax: (852) 2317 7609 www.aecom.com

Ref.: OAPANDSNEM00_0_1468L.15

20 May 2015

By Post and Fax: 2407 8382

Engineer's Representative
Ove Arup & Partners
Level 5, Festival Walk
80 Tat Chee Avenue
Kowloon Tong, Kowloon
Hong Kong

Attention: Mr. Dennis Leung

Dear Sir,

**Re: Contract No. CV/2007/03 (Environmental Permit No. EP -140/2002)
Development at Anderson Road
Site Formation and Associated Infrastructure Works
Monthly EM&A Report for April 2015**

Reference is made to the Environmental Team's submission of the draft Monthly EM&A Report for April 2015 received by e-mail on 20 May 2015 for our review and comment.

Please be informed that we have no adverse comment on the captioned submission. We write to verify the captioned submission in accordance with Condition 3.3 of the Environmental Permit No. EP-140/2002.

Thank you very much for your kind attention and please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned should you have any queries.

Yours sincerely,



David Yeung
Independent Environmental Checker

c.c. AECOM Attn.: Mr. Y. W. Fung
 CSCEC Attn.: Mr. C. S. Yeung

Fax: 3922 9797
Fax: 2702 6553

Q:\Projects\OAPANDSNEM00\Corr\OAPANDSNEM00_0_1468L.15.doc

Table of Content

	Page
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
1 INTRODUCTION.....	3
1.1 Background	3
1.2 Scope of Report	3
1.3 Project Organization.....	3
1.4 Summary of Construction Works	4
1.5 Summary of EM&A Programme Requirements	5
2 AIR QUALITY MONITORING.....	6
2.1 Monitoring Requirements	6
2.2 Monitoring Equipment	6
2.3 Monitoring Locations	6
2.4 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	7
2.5 Monitoring Methodology.....	7
2.6 Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month	10
2.7 Monitoring Results	10
3 NOISE MONITORING.....	11
3.1 Monitoring Requirements	11
3.2 Monitoring Equipment	11
3.3 Monitoring Locations	11
3.4 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	12
3.5 Monitoring Methodology.....	12
3.6 Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month	13
3.7 Monitoring Results	13
4 ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT	14
4.1 Site Inspection.....	14
4.2 Advice on the Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status.....	15
4.3 Environmental Licenses and Permits.....	16
4.4 Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures.....	16
4.5 Summary of Exceedances of the Environmental Quality Performance Limit	16
4.6 Summary of Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions	16
5 FUTURE KEY ISSUES.....	18
5.1 Construction Programme for the Coming Two Months.....	18
5.2 Key Issues for the Coming Two Months	18
5.3 Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month	19
6 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	20
6.1 Conclusions.....	20
6.2 Recommendations	20

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Contact Information of Key Personnel
Table 2.1	Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 2.2	Locations of Air Quality Monitoring Stations
Table 2.3	Air Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration
Table 2.4	Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period
Table 2.5	Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period
Table 3.1	Noise Monitoring Equipment
Table 3.2	Locations of Impact Noise Monitoring Stations
Table 3.3	Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration
Table 3.4	Summary of Impact Noise Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period
Table 4.1	Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status

List of Figures

Figure 1.1	General Layout Plan
Figure 2.1	Monitoring Locations

List of Appendices

Appendix A	Project Organization Structure
Appendix B	Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures
Appendix C	Summary of Action and Limit Levels
Appendix D	Calibration Certificates of Equipments
Appendix E	EM&A Monitoring Schedules
Appendix F	Air Quality Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentations
Appendix G	Noise Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentations
Appendix H	Meteorological Data for the Reporting Month
Appendix I	Event Action Plan
Appendix J	Cumulative Statistics on Exceedances, Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Project “Development at Anderson Road – Site Formation and Associated Infrastructure Works” (hereafter called “the Project”) is proposed to form platforms for housing development and associated uses in area of about 20 hectares, and to carry out necessary infrastructural upgrading or improvement works to cater for the proposed development.

China State Construction Engineering (Hong Kong) Limited (CSCE) was commissioned as the Contractor of the Project. AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. (AECOM) was employed by CSCE as the Environmental Team (ET) to undertake the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) works for the Project.

The impact EM&A for the Project includes air quality and noise monitoring. The EM&A programme for Sau Ming Primary School (ID 4) and Sau Mau Ping Catholic Primary School (ID 5) commenced on 1 May 2008, while for Kwun Tong Government Secondary School (ID 1A), On Yat House (ID 2) and Sau Nga House (ID 3) commenced on 1 June 2008.

The monitoring stations ID 4 & ID 5 will serve both the entire Development of Anderson Road (Schedule 3 Designated Project (DP)) project as well as the Widening of Po Lam Road (Schedule 2 DP) project.

The construction for the Widening of Po Lam Road (Schedule 2 DP) project was commenced on 21 September 2011.

This report documents the findings of EM&A works for ID 1A, ID 2, ID 3, ID 4 and ID 5 conducted in the period between 1 and 30 April 2015. As informed by the Contractor, construction activities in the reporting period were:-

- Slope stabilization and upgrading works at Portion C and E
- Earthwork and C&D stockpile at Portion A, C and R16b
- Temporary traffic arrangement and road work at Po Lam Road, Sau Mau Ping road and Lee On road
- Toe / Berm planter and platform drainage construction at slope C1 and C10
- Retaining wall structural works and backfilling works at R16b
- Trench excavation and pipe laying at portion C and public road
- Structural works at Footbridges A, B and C
- Breaking of rock trench at public road
- Drainage construction at public road
- Watermain works at main site and public road
- Installation of Vertical Artificial and Granite Stone Facing at Skin Wall R15
- Installation of metal barriers at main site and R15b
- Installation of Steel footbridge B and C
- Asphalt laying at L1, L2, L3 road
- Brick laying at footpath at L4 and L5 road
- Construction of Cascade
- Construction of buttress wall at slope C1 – C4
- Construction of noise barriers at main site and R15b
- Slope landscape works at Portion E

Breaches of Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality

All 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP results were below the Action and Limit Levels in the reporting month.

Breaches of Action and Limit Levels for Noise

According to the information provided by the Contractor, no Action Level exceedance was recorded since no noise related complaint was received in the reporting month.

No exceedance of Limit Level of noise was recorded in the reporting month.

Complaint, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution

According to the information provided by the Contractor, no environmental complaint and no notification of summons and successful prosecution were received in the reporting month.

Reporting Changes

There was no reporting change in the reporting month.

Future Key Issues

Key issues to be considered in the coming month included:-

- Properly store and label oil drums and chemical containers placed on site;
- Proper chemicals, chemical wastes and wastes management;
- Maintenance works should be carried out within roofed, paved areas with proper drainage system to handle run-off from maintenance works;
- Collection and segregation of construction waste and general refuse should be carried out properly and regularly;
- Site runoff should be properly collected and treated prior to discharge;
- Regular review and maintenance of drainage systems and desilting facilities;
- Exposed slopes/soil stockpiles should be properly treated to avoid generation of silty surface run-off during rainstorm;
- Proper mitigation measures should be provided to avoid relocation of treated contaminated soil;
- Regular review and maintenance of wheel washing facilities provided at all site entrances/exits;
- Suppress dust generated from work processes with use of bagged cements, earth movements, drilling works, breaking works, excavation activities, exposed areas/slopes/soil stockpiles and haul road traffic;
- Conduct regular inspection of the working machineries within works area to avoid any dark smoke emission and oil leakage;
- Quieter powered mechanical equipment should be used;
- Provision of proper and effective noise control measures, such as erection of movable noise barriers during blasting, breaking and drilling works and at crushing plant works area and provision of acoustic material wrapping to breaking tips of breakers; and
- Proper protection and regular inspection of existing trees, transplanted/retained trees.

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

- 1.1.1 The Project site is located in the East Kowloon District. It is bounded by Anderson Road to the north, the realigned Sau Mau Ping Road to the south, Po Lam Road to the east, and Lee On Road and Shun On Road to the west.
- 1.1.2 The objective of the Project “Development at Anderson Road Site Formation and Associated Infrastructure Works” under Contract CV/2007/03 (hereafter called “the Project”) is to provide land for constructing public housing and government and public facilities. The development will provide 16,100 public housing units for 48,000 people in phases between 2015 and 2016.
- 1.1.3 The scope of works of this Project includes construction of site formation, roads, drains and upgrading of existing infrastructure to provide usable land of about 20 hectares for housing and associated government, institution or community uses at the site between existing Anderson Road Quarry and Sau Mau Ping Road in Kwun Tong District.
- 1.1.4 The Project is anticipated to complete in mid 2015.
- 1.1.5 Part of the Project involving widening of existing Po Lam Road is a designated project and is governed by an Environmental Permit (EP) EP-140/2002, while the rest of the Project is non-designated. Baseline monitoring covering the entire Project site was undertaken and baseline monitoring report was prepared prior to commencement of construction of the Project in accordance with Conditions 3.2 and 3.4 of the EP (EP-140/2002) and the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Manual. The construction for the Widening of Po Lam Road was commenced on 21 September 2011.
- 1.1.6 According to the EP and the EM&A Manual of the Project, there is a need of an EM&A programme including air quality and noise monitoring.
- 1.1.7 The EM&A programme for Sau Ming Primary School (ID 4) and Sau Mau Ping Catholic Primary School (ID 5) commenced on 1 May 2008, while for Kwun Tong Government Secondary School (ID 1A), On Yat House (ID 2) and Sau Nga House (ID 3) commenced on 1 June 2008.
- 1.1.8 The monitoring stations ID 4 & ID 5 will serve both the entire Development of Anderson Road (Schedule 3 Designated Project (DP)) project as well as the Widening of Po Lam Road. (Schedule 2 DP) project.
- 1.1.9 AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. (AECOM) was employed by the Contractor, China State Construction Engineering (Hong Kong) Limited (CSCE), as the Environmental Team (ET) to undertake the EM&A works for the Project. In accordance with the EM&A Manual of the Project, environmental monitoring of air quality, noise and environmental site inspections would be required for this Project.

1.2 Scope of Report

- 1.2.1 This is the eighty-first monthly EM&A Report under the Contract CV/2007/03 - Development at Anderson Road – Site Formation and Associated Infrastructure Works. This report presents a summary of the environmental monitoring and audit works, list of activities and mitigation measures proposed by the ET for the Project in April 2015 for ID 1A, ID 2, ID 3, ID 4 and ID 5.

1.3 Project Organization

- 1.3.1 The project organization structure is shown in Appendix A. The key personnel contact names and numbers are summarized in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1 Contact Information of Key Personnel

Party	Position	Name	Telephone	Fax
ER (Ove Arup)	Chief Resident Engineer	Dennis Leung	3656 3000	3656 3100
	Senior Resident Engineer	Michael Wright	3656 3000	3656 3100
	Assistant Resident Engineer (Civil)	Heidi Fung	2407 0300	3656 3100
IEC (ENVIRON)	Independent Environmental Checker	David Yeung	3465 2888	3465 2899
Contractor (CSCE)	Site Agent	Holmes Wong	2704 2095	2702 6553
	Environmental Officer	Thomas Cheung	2704 2095	2702 6553
ET (AECOM)	ET Leader	Yiu Wah Fung	3922 9366	2317 7609

1.4 Summary of Construction Works

1.4.1 As informed by the Contractor, the Contractor has carried out the following major activities in the reporting month:-

- Slope stabilization and upgrading works at Portion C and E
- Earthwork and C&D stockpile at Portion A, C and R16b
- Temporary traffic arrangement and road work at Po Lam Road, Sau Mau Ping road and Lee On road
- Toe / Berm planter and platform drainage construction at slope C1 and C10
- Retaining wall structural works and backfilling works at R16b
- Trench excavation and pipe laying at portion C and public road
- Structural works at Footbridges A, B and C
- Breaking of rock trench at public road
- Drainage construction at public road
- Watermain works at main site and public road
- Installation of Vertical Artificial and Granite Stone Facing at Skin Wall R15
- Installation of metal barriers at main site and R15b
- Installation of Steel footbridge B and C
- Asphalt laying at L1, L2, L3 road
- Brick laying at footpath at L4 and L5 road
- Construction of Cascade
- Construction of buttress wall at slope C1 – C4
- Construction of noise barriers at main site and R15b
- Slope landscape works at Portion E

1.4.2 The general layout plan of the Project site showing the contract area is shown in Figure 1.1.

1.4.3 The environmental mitigation measures implementation schedule are presented in Appendix B.

1.5 Summary of EM&A Programme Requirements

1.5.1 The EM&A programme required environmental monitoring for air quality, noise and environmental site inspections for air quality, noise, water quality, chemical and waste management. The EM&A requirements for each parameter described in the following sections include:-

- All monitoring parameters;
- Monitoring schedules for the reporting month and forthcoming months;
- Action and Limit levels for all environmental parameters;
- Event / Action Plan;
- Environmental mitigation measures, as recommended in the Project EIA study final report; and
- Environmental requirement in contract documents.

2 AIR QUALITY MONITORING

2.1 Monitoring Requirements

2.1.1 In accordance with the EM&A Manual, 1-hour and 24-hour TSP levels at 5 air quality monitoring stations were established. Impact 1-hour TSP monitoring was conducted for at least three times every 6 days, while impact 24-hour TSP monitoring was carried out for at least once every 6 days. The Action and Limit level of the air quality monitoring is provided in Appendix C.

2.2 Monitoring Equipment

2.2.1 24-hour TSP air quality monitoring was performed using High Volume Sampler (HVS) located at each designated monitoring station. The HVS meets all the requirements of the EM&A Manual. Portable direct reading dust meters were used to carry out the 1-hour TSP monitoring. Brand and model of the equipment is given in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Brand and Model
Portable direct reading dust meter (1-hour TSP)	Sibata Digital Dust Monitor (Model No. LD-3 and LD-3B)
High Volume Sampler (24-hour TSP)	Tisch Total Suspended Particulate Mass Flow Controlled High Volume Air Sampler (Model No. TE-5170 & GMW-2310)

2.3 Monitoring Locations

2.3.1 Monitoring stations, ID 2, ID 3, ID 4 and ID 5, were set up at the proposed locations in accordance with EM&A Manual, while monitoring station, ID 1A, was set up at a location agreed by the ER and IEC. Figure 2.1 shows the locations of the monitoring stations. Table 2.2 describes the details of the monitoring stations.

Table 2.2 Locations of Air Quality Monitoring Stations

ID	Location	Monitoring Station
1A	Kwun Tong Government Secondary School	Roof top of the premises facing Anderson Road
2	On Yat House	Roof top of the premises facing Lee On Road
3	Sau Nga House	Roof top of the premises facing Sau Mau Ping Road
4	Sau Ming Primary School	Roof top of the premises
5	Sau Mau Ping Catholic Primary School	Roof top of the premises

2.4 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

2.4.1 Table 2.3 summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of impact TSP monitoring.

Table 2.3 Air Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Monitoring Station	Parameter	Frequency and Duration
ID 1A, ID 2, ID 3, ID 4 & ID5	1-hour TSP	At least 3 times every 6 days
	24-hour TSP	At least once every 6 days

2.5 Monitoring Methodology

2.5.1 24-hour TSP Monitoring

(a) The HVS was installed in the vicinity of the air sensitive receivers. The following criteria were considered in the installation of the HVS:-

- (i) A horizontal platform with appropriate support to secure the sampler against gusty wind was provided.
- (ii) The distance between the HVS and any obstacles, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the HVS.
- (iii) A minimum of 2 meters separation from walls, parapets and penthouse for rooftop sampler.
- (iv) A minimum of 2 meters separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally is required.
- (v) No furnace or incinerator flues nearby.
- (vi) Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
- (vii) Permission was obtained to set up the samplers and access to the monitoring stations.
- (viii) A secured supply of electricity was obtained to operate the samplers.
- (ix) The sampler was located more than 20 meters from any dripline.
- (x) Any wire fence and gate, required to protect the sampler, did not obstruct the monitoring process.
- (xi) Flow control accuracy was kept within $\pm 2.5\%$ deviation over 24-hour sampling period.

(b) Preparation of Filter Papers

- (i) Glass fibre filters, G810 were labelled and sufficient filters that were clean and without pinholes were selected.
- (ii) All filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around 25 °C and not variable by more than ± 3 °C; the relative humidity (RH) was < 50% and not variable by more than $\pm 5\%$. A convenient working RH was 40%.
- (iii) All filter papers were prepared and analysed by ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd., which is a HOKLAS accredited laboratory and has comprehensive quality assurance and quality control programmes.

(c) Field Monitoring

- (i) The power supply was checked to ensure the HVS works properly.
- (ii) The filter holder and the area surrounding the filter were cleaned.
- (iii) The filter holder was removed by loosening the four bolts and a new filter, with stamped number upward, on a supporting screen was aligned carefully.
- (iv) The filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter.
- (v) The swing bolts were fastened to hold the filter holder down to the frame. The pressure applied was sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
- (vi) Then the shelter lid was closed and was secured with the aluminium strip.
- (vii) The HVS was warmed-up for about 5 minutes to establish run-temperature conditions.
- (viii) A new flow rate record sheet was set into the flow recorder.
- (ix) On site temperature and atmospheric pressure readings were taken and the flow rate of the HVS was checked and adjusted at around 1.3 m³/min, and complied with the range specified in the EM&A Manual (i.e. 0.6-1.7 m³/min).
- (x) The programmable digital timer was set for a sampling period of 24 hrs, and the starting time, weather condition and the filter number were recorded.
- (xi) The initial elapsed time was recorded.
- (xii) At the end of sampling, on site temperature and atmospheric pressure readings were taken and the final flow rate of the HVS was checked and recorded.
- (xiii) The final elapsed time was recorded.
- (xiv) The sampled filter was removed carefully and folded in half length so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter were in contact.
- (xv) It was then placed in a clean plastic envelope and sealed.
- (xvi) All monitoring information was recorded on a standard data sheet.
- (xvii) Filters were then sent to ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. for analysis.

(d) Maintenance and Calibration

- (i) The HVS and its accessories were maintained in good working condition, such as replacing motor brushes routinely and checking electrical wiring to ensure a continuous power supply.
- (ii) HVSs were calibrated using TE-5025A Calibration Kit upon installation and thereafter at bi-monthly intervals.
- (iii) Calibration certificate of the TE-5025A Calibration Kit and the HVSs are provided in Appendix D.

2.5.2 1-hour TSP Monitoring

(a) Measuring Procedures

The measuring procedures of the 1-hour dust meter were in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual as follows:-

- (i) Turn the power on.
- (ii) Close the air collecting opening cover.
- (iii) Push the "TIME SETTING" switch to [BG].
- (iv) Push "START/STOP" switch to perform background measurement for 6 seconds.
- (v) Turn the knob at SENS ADJ position to insert the light scattering plate.
- (vi) Leave the equipment for 1 minute upon "SPAN CHECK" is indicated in the display.
- (vii) Push "START/STOP" switch to perform automatic sensitivity adjustment. This measurement takes 1 minute.
- (viii) Pull out the knob and return it to MEASURE position.
- (ix) Push the "TIME SETTING" switch the time set in the display to 3 hours.
- (x) Lower down the air collection opening cover.
- (xi) Push "START/STOP" switch to start measurement.

(b) Maintenance and Calibration

- (i) The 1-hour TSP meter was calibrated at 1-year intervals against a continuous particulate TEOM Monitor, Series 1400ab. Calibration certificates of the Laser Dust Monitors are provided in Appendix D.

2.6 Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

2.6.1 The schedule for environmental monitoring in April 2015 is provided in Appendix E.

2.7 Monitoring Results

2.7.1 The monitoring results for 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP are summarized in Tables 2.4 and 2.5 respectively. Detailed air quality monitoring results are presented in Appendix F.

Table 2.4 Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

	Average ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Range ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
ID 1A	76.0	68.6 – 82.1	201.5	500
ID 2	76.6	70.4 – 81.1	197.0	500
ID 3	77.4	72.2 – 81.5	203.7	500
ID 4	76.9	70.9 – 81.1	264.6	500
ID 5	76.4	70.3 – 80.3	267.4	500

Table 2.5 Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

	Average ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Range ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
ID 1A	40.8	13.6 – 70.1	170.2	260
ID 2	35.8	9.5 – 70.7	200.0	260
ID 3	45.4	18.9 – 67.9	200.0	260
ID 4	34.6	15.2 – 55.4	181.3	260
ID 5	41.7	15.4 – 66.5	180.8	260

2.7.2 All 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP results were below the Action and Limit Levels in the reporting month.

2.7.3 The event action plan is annexed in Appendix I.

2.7.4 Major dust sources during the dust monitoring included construction dust from the Project site, construction dust from other construction sites nearby and nearby traffic emission.

2.7.5 Weather information including wind speed and wind direction is annexed in Appendix H. The information was obtained from Hong Kong Observatory Tseung Kwan O Automatic Weather Station and Anemometer Station.

3 NOISE MONITORING

3.1 Monitoring Requirements

3.1.1 In accordance with the EM&A Manual, impact noise levels should be obtained at 5 noise monitoring stations. Impact noise monitoring was conducted for at least once per week during the construction phase of the Project. The Action and Limit level of the noise monitoring is provided in Appendix C.

3.2 Monitoring Equipment

3.2.1 Noise monitoring was performed using sound level meter at each designated monitoring station. The sound level meters deployed comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications (IEC) 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1) specifications. Acoustic calibrator was deployed to check the sound level meters at a known sound pressure level. Brand and model of the equipment is given in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1 Noise Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Brand and Model
Integrated Sound Level Meter	Rion (Model No. NL-31) & B&K (Model No. 2238)
Acoustic Calibrator	Rion (Model No. NC-73)

3.3 Monitoring Locations

3.3.1 Monitoring stations, ID 2, ID3, ID 4 and ID 5, were set up at the proposed locations in accordance with EM&A Manual, while monitoring station, ID 1A, was set up at a location agreed by the ER and IEC. Figure 2.1 shows the locations of the monitoring stations. Table 3.2 describes the details of the monitoring stations.

Table 3.2 Locations of Impact Noise Monitoring Stations

ID	Location	Monitoring Station
1A	Kwun Tong Government Secondary School	1m from the exterior of the roof top façade of the premises facing Anderson Road
2	On Yat House	1m from the exterior of the roof top façade of the premises facing Lee On Road
3	Sau Nga House	1m from the exterior of the roof top façade of the premises facing Sau Mau Ping Road
4	Sau Ming Primary School	1m from the exterior of the roof top façade of the premises facing Sau Mau Ping Road
5	Sau Mau Ping Catholic Primary School	1m from the exterior of the roof top façade of the premises facing Po Lam Road

3.4 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

3.4.1 Table 3.3 summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of impact noise monitoring.

Table 3.3 Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Monitoring Station	Parameter and Duration	Frequency
ID 1A, ID 2, ID 3, ID 4 & ID5	30-mins measurement at each monitoring station between 0700 and 1900 on normal weekdays. L_{eq} , L_{10} and L_{90} would be recorded.	At least once per week

3.5 Monitoring Methodology

3.5.1 Monitoring Procedure

- (a) The sound level meter was set on a tripod at a height of 1.2 m above the ground.
- (b) Façade measurements were made at all monitoring locations.
- (c) The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
- (d) Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
 - (i) frequency weighting: A
 - (ii) time weighting: Fast
 - (iii) time measurement: $L_{eq(30\text{-minutes})}$ during non-restricted hours i.e. 07:00 – 1900 on normal weekdays; $L_{eq(5\text{-minutes})}$ during restricted hours i.e. 19:00 – 23:00 and 23:00 – 07:00 of normal weekdays, whole day of Sundays and Public Holidays
- (e) Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using the acoustic calibrator for 94dB(A) at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1 dB(A), the measurement would be considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement would be required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- (f) During the monitoring period, the L_{eq} , L_{10} and L_{90} were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- (g) Noise measurement was paused during periods of high intrusive noise (e.g. dog barking, helicopter noise) if possible. Observations were recorded when intrusive noise was unavoidable.
- (h) Noise monitoring was cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10m/s.

3.5.2 Maintenance and Calibration

- (a) The microphone head of the sound level meter was cleaned with soft cloth at regular intervals.
- (b) The meter and calibrator were sent to the supplier or HOKLAS laboratory to check and calibrate at yearly intervals.
- (c) Calibration certificates of the sound level meters and acoustic calibrators are provided in Appendix D.

3.6 Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

3.6.1 The schedule for environmental monitoring in April 2015 is provided in Appendix E.

3.7 Monitoring Results

3.7.1 The monitoring results for noise are summarized in Table 3.4 and the monitoring data is provided in Appendix G.

Table 3.4 Summary of Impact Noise Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

	Average, dB(A), L_{eq} (30 mins)	Range, dB(A), L_{eq} (30 mins)	Limit Level, dB(A), L_{eq} (30 mins)
ID 1A	61.8	52.8 – 64.6	*65/70
ID 2	63.3	53.7 – 65.1	75
ID 3	62.7	59.5 – 65.4	75
ID 4	61.8	59.3 – 64.2	*65/70
ID 5	59.4	51.4 – 61.2	*65/70

Note: *Daytime noise Limit Level of 70dB(A) applies to education institutions while 65dB(A) applies during school examination period.

3.7.2 According to the information provided by the Contractor, no noise complaint was received in the reporting month; hence, no Action Level exceedance was recorded.

3.7.3 No Limit Level exceedance of noise was recorded at all monitoring stations in the reporting month.

3.7.4 The event action plan is annexed in Appendix I.

3.7.5 Major noise sources during the noise monitoring included construction noise from the Project site, construction noise from other construction sites nearby, nearby traffic noise and noise from school activities and community noise.

4 ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT

4.1 Site Inspection

- 4.1.1 Site Inspections were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor the implementation of proper environmental pollution control and mitigation measures for the Project. In the reporting month, 5 site inspections were carried out on 2, 9, 16, 23 and 30 April 2015. Particular observations and status of non-compliance issued by IEC are described below.
- 4.1.2 The Contractor has rectified most of the observations as identified during the environmental site inspections in the reporting month within an agreed time frame. Rectification of the remaining identified items are undergoing by the Contractor. Follow-up inspections on the status on provision of mitigation measures will be conducted to ensure all identified items are mitigated properly.
- 4.1.3 Air Quality Impact
- An open stockpile of dusty materials was observed at Bridge A near the watermain trench. The Contractor should cover the stockpile entirely by impervious sheeting or spray it with water so as to maintain the entire surface wet.
 - Tarpaulin screen was incomplete facing Po Lam Road at Footbridge C. The Contractor should ensure the screen is complete and intact to minimize dust nuisance to the public.
 - Dusty haul road was observed at Road L3. The Contractor should enhance the water spraying frequency for dust suppression.
- 4.1.4 Construction Noise Impact
- Nil.
- 4.1.5 Water Quality Impact
- Stagnant water was found in two oil drums. The Contractor should cover the stagnant water or clear the water to prevent mosquito breeding.
 - Stagnant water was observed from a drip tray at Footbridge A. The Contractor should clear the stagnant water to prevent mosquito breeding.
- 4.1.6 Chemical and Waste Management
- Chemical containers were observed on bare ground without drip tray at Footbridge A-B. The Contractor should place the chemical containers inside a drip tray to retain any oil leakage.
 - General refuse and stagnant water was accumulating below the scaffold at Footbridge B. The Contractor should clear the general refuse regularly to maintain site hygiene and good housekeeping, and clear the stagnant water to prevent mosquito breeding.
 - A generator and chemical containers were observed on bare ground without drip trays. The Contractor should provide drip trays to the generator and chemicals to retain any oil leakage.
 - Oil stains were observed on Road L1 below the slope. The Contractor should clear the oil stains to prevent land contamination.
- 4.1.7 Landscape and Visual Impact
- Nil

4.2 Advice on the Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status

4.2.1 The Contractor is registered as a chemical waste producer for this Project. C&D materials and wastes sorting were carried out on site. Receptacles were available for C&D wastes and general refuse collection.

4.2.2 As advised by the Contractor, a total of 7029.48 m³ C&D material was generated on site in the reporting month. 741.28 m³ of hard rock and large broken concrete was generated and transferred to Anderson Road Quarry for further process.

For C&D waste, 0 kg of metals was generated and collected by registered recycling collector. 10kg of paper cardboard packing and 10kg of plastic were generated on site and collected by registered recycling collector. No chemical waste was collected by licensed chemical waste collectors. 37.31 tonnes of other types of wastes (e.g. general refuse and tree debris) were generated on site and disposed of at North East New Territories (NENT) Landfill.

4.2.3 The Contractor is advised to properly maintain on site C&D materials and wastes collection, sorting and recording system and maximize reuse / recycle of C&D materials and wastes. The Contractor is reminded to properly maintain the site tidiness and dispose of the wastes accumulated on site regularly and properly.

4.2.4 The Contractor is reminded that chemical waste containers should be properly treated and stored temporarily in designated chemical waste storage area on site in accordance with the Code of Practise on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes.

4.3 Environmental Licenses and Permits

4.3.1 The environmental licenses and permits for this Project and valid in the reporting month is summarized in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1 Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status

Statutory Reference	Description	Permit No.	Valid Period		Remarks
			From	To	
EIAO	Environmental Permit	EP-140/2002	--	--	- Widening of a section of Po Lam Road - Improvement works to existing roads
APCO	NA notification	--	16/04/09	--	- Whole Construction Site
WPCO	Discharge License	WT00020353-2014	04/12/14	31/08/19	- Discharge of Construction Runoff
	Discharge License	EP670//C0613/293	02/02/12	28/02/17	- Discharge from Road L6
WDO	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	5213-292-C3249-32	19/03/08	--	- Whole Construction Site
	Waste Charges Account	7006839	12/03/08	--	- Whole Construction Site
NCO	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RE0164-15	23/02/15	08/08/2015	- Whole Construction Site

4.4 Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

4.4.1 In response to the site audit findings, the Contractor carried out corrective actions promptly for particular items recorded. Outstanding items were closely monitored to ensure mitigation measures are implemented properly.

4.4.2 A summary of the Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures (EMIS) is presented in Appendix B. Many necessary mitigation measures were implemented properly.

4.5 Summary of Exceedances of the Environmental Quality Performance Limit

4.5.1 All 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP results were below the Action and Limit Levels in the reporting month.

4.5.2 According to the information provided by the Contractor, no noise complaint was received in the reporting month; hence, no Action Level exceedance was recorded.

4.5.3 No Limit Level exceedance for noise was recorded at all monitoring stations in the reporting month.

4.5.4 Cumulative statistics on exceedances is provided in Appendix J.

4.6 Summary of Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

4.6.1 Complaints shall be referred to the ET Leader for action. The ET Leader shall undertake the following procedures upon receipt of any complaint:-

- Log complaint and date of receipt onto the complaint database and inform the IC(E) immediately;
 - Investigate the complaint to determine its validity, and assess whether the source of the problem is due to works activities;
 - Identify mitigation measures in consultation with the IC(E) if a complaint is valid and due to works;
 - Advise the Contractor if additional mitigation measures are required;
 - Review the Contractor's response to identified mitigation measures, and the updated situation;
 - If the complaint is transferred from EPD, submit interim report to EPD on status of the complaint investigation and follow-up action within the time frame assigned by EPD;
 - Undertake additional monitoring and audit to verify the situation if necessary, and review that circumstances leading to the complaint to not recur;
 - Report investigation results and subsequent actions to complainant (if the source of complaint is EPD, the results should be reported within the time frame assigned by EPD); and
 - Record the complaint, investigation, the subsequent actions and the results in the monthly EM&A reports.
- 4.6.2 During any complaint investigation work, the Contractor and the ER shall cooperate with the ET Leader in providing all necessary information and assistance for completion of the investigation. If mitigation measures are identified in the investigation, the Contractor shall promptly carry out the mitigation. The ER shall ensure that all necessary measures have been carried out by the Contractor.
- 4.6.3 Referring to the information provided by the Contractor, no environmental complaint and no notification of summons and successful prosecution were received in the reporting month.
- 4.6.4 Cumulative statistics on complaints, notification of summons and successful prosecutions is provided in Appendix J.

5 FUTURE KEY ISSUES

5.1 Construction Programme for the Coming Two Months

5.1.1 The major construction works in May and June 2015 will be:-

- Slope stabilization and upgrading works at Portion C and E
- Earthwork and C&D stockpile at Portion A, C and R16b
- Temporary traffic arrangement and road work at Po Lam Road, Sau Mau Ping road and Lee On road
- Toe / Berm planter and platform drainage construction at slope C1 and C10
- Retaining wall structural works and backfilling works at R16b
- Trench excavation and pipe laying at portion C and public road
- Structural works at Footbridges A, B and C
- Breaking of rock trench at public road
- Drainage construction at public road
- Watermain works at main site and public road
- Installation of Vertical Artificial and Granite Stone Facing at Skin Wall R15
- Installation of metal barriers at main site and R15b
- Installation of Steel footbridge B and C
- Asphalt laying at L1, L2, L3 road
- Brick laying at footpath at L4 and L5 road
- Construction of Cascade
- Construction of buttress wall at slope C1 – C4
- Construction of noise barriers at main site and R15b
- Slope landscape works at Portion E
- Installation of tower crane at Footbridge A
- Launching of steel truss of footbridge B

5.2 Key Issues for the Coming Two Months

5.2.1 Key issues to be considered in the coming months included:-

- Properly store and label oil drums and chemical containers placed on site;
- Proper chemicals, chemical wastes and wastes management;
- Maintenance works should be carried out within roofed, paved areas with proper drainage system to handle run-off from maintenance works;
- Collection and segregation of construction waste and general refuse should be carried out properly and regularly;
- Site runoff should be properly collected and treated prior to discharge;
- Regular review and maintenance of drainage systems and desilting facilities;
- Exposed slopes/soil stockpiles should be properly treated to avoid generation of silty surface run-off during rainstorm;
- Proper mitigation measures should be provided to avoid relocation of treated contaminated soil;
- Regular review and maintenance of wheel washing facilities provided at all site entrances/exits;
- Suppress dust generated from work processes with use of bagged cements, earth movements, drilling works, breaking works, excavation activities, exposed areas/slopes/soil stockpiles and haul road traffic;
- Conduct regular inspection of the working machineries within works area to avoid any dark smoke emission and oil leakage;
- Quieter powered mechanical equipment should be used;
- Provision of proper and effective noise control measures, such as erection of movable noise barriers during blasting, breaking and drilling works and at crushing plant works area and provision of acoustic material wrapping to breaking tips of breakers; and
- Proper protection and regular inspection of existing trees, transplanted/retained trees.

5.3 Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month

5.3.1 The tentative schedule for environmental monitoring in May 2015 is provided in Appendix E.

6 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 Conclusions

- 6.1.1 The construction phase of the project commenced in May 2008.
- 6.1.2 1-hour TSP, 24-hour TSP and noise monitoring were carried out in the reporting month.
- 6.1.3 All 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP results were below the Action and Limit Levels in the reporting month.
- 6.1.4 According to the Contractor's information, no noise complaint was received in the reporting month. Hence, no Action Level exceedance was recorded.
- 6.1.5 No Limit Level exceedance for noise was recorded at all monitoring stations in the reporting month.
- 6.1.6 Environmental site inspections were carried out 5 times in April 2015. Recommendations on remedial actions were given to the Contractor for the deficiencies identified during the site audit.
- 6.1.7 According to the information provided by the Contractor, no environmental complaint and no notification of summons and successful prosecution were received in the reporting month.

6.2 Recommendations

6.2.1 According to the environmental site inspections performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations were provided:-

Air Quality Impact

- Stockpiles should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water so as to maintain the entire surface wet.
- Tarpaulin screen should be complete and intact to minimize dust nuisance to the public.

Construction Noise Impact

- No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.

Water Quality Impact

- Stagnant water should be covered or cleared to prevent mosquito breeding.


Chemical and Waste Management

- Chemicals and generators should be placed inside drip trays to retain any oil leakage.
- General refuse should be cleared regularly to maintain site hygiene and good housekeeping.
- Oil stains should be cleared to prevent land contamination.

Landscape and Visual Impact

- No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.

FIGURES



LEGEND			
●	MONITORING STATIONS		




DEVELOPMENT AT ANDERSON ROAD
SITE FORMATION AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE WORKS
MONITORING LOCATIONS

SCALE	N.T.S.	DATE	APR 08
CHECK	CWHY	DRAWN	RWHW
JOB No.	60043155	DRAWING No.	FIG 2.1
		REV	-

APPENDIX A

Project Organization Structure



——— Employment Relationship
 - - - - - Working Relationship

	Contract No. CV/2007/03	SCALE	N.T.S.	DATE	2009
	Development at Anderson Road – Site Formation and Associated Infrastructure Works	CHECK	ENFL	DRAWN	LCHC
	Project Organization Structure	JOB NO.	60043155	APPENDIX	Rev
			A	-	

APPENDIX B

**Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation
Measures**

Appendix B - Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures

Environmental Mitigation Measures		Location	Implementation Status
Construction Noise Impact			
Site Formation	Silenced powered mechanical equipment (PME) for most equipment (including drill rig, backhoe, dump truck, breaker and crane) and the decrease of percentage on time usage of drill rig among the Central Area from 50% to 40% is proposed.	All construction sites	V
	Temporary movable noise barrier shall be used to shield the noise emanating from the drilling rig in order to provide adequate shielding for the affected NSRs.	All construction sites	V
Construction Air Quality Impact			
General Site	Mean vehicle speed of haulage trucks at 10km/hr.	All construction sites	V
Practice	Twice daily watering of all open site areas.	All construction sites	V
	Regular watering (once every 1 hour) of all site roads and access roads with frequent truck movement.	All construction sites	@
	During road transportation of excavated spoil, vehicles should be covered to avoid dust impact. Wheel washing facilities should be installed at all site exits together with regular watering of the site access roads.	All construction sites	V
	Tarpaulin covering of all dusty vehicle loads transported to, from and between site locations.	All construction sites	V
	Establishment and use of vehicle wheel and body washing facilities at the exit points of the site, combined with cleaning of public roads were	Site exits	V

	necessary.		
General Site Practice	Suitable side and tailboards on haulage vehicles.	All construction sites	V
	Watering of temporary stockpiles.	All construction sites	@
Blasting	Use of select aggregate and fines to stem the charge with drill holes and watering of blast face.	All construction sites	V
	Use of vacuum extraction drilling methods.	All construction sites	V
	Carefully sequenced blasting.	All construction sites	V
Crushing	Fabric filters installed for the crushing plant.	All construction sites	V
	Water sprays on the crusher.	All construction sites	V
Loading and Unloading Points, and conveyor Belt System	Water sprays at all fixed loading and unloading points (at the crusher and conveyor belts).	All construction sites	V
	The loading point at the crusher is enclosed with dust collection system installed.	All construction sites	V
	When transferring materials from conveyor belt or crusher to the dump trucks, chutes or dust curtains are used for controlling dust.	All construction sites	V
	Cover the conveyor belts with steel roof and canvas sides.	All construction sites	V
Construction Water Quality Impact			
Construction Phase	All active working areas should be bounded to retain storm water with sufficient retention time to ensure that suspended solids are not discharged from the site in concentrations above those specified in the TM for the Victoria Harbour (Phase I) WCZ. All fuel storage areas should be bounded	Site drainage system	V

	with drainage directed to an oil interceptor.		
	Separate treatment facilities may be required for effluent from site offices, toilets (unless chemical toilets are used) and canteens.	Site drainage system	V
	Discharged wastewater from the construction sites to surface water and/or public drainage systems should be controlled through licensing. Discharge should follow fully the terms and conditions in the licenses.	All works area	V
	Relevant practice for dealing with various type of construction discharges provided in EPD's ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should be adopted.	All works area	V
Waste Management			
Waste Disposal	Difference types of wastes should be segregated, stored, transported and disposed of separately in accordance with the relevant legislative requirements and guidelines as proper practice of waste management.	All construction sites	@
	Sorting of wastes should be done on-site. Different types of wastes should be segregated and stored in different stockpiles, containers or skips to enhance recycling of materials and proper disposal of spoil.	All construction sites	V
	Excavated spoil should be used as much as possible to minimize off-side fill material requirements and disposal of spoil.	All construction sites	V
	Chemical waste should be recycled on-site or removed by licenced companies. It should be handled according to the Code of Practice on the	All construction sites	V

	Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical wastes. When off-site disposal is required, it should be collected and delivered by licenced contractors to Tsing Yi Chemical Waste Treatment Facility and disposed of in accordance with the Chemical Waste (General) Regulation.		
	Necessary mitigation measures should be adopted to prevent the uncontrolled disposal of chemical and hazardous waste into air, soil, surface waters and ground waters.	All construction sites	@
Waste Storage	Chemical material storage areas should be bounded, constructed of impervious materials and have the capacity to contain 120 percent of the total volume of the containers. Indoor storage areas must have sufficient ventilation to prevent the build-up of fumes, and must be capable of evacuating the space in the event of an accidental release. Outdoor storage areas must be covered with a canopy or contain provisions for the safe removal of rainwater. In both cases, storage areas must not be connected to the foul or stormwater sewer system.	All construction sites	@
	Dangerous materials as defined under the DGO, including fuel, oil and lubricants, should be stored and properly labelled on site in accordance with the requirements in the DGO. If transportation of hazardous materials is necessary, hazardous materials, chemical wastes and fuel should be packed or stored in containers or vessels of suitable design and construction to prevent leakage, spillage or escape.	All construction sites	V
	Human waste should be discharged into septic tanks provided by the contractors and removed regularly by a hygiene services company. Refuse	All construction sites	V

	containers such as open skips should be provided at every work site for use by the workforce. On-site refuse collection points must also be provided.		
Landscape and Visual			
Additional Measures	Planting and vegetation restoration (including transplanted trees) on soil slopes including restoration of grassland, scrub and woodland on slopes around the development platforms and access road. Restoration would be undertaken using predominantly native species.	Whole development	N/A
Additional Measures	Screen planting along the access roads, to limit impacts of elevated structures and rock slopes.	Whole development	N/A
	Colouring of shotcrete slopes.	Whole development	N/A
	Limited planting on shotcrete slopes.	Whole development	V
	Landscape buffers and planting in and around the development itself to screen partially close views of the site.	Whole development	N/A
	Screen planting in front of retaining walls / granite cladding to those walls to reduce glare and visual impacts.	Whole development	N/A
	Careful design of road elevated structure and abutments, to limit visual impacts.	Whole development	V
	Roadside landscape features / hardworks to limit visual impacts.	Whole development	V
	Conservation of CDG or CDV recovered from the site for re-use in the landscape restoration.	Whole development	N/A
	Preservation (by transplanting if necessary) of any trees identified as being	Whole development	V

	of particular landscape value.		
Ecology			
	Woodland planting on soft cut slopes available (about 13.4ha) within the development site. Native species, preferably with documented ecological utility, should be used.	Soft cut slopes	N/A
	Seeds of the native species when possible should be added into the hydroseeding mix. Seedlings should be pit planted with placement of slow release fertilizer.	Soft cut slopes	N/A
	Maintenance and service, including weeding, fertilizing, replacement of dead plants, etc. should be performed during the first 1 years of planting to enhance the survival rate of the plants.	Soft cut slopes	N/A
Contaminated Land			
	In accordance with the approved Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) and Remediation Action Plan (RAP) in Nov 2006, it is recommended that cement solidification / stabilization prior to on-site backfill for heavy metal contaminated soil and excavation followed by disposal at designated landfill for organic contaminated soil. Upon the completion of the proposed remediation exercise as outlined in CAR & RAP, a Remediation Report will be compiled for submission to EPD to demonstrate that the proposed soil remediation has been carried out properly and satisfactorily. Results from the confirmation tests will also be included in the Remediation Report. Photos showing the area of excavation, the solidification process, and remediated soil and site shall also be included in the report for reference.	Locations specified in CAR	N/A (Works In Progress)

Landfill Gas Hazard			
	Further site investigation should be carried out during the detailed design stage in order to measure landfill gas around the perimeter of the site, to re-confirm that there is no preferential pathway for landfill gas migration and to assess the potential for landfill gas hazards on the future development. If a landfill gas hazard is identified, mitigation measures should be proposed and implemented to address the hazard.	The whole development site	N/A

Legend: V = implemented;
 x = not implemented;
 @ = partially implemented;
 N/A = not applicable

APPENDIX C

Summary of Action and Limit Levels

Appendix C - Summary of Action and Limit Levels

Table 1 – Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP

Location	Action Level	Limit Level
ID 1A	201.5	500
ID 2	197.0	500
ID 3	203.7	500
ID 4	264.6	500
ID 5	267.4	500

Table 2 – Action and Limit Levels for 24-hour TSP

Location	Action Level	Limit Level
ID 1A	170.2	260
ID 2	200.0	260
ID 3	200.0	260
ID 4	181.3	260
ID 5	180.8	260

Table 3 – Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise (0700-1900 hrs of normal weekdays)

Location	Action Level	Limit Level
ID 1A	When one documented complaint is received from any one of the sensitive receivers	*65 / 70 dB(A)
ID 2		75 dB(A)
ID 3		75 dB(A)
ID 4		*65 / 70 dB(A)
ID 5		*65 / 70 dB(A)

*Daytime noise Limit Level of 70 dB(A) applies to education institutions, while 65dB(A) applies during school examination period

APPENDIX D

Calibration Certificates of Equipments

AECOM Asia Company Limited

TSP - Total Suspended Particulates Sampler Field Calibration Report

 Station Kwun Tong Government Secondary School (ID1A)

 Operator: Leung Yiu Ting

 Date: 19-Mar-15

 Next Due Date: 19-May-15

 Pump No.: 846

 Verified Against: O.T.S -- 988

 Equipment No.: ---

 Expiration Date: 28-May-2015

Ambient Condition					
Temperature, Ta	297	Kelvin	Pressure, Pa	759.9	mmHg

Orifice Transfer Standard Information					
Equipment No.:	988	Slope, mc	1.97518	Intercept, bc	-0.01001
Last Calibration Date:	28-May-14	$mc \times Qstd + bc = [H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$			
Next Calibration Date:	28-May-15				

Calibration of TSP Sampler					
Calibration Point	H in. of water	[H x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] ^{1/2}	Qstd (m ³ /min) X - axis	W in. of oil	[ΔW x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] ^{1/2} Y-axis
1	8.0	2.83	1.44	6.1	2.47
2	6.9	2.63	1.34	5.0	2.24
3	5.9	2.43	1.24	4.1	2.03
4	4.1	2.03	1.03	2.6	1.62
5	3.2	1.79	0.91	1.5	1.23

By Linear Regression of Y on X

 Slope, mw = 2.2573

Intercept, bw =

-0.7727

 Correlation Coefficient* = 0.9962
Set Point Calculation

 From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 1.21 m³/min (43 CFM)

From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to

$$m \times Qstd + b = [W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$$

 Therefore, Set Point W = (m x Qstd + b)² x (760 / Pa) x (Ta / 298) = 3.82

*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate again.

 Remarks: _____

 QC Reviewer: WSCHAN

 Signature: [Signature]

 Date: 20/03/15

AECOM Asia Company Limited

TSP - Total Suspended Particulates Sampler Field Calibration Report

Station On Yat House (ID2)
Date: 12-Feb-15
Pump No.: 1654
Equipment No.: A-001-61T

Operator: Leung Yiu Ting
Next Due Date: 12-Apr-15
Verified Against: O.T.S -- 988
Expiration Date: 28-May-2015

Ambient Condition				
Temperature, Ta	293	Kelvin	Pressure, Pa	762.4 mmHg

Orifice Transfer Standard Information					
Equipment No.:	988	Slope, mc	1.97518	Intercept, bc	-0.01001
Last Calibration Date:	28-May-14	$m \times Q_{std} + bc = [H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$			
Next Calibration Date:	28-May-15				

Calibration of TSP Sampler					
Calibration Point	H in. of water	$[H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$	Qstd (m ³ /min) X - axis	W in. of oil	$[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Y-axis
1	8.2	2.89	1.47	5.6	2.39
2	6.1	2.49	1.27	4.0	2.02
3	5.1	2.28	1.16	3.0	1.75
4	4.3	2.09	1.06	2.2	1.50
5	3.0	1.75	0.89	1.1	1.06

By Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope, mw = 2.3069

Intercept, bw = -0.9551

Correlation Coefficient* = 0.9968

Set Point Calculation

From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 1.21 m³/min (43 CFM)

From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to

$$m \times Q_{std} + b = [W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$$

Therefore, Set Point W = $(m \times Q_{std} + b)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ 3.30

*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate again.

Remarks:

QC Reviewer: WS CHAN

Signature: PL

Date: 12/2/15

TSP - Total Suspended Particulates Sampler
Field Calibration Report

Station Sau Nga House (ID3)
 Date: 10-Apr-15
 Pump No.: 1272
 Equipment No.: A-001-31T

Operator: Leung Yiu Ting
 Next Due Date: 10-Jun-15
 Verified Against: O.T.S -- 988
 Expiration Date: 28-May-2015

Ambient Condition				
Temperature, Ta	292	Kelvin	Pressure, Pa	762.2 mmHg

Orifice Transfer Standard Information					
Equipment No.:	988	Slope, mc	1.97518	Intercept, bc	-0.01001
Last Calibration Date:	28-May-14	$mc \times Qstd + bc = [H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$			
Next Calibration Date:	28-May-15				

Calibration of TSP Sampler					
Calibration Point	H in. of water	[H x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] ^{1/2}	Qstd (m ³ /min) X - axis	W in. of oil	[ΔW x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] ^{1/2} Y-axis
1	8.3	2.91	1.48	5.6	2.39
2	6.4	2.56	1.30	4.2	2.07
3	5.5	2.37	1.20	3.2	1.81
4	4.5	2.15	1.09	2.4	1.57
5	3.2	1.81	0.92	1.5	1.24

By Linear Regression of Y on X
 Slope , mw = 2.0934 Intercept, bw = -0.6918
 Correlation Coefficient* = 0.9984

Set Point Calculation

From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 1.21 m³/min (43 CFM)
 From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to

$$m \times Qstd + b = [W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$$

Therefore, Set Point W = (m x Qstd + b)² x (760 / Pa) x (Ta / 298) = 3.31

*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate again.

Remarks: _____

QC Reviewer: WS CHAN

Signature: 

Date: 10/4/15

AECOM Asia Company Limited

TSP - Total Suspended Particulates Sampler Field Calibration Report

Station Sau Ming Primary School (ID4)
Date: 12-Feb-15
Pump No.: 1275
Equipment No.: A-001-28T

Operator: Shum Kam Yuen
Next Due Date: 12-Apr-15
Verified Against: O.T.S -- 988
Expiration Date: 28-May-2015

Ambient Condition					
Temperature, Ta	293	Kelvin	Pressure, Pa	762.4	mmHg

Orifice Transfer Standard Information					
Equipment No.:	988	Slope, mc	1.97518	Intercept, bc	-0.01001
Last Calibration Date:	28-May-14	$mc \times Qstd + bc = [H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$			
Next Calibration Date:	28-May-15				

Calibration of TSP Sampler					
Calibration Point	H in. of water	$[H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$	Qstd (m ³ /min) X - axis	W in. of oil	$[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Y-axis
1	8.0	2.86	1.45	5.7	2.41
2	6.0	2.47	1.26	4.3	2.09
3	5.2	2.30	1.17	3.3	1.83
4	4	2.02	1.03	2.4	1.56
5	3.1	1.78	0.91	1.7	1.32

By Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope, mw = 2.0549 Intercept, bw = -0.5499
Correlation Coefficient* = 0.9976

Set Point Calculation

From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 1.21 m³/min (43 CFM)

From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to

$$m \times Qstd + b = [W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$$

Therefore, Set Point W = $(m \times Qstd + b)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ 3.68

*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate again.

Remarks: _____

QC Reviewer: WS CHAN

Signature: 

Date: 13/2/15

AECOM Asia Company Limited

TSP - Total Suspended Particulates Sampler Field Calibration Report

 Station Sau Ming Primary School (ID4)
 Date: 10-Apr-15
 Pump No.: 1275
 Equipment No.: A-001-28T

 Operator: Shum Kam Yuen
 Next Due Date: 10-Jun-15
 Verified Against: O.T.S -- 988
 Expiration Date: 28-May-2015

Ambient Condition					
Temperature, Ta	292	Kelvin	Pressure, Pa	762.2	mmHg

Orifice Transfer Standard Information					
Equipment No.:	988	Slope, mc	1.97518	Intercept, bc	-0.01001
Last Calibration Date:	28-May-14	$mc \times Q_{std} + bc = [H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$			
Next Calibration Date:	28-May-15				

Calibration of TSP Sampler					
Calibration Point	H in. of water	$[H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$	Qstd (m ³ /min) X - axis	W in. of oil	$[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Y-axis
1	8.2	2.90	1.47	5.6	2.39
2	6.2	2.52	1.28	4.2	2.07
3	5.2	2.31	1.17	3.4	1.87
4	4.1	2.05	1.04	2.4	1.57
5	3.1	1.78	0.91	1.8	1.36

By Linear Regression of Y on X		
Slope , mw = <u>1.8763</u>	Intercept, bw =	<u>-0.3507</u>
Correlation Coefficient* =	<u>0.9983</u>	

Set Point Calculation	
From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 1.21 m ³ /min (43 CFM)	
From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to	
$m \times Q_{std} + b = [W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$	
Therefore, Set Point W = (m x Qstd + b) ² x (760 / Pa) x (Ta / 298) =	<u>3.60</u>

*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate again.

 Remarks: _____

 QC Reviewer: NIS CHAN

 Signature: [Signature]

 Date: 10/4/15

TSP - Total Suspended Particulates Sampler Field Calibration Report

Station Sau Mau Ping Catholic Primary School (ID5)
Date: 12-Feb-15
Pump No.: 10088
Equipment No.: A-001-13T

Operator: Shum Kam Yuen
Next Due Date: 12-Apr-15
Verified Against: O.T.S -- 988
Expiration Date: 28-May-2015

Ambient Condition					
Temperature, Ta	293	Kelvin	Pressure, Pa	762.4	mmHg

Orifice Transfer Standard Information					
Equipment No.:	988	Slope, mc	1.97518	Intercept, bc	-0.01001
Last Calibration Date:	28-May-14	$mc \times Qstd + bc = [H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$			
Next Calibration Date:	28-May-15				

Calibration of TSP Sampler					
Calibration Point	H in. of water	$[H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$	Qstd (m ³ /min) X - axis	W in. of oil	$[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Y-axis
1	8.0	2.86	1.45	6.2	2.52
2	6.7	2.61	1.33	4.6	2.17
3	5.3	2.33	1.18	3.3	1.83
4	4.1	2.05	1.04	2.4	1.56
5	3.0	1.75	0.89	1.3	1.15

By Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope, mw = 2.3732 Intercept, bw = -0.9496
Correlation Coefficient* = 0.9980

Set Point Calculation	
From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 1.21 m ³ /min (43 CFM)	
From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to	
$m \times Qstd + b = [W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$	
Therefore, Set Point W = $(m \times Qstd + b)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$	<u>3.62</u>

*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate again.

Remarks: _____

QC Reviewer: WS CHAN

Signature: [Signature]

Date: 13/2/15

TSP - Total Suspended Particulates Sampler Field Calibration Report

Station Sau Mau Ping Catholic Primary School (ID5)
Date: 10-Apr-15
Pump No.: 10088
Equipment No.: A-001-13T

Operator: Shum Kam Yuen
Next Due Date: 10-Jun-15
Verified Against: O.T.S -- 988
Expiration Date: 28-May-2015

Ambient Condition					
Temperature, Ta	292	Kelvin	Pressure, Pa	762.2	mmHg

Orifice Transfer Standard Information					
Equipment No.:	988	Slope, mc	1.97518	Intercept, bc	-0.01001
Last Calibration Date:	28-May-14	$mc \times Q_{std} + bc = [H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$			
Next Calibration Date:	28-May-15				

Calibration of TSP Sampler					
Calibration Point	H in. of water	$[H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$	Qstd (m ³ /min) X-axis	W in. of oil	$[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Y-axis
1	8.1	2.88	1.46	6.3	2.54
2	6.6	2.60	1.32	4.4	2.12
3	5.3	2.33	1.18	3.2	1.81
4	4.2	2.07	1.05	2.4	1.57
5	3.2	1.81	0.92	1.5	1.24

By Linear Regression of Y on X
Slope, mw = 2.3356 Intercept, bw = -0.9140
Correlation Coefficient* = 0.9968

Set Point Calculation
From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 1.21 m³/min (43 CFM)
From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to
$$m \times Q_{std} + b = [W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$$
Therefore, Set Point W = $(m \times Q_{std} + b)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ 3.57

*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate again.

Remarks: _____

QC Reviewer: W S CHAN

Signature: 

Date: 10/4/15



TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE
 VILLAGE OF CLEVELAND, OH
 45002
 513.467.9000
 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE
 513.467.9009 FAX

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - May 28, 2014 Rootsmeter S/N 0438320 Ta (K) - 296
 Operator Tisch Orifice I.D. - 0988 Pa (mm) - 751.84

PLATE OR Run #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
1	NA	NA	1.00	1.3790	3.2	2.00
2	NA	NA	1.00	0.9720	6.4	4.00
3	NA	NA	1.00	0.8690	7.9	5.00
4	NA	NA	1.00	0.8260	8.8	5.50
5	NA	NA	1.00	0.6830	12.8	8.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)	Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
0.9917	0.7191	1.4113	0.9957	0.7221	0.8874
0.9875	1.0159	1.9959	0.9915	1.0201	1.2549
0.9854	1.1339	2.2315	0.9894	1.1385	1.4030
0.9843	1.1916	2.3405	0.9883	1.1965	1.4715
0.9790	1.4333	2.8227	0.9829	1.4392	1.7747
Qstd slope (m) = 1.97518			Qa slope (m) = 1.23683		
intercept (b) = -0.01001			intercept (b) = -0.00630		
coefficient (r) = 0.99998			coefficient (r) = 0.99998		
y axis = SQRT[H2O(Pa/760)(298/Ta)]			y axis = SQRT[H2O(Ta/Pa)]		

CALCULATIONS

$$Vstd = \text{Diff. Vol} [(Pa - \text{Diff. Hg}) / 760] (298 / Ta)$$

$$Qstd = Vstd / \text{Time}$$

$$Va = \text{Diff Vol} [(Pa - \text{Diff Hg}) / Pa]$$

$$Qa = Va / \text{Time}$$

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

$$Qstd = 1/m \{ [\text{SQRT}(H2O(Pa/760)(298/Ta))] - b \}$$

$$Qa = 1/m \{ [\text{SQRT}(H2O(Ta/Pa))] - b \}$$

EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION RECORD

Type: Laser Dust Monitor
 Manufacturer/Brand: SIBATA
 Model No.: LD-3
 Equipment No.: A.005.07a
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting: 557 CPM

Operator: Mike Shek (MSKM)

Standard Equipment

Equipment: Rupprecht & Patashnick TEOM®
 Venue: Cyberport (Pui Ying Secondary School)
 Model No.: Series 1400AB
 Serial No: Control: 140AB219899803
 Sensor: 1200C143659803 K₀: 12500
 Last Calibration Date*: 10 May 2014

*Remarks: Recommended interval for hardware calibration is 1 year

Calibration Result

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration): 557 CPM
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration): 557 CPM

Hour	Date (dd-mm-yy)	Time	Ambient Condition		Concentration ¹ (mg/m ³) Y-axis	Total Count ²	Count/ Minute ³ X-axis
			Temp (°C)	R.H. (%)			
1	11-05-14	09:30 - 10:30	26.7	75	0.04434	1775	29.58
2	11-05-14	10:30 - 11:30	26.7	75	0.04716	1880	31.33
3	11-05-14	11:30 - 12:30	26.8	76	0.04927	1964	32.73
4	11-05-14	12:30 - 13:30	26.8	75	0.05035	2015	33.58

- Note: 1. Monitoring data was measured by Rupprecht & Patashnick TEOM®
 2. Total Count was logged by Laser Dust Monitor
 3. Count/minute was calculated by (Total Count/60)

By Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0015
 Correlation coefficient: 0.9982

Validity of Calibration Record: 11 May 2015

Remarks:

QC Reviewer: YW Fung Signature:  Date: 12 May 2014

EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION RECORD

Type: Laser Dust Monitor
 Manufacturer/Brand: SIBATA
 Model No.: LD-3
 Equipment No.: A.005.08a
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting: 702 CPM

Operator: Mike Shek (MSKM)

Standard Equipment

Equipment: Rupprecht & Patashnick TEOM®
 Venue: Cyberport (Pui Ying Secondary School)
 Model No.: Series 1400AB
 Serial No: Control: 140AB219899803
 Sensor: 1200C143659803 K_o: 12500
 Last Calibration Date*: 10 May 2014

*Remarks: Recommended interval for hardware calibration is 1 year

Calibration Result

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration): 702 CPM
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration): 702 CPM

Hour	Date (dd-mm-yy)	Time	Ambient Condition		Concentration ¹ (mg/m ³) Y-axis	Total Count ²	Count/ Minute ³ X-axis
			Temp (°C)	R.H. (%)			
1	11-05-14	09:45 - 10:45	26.7	75	0.04568	1713	28.50
2	11-05-14	10:45 - 11:45	26.7	75	0.04857	1819	30.32
3	11-05-14	11:45 - 12:45	26.8	76	0.05063	1903	31.72
4	11-05-14	12:45 - 13:45	26.8	75	0.05116	1922	32.03

Note: 1. Monitoring data was measured by Rupprecht & Patashnick TEOM®
 2. Total Count was logged by Laser Dust Monitor
 3. Count/minute was calculated by (Total Count/60)

By Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0016
 Correlation coefficient: 0.9984

Validity of Calibration Record: 11 May 2015

Remarks:

QC Reviewer: YW Fung Signature:  Date: 12 May 2014

EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION RECORD

Type: Laser Dust Monitor
 Manufacturer/Brand: SIBATA
 Model No.: LD-3
 Equipment No.: A.005.09a
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting: 797 CPM

Operator: Mike Shek (MSKM)

Standard Equipment

Equipment: Rupprecht & Patashnick TEOM®
 Venue: Cyberport (Pui Ying Secondary School)
 Model No.: Series 1400AB
 Serial No: Control: 140AB219899803
 Sensor: 1200C143659803 K₀: 12500
 Last Calibration Date*: 10 May 2014

*Remarks: Recommended interval for hardware calibration is 1 year

Calibration Result

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration): 797 CPM
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration): 797 CPM

Hour	Date (dd-mm-yy)	Time	Ambient Condition		Concentration ¹ (mg/m ³) Y-axis	Total Count ²	Count/ Minute ³ X-axis
			Temp (°C)	R.H. (%)			
1	11-05-14	13:30 - 14:30	26.8	75	0.05034	2017	33.62
2	11-05-14	14:30 - 15:30	26.9	76	0.05211	2084	34.73
3	11-05-14	15:30 - 16:30	26.9	76	0.05163	2066	34.43
4	11-05-14	16:30 - 17:30	26.9	76	0.05272	2113	35.22

Note: 1. Monitoring data was measured by Rupprecht & Patashnick TEOM®
 2. Total Count was logged by Laser Dust Monitor
 3. Count/minute was calculated by (Total Count/60)

By Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0015
 Correlation coefficient: 0.9965

Validity of Calibration Record: 11 May 2015

Remarks:

QC Reviewer: YW Fung

Signature: 

Date: 12 May 2014

EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION RECORD

Type: Laser Dust Monitor
 Manufacturer/Brand: SIBATA
 Model No.: LD-3
 Equipment No.: A.005.10a
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting: 753 CPM

Operator: Mike Shek (MSKM)

Standard Equipment

Equipment: Rupprecht & Patashnick TEOM®
 Venue: Cyberport (Pui Ying Secondary School)
 Model No.: Series 1400AB
 Serial No: Control: 140AB219899803
 Sensor: 1200C143659803 K₀: 12500
 Last Calibration Date*: 10 May 2014

*Remarks: Recommended interval for hardware calibration is 1 year

Calibration Result

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration): 753 CPM
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration): 753 CPM

Hour	Date (dd-mm-yy)	Time	Ambient Condition		Concentration ¹ (mg/m ³) Y-axis	Total Count ²	Count/ Minute ³ X-axis
			Temp (°C)	R.H. (%)			
1	11-05-14	13:45 - 14:45	26.8	75	0.04984	1996	33.27
2	11-05-14	14:45 - 15:45	26.9	76	0.05196	2077	34.62
3	11-05-14	15:45 - 16:45	26.9	76	0.05141	2055	34.25
4	11-05-14	16:45 - 17:45	26.9	76	0.05263	2109	35.15

Note: 1. Monitoring data was measured by Rupprecht & Patashnick TEOM®
 2. Total Count was logged by Laser Dust Monitor
 3. Count/minute was calculated by (Total Count/60)

By Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0015
 Correlation coefficient: 0.9969

Validity of Calibration Record: 11 May 2015

Remarks:

QC Reviewer: YW Fung

Signature: 

Date: 12 May 2014

EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION RECORD

Type: Laser Dust Monitor
 Manufacturer/Brand: SIBATA
 Model No.: LD-3
 Equipment No.: A.005.11a
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting: 799 CPM

Operator: Mike Shek (MSKM)

Standard Equipment

Equipment: Rupprecht & Patashnick TEOM®
 Venue: Cyberport (Pui Ying Secondary School)
 Model No.: Series 1400AB
 Serial No: Control: 140AB219899803
 Sensor: 1200C143659803 K_o: 12500
 Last Calibration Date*: 10 May 2014

*Remarks: Recommended interval for hardware calibration is 1 year

Calibration Result

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration): 799 CPM
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration): 799 CPM

Hour	Date (dd-mm-yy)	Time	Ambient Condition		Concentration ¹ (mg/m ³) Y-axis	Total Count ²	Count/ Minute ³ X-axis
			Temp (°C)	R.H. (%)			
1	18-05-14	09:00 - 10:00	28.3	77	0.04527	1815	30.25
2	18-05-14	10:00 - 11:00	28.3	77	0.04811	1923	32.05
3	18-05-14	11:00 - 12:00	28.3	77	0.05103	2041	34.02
4	18-05-14	12:00 - 13:00	28.4	77	0.05366	2157	35.95

Note: 1. Monitoring data was measured by Rupprecht & Patashnick TEOM®
 2. Total Count was logged by Laser Dust Monitor
 3. Count/minute was calculated by (Total Count/60)

By Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0015
 Correlation coefficient: 0.9987

Validity of Calibration Record: 18 May 2015

Remarks:

QC Reviewer: YW Fung

Signature: 

Date: 19 May 2014

EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION RECORD

Type: Laser Dust Monitor
 Manufacturer/Brand: SIBATA
 Model No.: LD-3B
 Equipment No.: A.005.13a
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting: 643 CPM

Operator: Mike Shek (MSKM)

Standard Equipment

Equipment: Rupprecht & Patashnick TEOM®
 Venue: Cyberport (Pui Ying Secondary School)
 Model No.: Series 1400AB
 Serial No: Control: 140AB219899803
 Sensor: 1200C143659803 K_o: 12500
 Last Calibration Date*: 10 May 2014

*Remarks: Recommended interval for hardware calibration is 1 year

Calibration Result

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration): 643 CPM
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration): 643 CPM

Hour	Date (dd-mm-yy)	Time	Ambient Condition		Concentration ¹ (mg/m ³) Y-axis	Total Count ²	Count/ Minute ³ X-axis
			Temp (°C)	R.H. (%)			
1	18-05-14	09:30 - 10:30	28.3	77	0.04614	1846	30.77
2	18-05-14	10:30 - 11:30	28.3	77	0.04823	1934	32.23
3	18-05-14	11:30 - 12:30	28.3	77	0.05152	2053	34.22
4	18-05-14	12:30 - 13:30	28.4	77	0.05391	2162	36.03

- Note: 1. Monitoring data was measured by Rupprecht & Patashnick TEOM®
 2. Total Count was logged by Laser Dust Monitor
 3. Count/minute was calculated by (Total Count/60)

By Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0015
 Correlation coefficient: 0.9981

Validity of Calibration Record: 18 May 2015

Remarks:

QC Reviewer: YW Fung

Signature: 

Date: 19 May 2014

EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION RECORD

Type: Laser Dust Monitor
 Manufacturer/Brand: SIBATA
 Model No.: LD-3B
 Equipment No.: A.005.14a
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting: 786 CPM

Operator: Mike Shek (MSKM)

Standard Equipment

Equipment: Rupprecht & Patashnick TEOM®
 Venue: Cyberport (Pui Ying Secondary School)
 Model No.: Series 1400AB
 Serial No: Control: 140AB219899803
 Sensor: 1200C143659803 K₀: 12500
 Last Calibration Date*: 10 May 2014

*Remarks: Recommended interval for hardware calibration is 1 year

Calibration Result

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration): 786 CPM
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration): 786 CPM

Hour	Date (dd-mm-yy)	Time	Ambient Condition		Concentration ¹ (mg/m ³) Y-axis	Total Count ²	Count/ Minute ³ X-axis
			Temp (°C)	R.H. (%)			
1	18-05-14	12:45 - 13:45	28.4	77	0.05027	2158	35.97
2	18-05-14	13:45 - 14:45	28.5	76	0.05161	2211	36.85
3	18-05-14	14:45 - 15:45	28.5	76	0.05235	2247	37.45
4	18-05-14	15:45 - 16:45	28.4	77	0.05203	2233	37.22


Note: 1. Monitoring data was measured by Rupprecht & Patashnick TEOM®
 2. Total Count was logged by Laser Dust Monitor
 3. Count/minute was calculated by (Total Count/60)

By Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0014
 Correlation coefficient: 0.9969

Validity of Calibration Record: 18 May 2015

Remarks:

QC Reviewer: YW Fung Signature:  Date: 19 May 2014



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.: 14CA0702 01-01 Page 1 of 2

Item tested

Description:	Sound Level Meter (Type 1)	Microphone
Manufacturer:	B & K	B & K
Type/Model No.:	2238	4188
Serial/Equipment No.:	2800927 / N.009.06	2791211
Adaptors used:	-	-

Item submitted by

Customer Name:	AECOM ASIA CO., LTD.
Address of Customer:	-
Request No.:	-
Date of receipt:	02-Jul-2014

Date of test: 03-Jul-2014

Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description:	Model:	Serial No.	Expiry Date:	Traceable to:
Multi function sound calibrator	B&K 4226	2288444	20-Jun-2015	CIGISMEC
Signal generator	DS 360	33873	09-Apr-2015	CEPREI
Signal generator	DS 360	61227	09-Apr-2015	CEPREI

Ambient conditions

Temperature:	21 ± 1 °C
Relative humidity:	60 ± 10 %
Air pressure:	1000 ± 10 hPa

Test specifications

- 1, The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-152.
- 2, The electrical tests were performed using an electrical signal substituted for the microphone which was removed and replaced by an equivalent capacitance within a tolerance of ±20%.
- 3, The acoustic calibration was performed using an B&K 4226 sound calibrator and corrections was applied for the difference between the free-field and pressure responses of the Sound Level Meter.

Test results

This is to certify that the Sound Level Meter conforms to BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Actual Measurement data are documented on worksheets.

Approved Signatory:

Huang Jian Min/Feng Jun Qi

Date: 04-Jul-2014

Company Chop:



Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument.



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.: 14CA1106 04-02

Page: 1 of 2

Item tested

Description: Acoustical Calibrator (Class 1)
Manufacturer: Rion Co., Ltd.
Type/Model No.: NC-73
Serial/Equipment No.: 10307223 / N.004.08
Adaptors used: -

Item submitted by

Customer: AECOM ASIA CO., LTD.
Address of Customer: -
Request No.: -
Date of receipt: 06-Nov-2014

Date of test: 07-Nov-2014

Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description:	Model:	Serial No.	Expiry Date:	Traceable to:
Lab standard microphone	B&K 4180	2412857	13-May-2015	SCL
Preamplifier	B&K 2673	2239857	10-Apr-2015	CEPREI
Measuring amplifier	B&K 2610	2346941	08-Apr-2015	CEPREI
Signal generator	DS 360	61227	09-Apr-2015	CEPREI
Digital multi-meter	34401A	US36087050	17-Dec-2014	CEPREI
Audio analyzer	8903B	GB41300350	07-Apr-2015	CEPREI
Universal counter	53132A	MY40003662	11-Apr-2015	CEPREI

Ambient conditions

Temperature: 22 ± 1 °C
Relative humidity: 65 ± 10 %
Air pressure: 1010 ± 10 hPa

Test specifications


- The Sound Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in IEC 60942 1997 Annex B and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-156.
- The calibrator was tested with its axis vertical facing downwards at the specific frequency using insert voltage technique.
- The results are rounded to the nearest 0.01 dB and 0.1 Hz and have not been corrected for variations from a reference pressure of 1013.25 hectoPascals as the maker's information indicates that the instrument is insensitive to pressure changes.

Test results

This is to certify that the sound calibrator conforms to the requirements of annex B of IEC 60942: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed. This does not imply that the sound calibrator meets IEC 60942 under any other conditions.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on **page 2** of this certificate.

Approved Signatory:


Huang Jian Min/Feng Jun Qi

Date: 08-Nov-2014

Company Chop:



APPENDIX E

EM&A Monitoring Schedules

**CV/2007/03 - Development at Anderson Road
Impact Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Schedule for April 2015**

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
			1-Apr	2-Apr	3-Apr	4-Apr
				24-hour TSP 1-hour TSP Noise (ID1-5)		
5-Apr	6-Apr	7-Apr	8-Apr	9-Apr	10-Apr	11-Apr
			24-hour TSP 1-hour TSP Noise (ID1-5)			24-hour TSP 1-hour TSP (ID1-5)
12-Apr	13-Apr	14-Apr	15-Apr	16-Apr	17-Apr	18-Apr
				24-hour TSP 1-hour TSP Noise (ID1-5)		
19-Apr	20-Apr	21-Apr	22-Apr	23-Apr	24-Apr	25-Apr
			24-hour TSP 1-hour TSP Noise (ID1-5)			
26-Apr	27-Apr	28-Apr	29-Apr	30-Apr		
		24-hour TSP 1-hour TSP Noise (ID1-5)				

**CV/2007/03 - Development at Anderson Road
Tentative Impact Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Schedule for May 2015**

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
					1-May	2-May
3-May	4-May	5-May	6-May	7-May	8-May	9-May
	24-hour TSP 1-hour TSP Noise (ID1-5)					24-hour TSP 1-hour TSP (ID1-5)
10-May	11-May	12-May	13-May	14-May	15-May	16-May
				24-hour TSP 1-hour TSP Noise (ID1-5)		
17-May	18-May	19-May	20-May	21-May	22-May	23-May
			24-hour TSP 1-hour TSP Noise (ID1-5)			
24-May	25-May	26-May	27-May	28-May	29-May	30-May
		24-hour TSP 1-hour TSP Noise (ID1-5)				
31-May						

The schedule is subject to change due to unforeseeable circumstances (e.g. adverse weather, etc)

APPENDIX F

**Air Quality Monitoring Results and
their Graphical Presentations**

Appendix F
Air Quality Monitoring Results

1-hour TSP Monitoring Results at Station ID 1A (Kwun Tong Government Secondary School)

Date	Start Time (hh:mm)	1st Hour	2nd Hour	3rd Hour
		Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
2-Apr-15	13:59	80.3	81.1	80.2
8-Apr-15	11:55	76.0	74.5	74.8
11-Apr-15	9:21	78.1	77.6	78.4
16-Apr-15	14:30	68.6	69.7	71.3
22-Apr-15	10:58	82.1	80.7	79.6
28-Apr-15	10:00	69.6	71.8	72.8
Average				76.0
Min				68.6
Max				82.1

1-hour TSP Monitoring Results at Station ID 2 (On Yat House)

Date	Start Time (hh:mm)	1st Hour	2nd Hour	3rd Hour
		Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
2-Apr-15	13:44	81.1	80.7	80.3
8-Apr-15	11:33	75.6	77.4	73.2
11-Apr-15	9:33	77.5	78.2	78.8
16-Apr-15	14:40	70.4	72.4	73.5
22-Apr-15	10:40	80.5	78.0	79.1
28-Apr-15	10:40	72.7	74.7	75.4
Average				76.6
Min				70.4
Max				81.1

1-hour TSP Monitoring Results at Station ID 3 (Sau Nga House)




Date	Start Time (hh:mm)	1st Hour	2nd Hour	3rd Hour
		Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
2-Apr-15	9:47	80.6	81.3	80.3
8-Apr-15	11:02	74.9	76.6	76.4
11-Apr-15	13:30	77.0	77.9	78.4
16-Apr-15	13:00	72.2	73.6	74.7
22-Apr-15	10:25	81.1	78.4	81.5
28-Apr-15	14:25	73.9	77.3	76.6
Average				77.4
Min				72.2
Max				81.5

1-hour TSP Monitoring Results at Station ID 4 (Sau Ming Primary School)

Date	Start Time (hh:mm)	1st Hour	2nd Hour	3rd Hour
		Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
2-Apr-15	13:28	81.1	80.8	80.8
8-Apr-15	10:50	77.3	74.4	77.0
11-Apr-15	14:09	78.8	79.0	78.2
16-Apr-15	11:15	70.9	73.2	74.8
22-Apr-15	10:39	79.1	78.4	77.9
28-Apr-15	11:30	72.0	76.8	74.0
Average				76.9
Min				70.9
Max				81.1

1-hour TSP Monitoring Results at Station ID 5 (Sau Mau Ping Catholic Primary School)



Date	Start Time (hh:mm)	1st Hour	2nd Hour	3rd Hour
		Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
2-Apr-15	9:24	80.3	79.2	79.6
8-Apr-15	10:20	76.2	75.5	73.0
11-Apr-15	10:10	78.6	79.4	79.0
16-Apr-15	10:35	71.1	74.0	75.3
22-Apr-15	9:50	78.4	79.2	78.8
28-Apr-15	13:00	70.3	73.8	74.3
Average				76.4
Min				70.3
Max				80.3



**Development at Anderson Road - Site Formation
and Associated Infrastructure Works**

**Graphical Presentations of Impact 1-hour TSP
Monitoring Results**

SCALE	N.T.S.	DATE	May-15
CHECK	FYW	DRAWN	JCYK
JOB NO.	60043155	APPENDIX No.	Rev.
		F	-



**Development at Anderson Road - Site Formation
and Associated Infrastructure Works**

**Graphical Presentations of Impact 1-hour TSP
Monitoring Results**

SCALE	N.T.S.	DATE	May-15
CHECK	FYW	DRAWN	JCYK
JOB NO.	60043155	APPENDIX No.	Rev.
		F	-

Appendix F
Air Quality Monitoring Results

24-hour TSP Monitoring Results at Station ID 1A (Kwun Tong Government Secondary School)

Date	Weather Condition	Air Temp. (°C)	Atmospheric Pressure(hPa)	Flow Rate (m ³ /min.)		Av. flow (m ³ /min)	Total vol. (m ³)	Filter Weight (g)		Particulate weight(g)	Elapse Time		Sampling Time(hrs.)	Conc. (µg/m ³)
				Initial	Final			Initial	Final		Initial	Final		
2-Apr-15	Sunny	25.6	1008.5	1.31	1.30	1.30	1878.4	2.7174	2.7915	0.0741	20903.79	20927.79	24.00	39.4
8-Apr-15	Fine	18.9	1018.3	1.31	1.32	1.32	1895.7	2.8377	2.9000	0.0623	20927.79	20951.79	24.00	32.9
11-Apr-15	Rainy	17.1	1019.1	1.32	1.32	1.29	1853.0	2.8332	2.8590	0.0258	20951.79	20975.79	24.00	13.6
16-Apr-15	Sunny	22.7	1015.0	1.30	1.31	1.30	1878.8	2.8555	2.9872	0.1317	20975.79	20999.79	24.00	70.1
22-Apr-15	Fine	23.3	1017.1	1.31	1.30	1.30	1879.0	2.8468	2.9179	0.0711	20999.79	21023.79	24.00	37.8
28-Apr-15	Sunny	25.4	1011.7	1.30	1.30	1.30	1868.9	2.8527	2.9483	0.0956	21023.79	21047.79	24.00	51.2
													Average	40.8
													Min	13.6
													Max	70.1

24-hour TSP Monitoring Results at Station ID 2 (On Yat House)

Date	Weather Condition	Air Temp. (°C)	Atmospheric Pressure(hPa)	Flow Rate (m ³ /min.)		Av. flow (m ³ /min)	Total vol. (m ³)	Filter Weight (g)		Particulate weight(g)	Elapse Time		Sampling Time(hrs.)	Conc. (µg/m ³)
				Initial	Final			Initial	Final		Initial	Final		
2-Apr-15	Sunny	25.6	1008.5	1.30	1.29	1.30	1865.3	2.7238	2.7759	0.0521	18263.12	18287.12	24.00	27.9
8-Apr-15	Fine	18.9	1018.3	1.30	1.31	1.31	1883.2	2.8539	2.9000	0.0461	18287.12	18311.12	24.00	24.5
11-Apr-15	Rainy	17.1	1019.1	1.31	1.31	1.31	1889.9	2.8198	2.8377	0.0179	18311.12	18335.13	24.01	9.5
16-Apr-15	Sunny	22.7	1015.0	1.29	1.30	1.30	1865.8	2.8473	2.9269	0.0796	18335.12	18359.12	24.00	42.7
22-Apr-15	Fine	23.3	1017.1	1.30	1.29	1.30	1865.9	2.8460	2.9198	0.0738	18359.12	18383.12	24.00	39.6
28-Apr-15	Sunny	25.4	1011.7	1.29	1.29	1.29	1855.5	2.8566	2.9878	0.1312	18383.12	18407.12	24.00	70.7
													Average	35.8
													Min	9.5
													Max	70.7

24-hour TSP Monitoring Results at Station ID 3 (Sau Nga House)




Date	Weather Condition	Air Temp. (°C)	Atmospheric Pressure(hPa)	Flow Rate (m ³ /min.)		Av. flow (m ³ /min)	Total vol. (m ³)	Filter Weight (g)		Particulate weight(g)	Elapse Time		Sampling Time(hrs.)	Conc. (µg/m ³)
				Initial	Final			Initial	Final		Initial	Final		
2-Apr-15	Sunny	25.6	1008.5	1.31	1.30	1.31	1879.9	2.7522	2.8118	0.0596	20507.01	20531.01	24.00	31.7
8-Apr-15	Fine	18.9	1018.3	1.31	1.32	1.32	1897.6	2.8501	2.9231	0.0730	20531.01	20555.01	24.00	38.5
11-Apr-15	Rainy	17.1	1019.1	1.32	1.32	1.32	1903.8	2.8366	2.8725	0.0359	20555.01	20579.01	24.00	18.9
16-Apr-15	Sunny	22.7	1015.0	1.30	1.31	1.31	1880.4	2.8715	2.9861	0.1146	20579.01	20603.01	24.00	60.9
22-Apr-15	Fine	23.3	1017.1	1.31	1.30	1.31	1880.4	2.8537	2.9560	0.1023	20603.01	20627.01	24.00	54.4
28-Apr-15	Sunny	25.4	1011.7	1.30	1.30	1.30	1870.1	2.8714	2.9983	0.1269	20627.01	20651.01	24.00	67.9
													Average	45.4
													Min	18.9
													Max	67.9

24-hour TSP Monitoring Results at Station ID 4 (Sau Ming Primary School)



Date	Weather Condition	Air Temp. (°C)	Atmospheric Pressure(hPa)	Flow Rate (m ³ /min.)		Av. flow (m ³ /min)	Total vol. (m ³)	Filter Weight (g)		Particulate weight(g)	Elapse Time		Sampling Time(hrs.)	Conc. (µg/m ³)
				Initial	Final			Initial	Final		Initial	Final		
2-Apr-15	Sunny	25.6	1008.5	1.31	1.30	1.30	1878.3	2.7272	2.7582	0.0310	21188.07	21212.07	24.00	16.5
8-Apr-15	Fine	18.9	1018.3	1.31	1.32	1.32	1898.1	2.8724	2.9455	0.0731	21212.07	21236.07	24.00	38.5
11-Apr-15	Rainy	17.1	1019.1	1.32	1.33	1.32	1905.2	2.8454	2.8744	0.0290	21236.07	21260.07	24.00	15.2
16-Apr-15	Sunny	22.7	1015.0	1.30	1.31	1.30	1878.8	2.8674	2.9454	0.0780	21260.07	21284.07	24.00	41.5
22-Apr-15	Fine	23.3	1017.1	1.31	1.30	1.30	1878.9	2.8506	2.9271	0.0765	21284.07	21308.07	24.00	40.7
28-Apr-15	Sunny	25.4	1011.7	1.29	1.30	1.30	1867.2	2.8677	2.9712	0.1035	21308.07	21332.07	24.00	55.4
													Average	34.6
													Min	15.2
													Max	55.4

24-hour TSP Monitoring Results at Station ID 5 (Sau Mau Ping Catholic Primary School)

Date	Weather Condition	Air Temp. (°C)	Atmospheric Pressure(hPa)	Flow Rate (m ³ /min.)		Av. flow (m ³ /min)	Total vol. (m ³)	Filter Weight (g)		Particulate weight(g)	Elapse Time		Sampling Time(hrs.)	Conc. (µg/m ³)
				Initial	Final			Initial	Final		Initial	Final		
2-Apr-15	Sunny	25.6	1008.5	1.30	1.30	1.30	1872.0	2.8845	2.9478	0.0633	15998.37	16022.37	24.00	33.8
8-Apr-15	Fine	18.9	1018.3	1.31	1.32	1.31	1891.2	2.8492	2.9197	0.0705	16022.37	16046.37	24.00	37.3
11-Apr-15	Rainy	17.1	1019.1	1.32	1.32	1.32	1898.1	2.8614	2.8907	0.0293	16046.37	16070.37	24.00	15.4
16-Apr-15	Sunny	22.7	1015.0	1.30	1.30	1.30	1872.5	2.8676	2.9621	0.0945	16070.37	16094.37	24.00	50.5
22-Apr-15	Fine	23.3	1017.1	1.30	1.30	1.30	1872.6	2.8564	2.9444	0.0880	16094.37	16118.37	24.00	47.0
28-Apr-15	Sunny	25.4	1011.7	1.29	1.30	1.29	1861.4	2.8555	2.9793	0.1238	16118.37	16142.37	24.00	66.5
													Average	41.7
													Min	15.4
													Max	66.5



	Development at Anderson Road - Site Formation and Associated Infrastructure Works	SCALE	N.T.S.	DATE	May-15
	Graphical Presentations of Impact 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results	CHECK	FYW	DRAWN	JCYK
		JOB NO.	60043155	APPENDIX No.	F



**Development at Anderson Road - Site Formation
and Associated Infrastructure Works**

**Graphical Presentations of Impact 24-hour TSP
Monitoring Results**

SCALE	N.T.S.	DATE	May-15
CHECK	FYW	DRAWN	JCKY
JOB NO.	60043155	APPENDIX No.	Rev.
		F	-

APPENDIX G

**Noise Monitoring Results and
their Graphical Presentations**

Appendix G
Noise Monitoring Results

Daytime Noise Monitoring Results at Station ID 1A (Kwun Tong Government Secondary School)

Date	Weather Condition	Noise Level for 30-min, dB(A) ⁺				Baseline Corrected Level, dB(A)	Baseline Noise Level, dB(A)	Limit Level**, dB(A)	Exceedance (Y/N)
		Time	L90	L10	Leq				
2-Apr-15	Cloudy	16:00	62.0	67.3	65.2	64.3	57.8	70	N
8-Apr-15	Fine	13:15	60.1	64.6	63.2	61.7	57.8	70	N
16-Apr-15	Sunny	14:30	57.0	61.0	60.0	56.0	57.8	70	N
22-Apr-15	Fine	15:47	63.5	67.1	65.4	64.6	57.8	70	N
28-Apr-15	Sunny	10:00	56.5	60.1	59.0	52.8	57.8	70	N
		Min	56.5	60.1		52.8			
		Max	63.5	67.3		64.6			
		Average	--	--		61.8			

Daytime Noise Monitoring Results at Station ID 2 (On Yat House)

Date	Weather Condition	Noise Level for 30-min, dB(A) ⁺				Baseline Corrected Level, dB(A)	Baseline Noise Level, dB(A)	Limit Level, dB(A)	Exceedance (Y/N)
		Time	L90	L10	Leq				
2-Apr-15	Cloudy	14:20	63.2	68.1	66.8	65.1	62.0	75	N
8-Apr-15	Fine	11:30	60.3	63.9	62.6	53.7	62.0	75	N
16-Apr-15	Sunny	15:30	60.9	67.5	65.7	63.3	62.0	75	N
22-Apr-15	Fine	15:05	64.2	68.2	66.7	64.9	62.0	75	N
28-Apr-15	Sunny	10:40	62.0	67.0	65.5	62.9	62.0	75	N
		Min	60.3	63.9		53.7			
		Max	64.2	68.2		65.1			
		Average	--	--		63.3			

Daytime Noise Monitoring Results at Station ID 3 (Sau Nga House)

Date	Weather Condition	Noise Level for 30-min, dB(A) ⁺				Baseline Corrected Level, dB(A)	Baseline Noise Level, dB(A)	Limit Level, dB(A)	Exceedance (Y/N)
		Time	L90	L10	Leq				
2-Apr-15	Cloudy	10:34	62.0	67.0	65.4	59.5	64.1	75	N
8-Apr-15	Fine	14:47	63.4	67.7	65.8	60.9	64.1	75	N
16-Apr-15	Sunny	13:00	63.0	69.5	67.8	65.4	64.1	75	N
22-Apr-15	Fine	13:32	64.5	68.4	66.2	62.0	64.1	75	N
28-Apr-15	Sunny	14:25	63.0	67.6	66.7	63.2	64.1	75	N
		Min	62.0	67.0		59.5			
		Max	64.5	69.5		65.4			
		Average	--	--		62.7			

Daytime Noise Monitoring Results at Station ID 4 (Sau Ming Primary School)




Date	Weather Condition	Noise Level for 30-min, dB(A) ⁺				Baseline Corrected Level, dB(A)	Baseline Noise Level, dB(A)	Limit Level**, dB(A)	Exceedance (Y/N)
		Time	L90	L10	Leq				
2-Apr-15	Cloudy	11:59	61.6	66.4	64.2	64.2	65.7	70	N
8-Apr-15	Fine	14:01	63.8	68.7	67.2	61.9	65.7	70	N
16-Apr-15	Sunny	11:15	64.5	68.0	66.6	59.3	65.7	70	N
22-Apr-15	Fine	11:18	65.4	69.5	67.2	61.9	65.7	70	N
28-Apr-15	Sunny	11:25	63.5	69.4	66.8	60.3	65.7	70	N
		Min	61.6	66.4		59.3			
		Max	65.4	69.5		64.2			
		Average	--	--		61.8			

Daytime Noise Monitoring Results at Station ID 5 (Sau Mau Ping Catholic Primary School)



Date	Weather Condition	Noise Level for 30-min, dB(A) ⁺				Baseline Corrected Level, dB(A)	Baseline Noise Level, dB(A)	Limit Level**, dB(A)	Exceedance (Y/N)
		Time	L90	L10	Leq				
2-Apr-15	Cloudy	9:53	62.6	66.3	64.9	51.4	64.7	70	N
8-Apr-15	Fine	10:22	64.5	68.0	66.3	61.2	64.7	70	N
16-Apr-15	Sunny	10:35	58.4	62.0	60.3	60.3	64.7	70	N
22-Apr-15	Fine	10:29	63.5	67.4	65.6	58.3	64.7	70	N
28-Apr-15	Sunny	13:00	57.5	61.4	60.7	60.7	64.7	70	N
		Min	57.5	61.4		51.4			
		Max	64.5	68.0		61.2			
		Average	--	--		59.4			

⁺ - Façade measurement

^{**} - Limit Level of 70dB(A) applies to education institutes while 65dB(A) applies during school examination period.



	Development at Anderson Road - Site Formation and Associated Infrastructure Works	SCALE	N.T.S.	DATE	May-15
	Graphical Presentations of Noise Monitoring Results	CHECK	FYW	DRAWN	JCYK
		JOB NO.	60043155	APPENDIX	G



**Development at Anderson Road - Site Formation and
Associated Infrastructure Works**

Graphical Presentations of Noise Monitoring Results

SCALE	N.T.S.	DATE	May-15
CHECK	FYW	DRAWN	JCYK
JOB NO.	60043155	APPENDIX	Rev
		G	-

APPENDIX H

Meteorological Data for the Reporting Month



- Home
- What's new
- About us
- HKO Side Lights
- Our Services
- Visitors Figures
- Press releases
- Today's Weather Warnings
- Local Weather Observations
- Weather Forecast
- Weather Monitoring Imagery
- Computer Forecast Products
- MyObservatory
- Tropical Cyclones
- Aviation Weather Services
- Marine Meteorological Services
- Weather Information for Sports
- Weather Information for Communities
- China Weather
- World Weather
- Climatological Information Services
 - > Climate Watch
 - > Climate Statistics
 - > Climate Prediction
 - > Climate Knowledge
 - > Need More Information?
 - > Global Climate Services
 - > Other Useful Links
- Climate Forecast
- Climate Change
- El Nino and La Nina
- Earthquakes and Tsunamis
- Astronomy, Space Weather and Geomagnetism
- Time and Calendar
- Radiation Monitoring, Assessment and Protection
- Educational Resources
- Publications
- Media and Information Services
- Audio/Video Webpage
- Electronic services
- World Meteorological Day
- World Meteorological Organization-Official City Weather Forecasts
- World Meteorological Organization-Global Severe Weather
- Public forms
- Contact & Support
- Access to information
- Tender notices
- Links
- Important notices
- Personalized Website
- Mobile Version
- RSS Feeds
- Text Only Version
- Back

[Back](#)

Daily Extract of Meteorological Observations , April 2015 - Tseung Kwan O

Year Month

Day	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Air Temperature			Mean Dew Point (deg. C)	Mean Relative Humidity (%)	Total Rainfall (mm)	Prevailing Wind Direction (degrees)	Mean Wind Speed (km/h)
		Absolute Daily Max (deg. C)	Mean (deg. C)	Absolute Daily Min (deg. C)					
01	***	25.4	23.5	21.9	22.0	92	0.0	180#	3.3#
02	***	25.7	24.1	22.6	22.4	90	0.0	180	5.5
03	***	26.9	24.8	23.5	23.2	91	0.0	180	5.0
04	***	28.8	25.5	23.9	23.5	89	0.0	190	5.8
05	***	28.7	24.9	21.8	22.1	85	0.0	100	4.4
06	***	31.1	25.6	21.6	22.0	82	0.0	180	4.1
07	***	25.9	23.4	19.1	21.3	88	1.0	010	6.3
08	***	19.7	17.4	15.2	13.5	78	10.0	050	8.3
09	***	18.6	17.0	15.0	15.6	92	4.0	010	6.0
10	***	18.6	17.2	16.0	15.6	90	1.0	060	5.5
11	***	17.5	16.5	15.6	15.8	96	44.0	330	4.5
12	***	23.6	18.4	15.7	16.1	87	0.5	330	4.0
13	***	28.1	20.4	14.6	12.8	66	0.0	340	5.7
14	***	25.9	19.5	14.5	7.2	49	0.0	190	7.1
15	***	26.4	19.5	13.7	12.0	65	0.0	340	4.5
16	***	28.0	21.2	15.3	15.9	74	0.0	180	4.3
17	***	26.8	22.4	17.4	18.3	79	0.0	090	5.1
18	***	26.0	24.3	22.2	22.5	90	0.0	180	6.5
19	***	27.3	26.0	24.6	23.5	86	0.0	180	6.8
20	***	28.4	26.2	23.5	23.5	85	0.0	180	6.0
21	***	25.6	23.0	21.4	18.5	77	0.0	060	7.1
22	***	25.7	22.5	20.2	17.8	75	0.0	030	7.1
23	***	23.4	21.9	20.7	15.9	69	0.0	020	8.2
24	***	28.4	23.1	20.0	17.8	73	0.0	010	6.1
25	***	25.5	22.3	19.6	19.1	82	0.0	360	7.1
26	***	26.4	22.9	20.8	19.0	79	0.0	020	7.4
27	***	28.9	23.0	19.6	20.1	84	0.0	020	5.2
28	***	30.7	24.9	20.7	21.0	80	0.0	180	4.6
29	***	31.5	26.4	22.1	22.6	81	0.0	170	4.5
30	***	31.2	26.8	23.1	22.8	80	0.0	180	5.3

*** unavailable

data incomplete

Rainfall measured in increment of 0.5 mm. Amount of < 0.5 mm cannot be detected

APPENDIX I

Event Action Plan

Appendix I – Event Action Plan

Event and Action Plan for Air Quality

Event	ACTION			
	ET	IC(E)	ER	Contractor
ACTION LEVEL				
Exceedance for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source 2. Inform IC(E) and ER. 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding. 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET. 2. Check Contractor's working method. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify Contractor. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rectify any unacceptable practice. 2. Amend working methods if appropriate.
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source. 2. Inform IC(E) and ER. 3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings. 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 5. Discuss with IC(E) and Contractor for remedial actions required. 6. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IC(E) and ER. 7. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET. 2. Check Contractor's working method. 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures. 4. Advise ER on the effectiveness of proposed remedial measures. 5. Supervise implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing. 2. Notify Contractor. 3. Ensure remedial actions properly implemented. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit proposal for remedial actions to IC(E) within 3 working days of notification. 2. Implement the agreed proposals. 3. Amend proposal if appropriate.

Event and Action Plan for Air Quality

Event	ACTION			
	ET	IC(E)	ER	Contractor
LIMIT LEVEL				
Exceedance for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source. 2. Inform ER and EPD. 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding. 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IC(E), EPD and ER informed of the results. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET. 2. Check Contractor's working method. 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures. 4. Advise ER on the effectiveness of proposed remedial measures. 5. Supervise implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing. 2. Notify Contractor. 3. Ensure remedial actions properly implemented. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance. 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IC(E) within 3 working days of notification. 3. Implement the agreed proposals 4. Amend proposal if appropriate.
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source. 2. Inform ER and EPD. 3. Repeat measurements to confirm finding. 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented. 6. Arrange meeting with IC(E) and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken. 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IC(E), EPD and ER informed of the results. 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET and Contractor on the potential remedial actions. 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise ER accordingly. 3. Supervise implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing. 2. Notify Contractor. 3. In consultation with IC(E), agree with Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented. 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct Contractor to stop the portion of work until the exceedance is abated. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance. 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IC(E) within 3 working days of notification. 3. Implement the agreed proposals. 4. Amend proposal if appropriate.

Event and Action Plan for Noise

Event	Action			
	ET	IC(E)	ER	Contractor
1.Exceedance for Action Level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify IC(E) and Contractor. 2. Carry out investigation. 3. Report the results of investigation to IC(E) and Contractor. 4. Discuss with Contractor and formulate remedial measures. 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review the analysed results submitted by ET. 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise ER accordingly. 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing. 2. Notify Contractor. 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem. 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IC(E). 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals.
1.Exceedance for Limit Level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify IC(E), ER, EPD and Contractor. 2. Identify sources. 3. Repeat measurements to confirm finding. 4. Increase monitoring frequency. 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented. 6. Inform IC(E), ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedance. 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IC(E), EPD and ER informed of the results. 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET and Contractor on the potential remedial actions. 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise ER accordingly. 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing. 2. Notify Contractor. 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem. 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance. 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IC(E) within 3 working days of notification. 3. Implement the agreed proposals. 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control. 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by ER until the exceedance is abated.

APPENDIX J

**Cumulative Statistics of Exceedances, Complaints,
Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions**

Appendix J - Cumulative Statistics on Exceedances, Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

Cumulative statistics on Exceedances

		Total no. recorded in this month	Total no. recorded since project commencement
1-Hour TSP	Action	-	-
	Limit	-	-
24-Hour TSP	Action	-	15
	Limit	-	1
Noise	Action	-	32
	Limit	-	1

Cumulative statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

	Date Received	Subject	Status	Total no. recorded in this month	Total no. recorded since project commencement
Environmental complaints	-	-	-	-	74
Notification of summons	-	-	-	-	6
Successful Prosecutions	-	-	-	-	2