

Proposed Comprehensive Development at Wo Shang Wai, Yuen Long

Monthly EM&A Report for June 2024

12 July 2024

Mott MacDonald 3/F Manulife Place 348 Kwun Tong Road Kwun Tong Kowloon Hong Kong

T +852 2828 5757 F +852 2827 1823 mottmac.hk

Profit Point Enterprises Limited

Proposed Comprehensive Development at Wo Shang Wai, Yuen Long

Monthly EM&A Report for June 2024

12 July 2024

Pursuant to Condition 4.5 of Environmental Permit No. EP-311/2008/E, this Monthly EM&A Report for June 2024 has been reviewed, certified by Environmental Team Leader (ETL) and verified by the Independent Environmental Checker (IEC).

Certified by:

Nikita Nanwani Nanwani

Environmental Team Leader (ETL) Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Ltd.

Date

11 July 2024

Verified by:

V H HIII

Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)

Ramboll Hong Kong Limited

Date

11 July 2024

Document reference: 370161 | 05|01 | A

Information class: Standard

This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the above-captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.

We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.

This document contains confidential information and proprietary intellectual property. It should not be shown to other parties without consent from us and from the party which commissioned it.

Mott MacDonald | Proposed Comprehensive Development at Wo Shang Wai, Yuen Long Monthly EM&A Report for June 2024

Contents

Exe	ecutive	e summary	1
1	Intro	oduction	2
	1.1	Introduction	2
	1.2	Project Organization	2
	1.3	Environmental Status in the reporting period	2
	1.4	Summary of EM&A Requirements	3
2	Impa	act Monitoring Methodology	5
	2.1	Introduction	5
	2.2	Air Quality	5
	2.3	Construction Noise	7
	2.4	Water Quality	9
	2.5	Ecology	11
	2.6	Landscape and Visual	12
3	Mon	nitoring Results	15
	3.1	Impact Monitoring Schedule	15
	3.2	Results of Impact Monitoring	15
4	Eco	logical Monitoring	31
	4.1	Monitoring of Birds	31
	4.2	Monitoring of Herpetofauna	31
	4.3	Monitoring of Dragonflies and Butterflies	32
	4.4	Monitoring of Mammals	32
	4.5	Monitoring of Water Quality	32
	4.6	Management Activities	33
	4.7	Summary	34
5	Lan	dscape and Visual	36
	5.1	Site Inspections	36
	5.2	Construction Phase Audit Summary	36
6	Env	ironmental Site Inspection and Audit	38
	6.1	Site Inspection	38
	6.2	Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status	38
	6.3	Status of Environmental Licences and Permits	38
	6.4	Recommended Mitigation Measures	39

7		ort on Non-compliance, Complaints, Notifications of Summons and cessful Prosecutions	40	
	7.1	Record of non-compliance of Action and Limit Levels	40	
	7.2	Record on Environmental Complaints Received	41	
	7.3	Record on Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution	41	
	7.4	Review of Reasons for and Implications of Non-compliance, Complaints, Summons and Prosecutions	41	
	7.5	Follow-up Actions Taken	41	
	7.6	Cumulative Statistics for Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions	41	
8	Futu	re Key Issues	43	
	8.1	Site Preparatory Works and Construction Works for the Coming Month	43	
	8.2	Key Issues for the Coming Months	43	
	8.3	Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month	43	
	8.4	Conclusions and Recommendations	44	
9	Refe	erences	46	
	9.1	List of References	46	
Figu	ıres		49	
Арр	endic	es	51	
A.	Proje	ect Organization Chart	53	
B.	Tent	ative Construction Programme (not used)	55	
C.	Actio	on and Limit Levels for Construction Phase	57	
D.		nt and Action Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Water Quality and dscape & Visual	59	
E.	Calik	pration Certificates	65	
F.	Grap	phical Plots of the Monitoring Results	67	
G.	Wea	ther Conditions during the Monitoring Period	69	
H.	H. Ecological Monitoring Conducted			
l.	. Summary of Bird Surveys conducted 7			

J.	Summary of Herpetofauna, Mammal and Insect Surveys Conducted	77
K.	Summary of Water Quality Monitoring associated with Ecological Monitoring conducted	81
L.	Environmental Mitigation Measures – Implementation Status	83
M.	Landscape and Visual Audit Photos	89
Tabl	es	
Table	e 1.1: Summary of Impact EM&A Requirements	3
	2 1.2: Environmental Monitoring and Audit Schedule for the Reporting Month	4
	2.1: Air Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	5
	2.2: Air Monitoring Stations	5
	2.3: TSP Monitoring Equipment	6
	2.4: Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	8
	2.5: Noise Monitoring Stations	8
	2.6: Noise Monitoring Equipment	8
	2.7: Water Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	9
	2.8: Water Quality Monitoring Stations	9
	2.9: Water Quality Monitoring Equipment	10
	2.10: Analytical Methods applied to Water Quality Samples	10
	2.11: Detection Limits for Water Quality Determinants	10
Table	2.12: Summary of Construction Phase Ecological Monitoring for the Wo Shang Wai	
Wetla	and Restoration Area (WRA)	12
Table	e 2.13: Construction and Operation Phase Audit Checklist	13
Table	e 2.14: Proposed Construction Phase Mitigation Measures	13
Table	e 2.15: Proposed Operation Phase Mitigation Measures	14
Table	e 3.1: Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results	15
Table	e 3.2: Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results	16
Table	e 3.3: Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results	16
Table	e 3.4: Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results	18
Table	e 4.1: Summary of Ecological Monitoring in WRA and Survey Area under EM&A	
Manu	ıal	34
Table	e 5.1: Construction and Operation Phase Audit Summary	36
Table	e 6.1: Summary of Site Inspections and Recommendations	38
	e 6.2: Status of Environmental Submissions, Licences and Permits	38
Table	e 7.1: Summary of Exceedances in Water Quality	40
	e 7.2: Statistics for Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution	42
Table Mont	e 8.1: Tentative Environmental Monitoring and Audit Schedule for the Next Reporting	44

Figures

Figure 1.1	General Layout Plan of the Project Site
Figure 2.1	Locations of Air Quality Monitoring Stations
Figure 2.2	Locations of Noise Monitoring Stations
Figure 2.3	Locations of Water Quality Monitoring Stations
Figure 4.1	Survey Area and Transect Walked
Figure 4.2	Water Quality Monitoring Locations for Ecological Monitoring

Executive summary

Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Ltd. ("MMHK") has been commissioned to undertake the Environmental Team (ET) services to carry out environmental monitoring and audit (EM&A) for both pre-construction and construction phases of the Proposed Comprehensive Development at Wo Shang Wai, Yuen Long.

This is the 170th EM&A report submitted under the Condition 4.5 of Environmental Permit No. EP-311/2008/E. This report summarises the findings on EM&A during the period from 1 to 30 June 2024.

Exceedance of Action and Limit Levels

There was no breach of Action or Limit levels for Air Quality (1-hr TSP and 24-hr TSP) and Noise level (as L_{eq}) in the reporting month.

During June 2024, a total of four Action Level exceedance of DO and 13 Limit Level exceedances of DO for water quality were observed. One Action Level exceedances of DO, and 11 Limit Level exceedances of DO were recorded at MP3; one Limit Level exceedance of DO was recorded at MP4; two Action Level exceedances of DO were recorded at MP5; and one Action Level and one Limit Level exceedances of DO were recorded at MP6.

Implementation of Mitigation Measures

Site audits were carried out on 5, 13, 21 and 28 June 2024 to confirm the implementation measures undertaken by the Contractor in the reporting month. The outcomes of the site audits are presented in Section 6 and the status of implementation of mitigation measures in the site is shown in **Appendix L**.

Record of Complaints

There was no record of complaints received in the reporting month.

Future Key Issues

Site works scheduled to be commissioned in the coming three months include regular maintenance work for the Wetland Restoration Area including adjusting the water level, if required, and removal of unwanted species in the pond. No major heavy construction works will be carried out. Potential environmental impacts due to the activities, including air quality, noise, water quality, ecology and landscape and visual, will be monitored.

Environmental mitigation measures will be implemented on site as recommended and weekly site audits will be carried out to ensure that the environmental conditions are acceptable.

1 Introduction

1.1 Introduction

In March 2005, the Project Proponent, Profit Point Enterprises Limited, acquired the development site at Wo Shang Wai in Yuen Long. An Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) was then carried out and approved under the EIA Ordinance (EIAO), and the Environmental Permit (EP-311/2008) for construction of the comprehensive development in Wo Shang Wai was first granted by EPD on 9 September 2008 and has been subsequently varied, with the current version (EP-311/2008/E) issued by EPD on 19 December 2017.

The Project involves the residential development and associated infrastructure and wetland restoration area and linear landscape area. The construction works under the Environmental Permit commenced on 12 May 2010. The site formation construction works of the Wetland Restoration Area (hereafter WRA) were completed on 15 November 2010 and the WRA was established by October 2012, within 30 months from the commencement of construction as stipulated in the EP. This indicated that planting works as scheduled in the approved Wetland Restoration and Creation Scheme (WRCS; Nov 2009) were complete, except along the western and southern boundary where the planting is affected by the existing site boundary and noise barrier, and for which a Variation to Environmental Permit (EP-311/2008/C) to defer planting at the location was approved. Consequently, EP (EP-311/2008/D) including specific mitigation measures to minimise certain identified noise impacts during the operation phase was approved. The current valid EP (EP-311/2008/E) comprises varied conditions for the implementation and maintenance of visual and landscape measures, and for the implementation of noise mitigation measures.

Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Ltd. ("MMHK") has been commissioned to undertake the Environmental Team (ET) services to carry out environmental monitoring and audit (EM&A) for both pre-construction and construction phases of the Proposed Comprehensive Development at Wo Shang Wai, Yuen Long.

According to the EP Condition 4.5, the monthly EM&A Report shall be submitted to the Director within two weeks after the end of the reporting month. This report summarises the findings during the period from 1 to 30 June 2024.

1.2 Project Organization

The organisation chart and lines of communication with respect to the on-site environmental management structure together with the contact information of the key personnel are shown in **Appendix A**.

1.3 Environmental Status in the reporting period

During the reporting month, Project works undertaken include:

- General site maintenance work
- Regular maintenance work for the Wetland Restoration Area (including monitoring the water level and removal of unwanted species in the pond), as indicated in Section 4.6.

There were no heavy construction works carried out. The general layout plan of the Project site is shown in **Figure 1.1**.

1.4 Summary of EM&A Requirements

The EM&A programme requires environmental monitoring of air quality, noise, water quality, ecology and landscape and visual as specified in the approved EM&A Manual.

A summary of impact EM&A requirements is presented in **Table 1.1** below:

Table 1.1: Summary of Impact EM&A Requirements

Parameters	Descriptions	Locations	Frequencies
Air Quality	24-Hour TSP	ASR1, ASR2A, ASR3, ASR4	Once every 6 days
	1-Hour TSP	ASR1, ASR2A, ASR3, ASR4	3 times every 6 days
Noise	$L_{eq(30min)}, L_{90}, L_{10}(dB(A))$	NSR1, NSR3, NSR5, NSR7	Weekly
Water Quality	Dissolved Oxygen (DO), temperature, pH, suspended solids (SS) and Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)	MP1 to MP6	3 days per week
Ecology	Birds	Within the Project Area and Assessment Area of 500m	Weekly
	Dragonflies and Butterflies	Within the Project Area and Assessment Area of 500m	Once per month during Mar and Sep to Nov, and twice per month during Apr to Aug
	Herpetofauna	Within the Project Area and Assessment Area of 500m	Daytime: Once per month during Apr to Nov Night-time: Once per month during Mar to Aug
	Water quality of Wetland Restoration Area (WRA)	WRA	After filling of WRA with water, monthly for in situ water quality and every six months (end of wet season and end of dry season) for laboratory testing
	Site Inspections	Within the Project Area and Assessment Area of 500m	Weekly
Landscape and Visual	Auditing of protection of existing trees, the transplanting of existing trees, the creation of new wetland, the planting of new trees and shrubs and other landscape and visual mitigation measures	CM1 to CM10 and OM1 to OM7 within the Project Area	Site inspections once every two weeks during construction phase; once every two months during operational phase

The Environmental Quality Performance Limits for air quality, noise and water quality are shown in **Appendix C**.

The Event and Action Plan for air quality, noise, water quality and Landscape & Visual are shown in **Appendix D**.

The monitoring schedule for the reporting month is shown in **Table 1.2** as follows.

Table 1.2: Environmental Monitoring and Audit Schedule for the Reporting Month

Environmental Monitoring and Audit Schedule for June 2024

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
_	Water	24-hr TSP	Water	Ŭ	Water	24-hr TSP
		1-hr TSP				1-hr TSP
		Noise Monitoring				
		Herpetofauna				
		(day-time) Bird				
9	10		12	13	14	15
Ŭ	Tuen Ng	Water	12	Water	24-hr TSP	Water
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Festival	Bird		*	1-hr TSP	
		Dragonfly & Butterfly		Landscape	Noise Monitoring	
		Water Quality Monitoring				
16	17		19		21	22
	Water	Bird	Water	24-hr TSP	Water	
	@	Herpetofauna (night-time)		1-hr TSP	*	
		Water Quality Monitoring		Noise Monitoring		
23 / 30	24	25	26	27	28	29
	Water	Bird	Water		Water	
		Dragonfly & Butterfly	24-hr TSP		*	
		Water Quality Monitoring	1-hr TSP		Landscape	
			Noise Monitoring			

^{*} Site Audit by Mott MacDonald (MM)

@ Report Submission (Monthly EM&A Report)

Ecological Surveys & Landscape Audits indicated in **bold font**

2 Impact Monitoring Methodology

2.1 Introduction

For air quality, construction noise and water quality, ecology, landscape and visual monitoring methodology, including the monitoring locations, monitoring equipment used, monitoring parameters, and frequency and duration, etc., are detailed in this Chapter.

2.2 Air Quality

2.2.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

In accordance with the EM&A Manual, 1-hour and 24-hour TSP levels monitoring are to be conducted during the construction phase. **Table 2.1** summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of air quality monitoring.

Table 2.1: Air Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Monitoring Stations	Parameter	Frequency and Duration
ASR1, ASR2A, ASR3 & ASR4	24-hour TSP	At least once in every six-days
	1-hour TSP	3 times every six-days

2.2.2 Monitoring Locations

The four air quality monitoring stations were established in the EM&A manual and were slightly adjusted during the baseline monitoring phase. Locations of the agreed air quality monitoring stations are listed in **Table 2.2** and as shown in **Figure 2.1**.

Table 2.2: Air Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Stations	Locations
ASR1	Guard house outside House No. 1, Ventura Avenue, Royal Palms*
ASR2A	At the rooftop of refuse collection point, which is located at the southwest of the project area
ASR3	At the commercial centre at Palm Springs, which is located at the south of the project area
ASR4	Outside works site entrance*

^{*}Note: Relocation of ASR1 and ASR4 were from 5 June 2018 as the previous locations were within the Project construction site. All monitoring data at ASR1 and ASR4 from June 2018 is measured at the new monitoring locations.

2.2.3 Monitoring Equipment

Continuous 24-hour TSP air quality monitoring is conducted using High Volume Sampler (HVS) (Model: GMWS-2310 Accu-vol). The HVS meets all the requirements of the EM&A Manual. Portable direct reading dust meters were used to carry out the 1-hour TSP monitoring. **Table 2.3** summarizes the equipment used in the impact air quality monitoring.

Table 2.3: TSP Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model
24-hr TSP monitoring	
High Volume Sampler	GMWS 2310 Accu-vol
Calibrator	TE-5025A
1-hr TSP monitoring	
Portable direct reading dust meter	Sibata LD-3B Laser Dust Monitor

2.2.4 Monitoring Methodology

2.2.4.1 24-hour TSP Monitoring

Installation

The HVS was installed in the vicinity of the air sensitive receiver. The following criteria were considered in the installation of the HVS.

- A horizontal platform with appropriate support to secure the sampler against gusty wind was provided.
- The distance between the HVS and any obstacles, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the HVS.
- A minimum of two metres separation from walls, parapets and penthouse was required for rooftop sampler.
- A minimum of two metres separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally was required.
- No furnace or incinerator flues or building vent were nearby.
- Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
- The sampler has been more than 20 metres from any drip line.
- Permission was obtained to set up the samplers and to obtain access to the monitoring stations.
- A secured supply of electricity is needed to operate the samplers.

Preparation of Filter Papers

- Glass fibre filters were labelled and sufficient filters that were clean and without pinholes were selected.
- All filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The
 conditioning environment temperature was around 25 °C and not variable by more than ±3 °C
 with relative humidity (RH) < 50% and was not variable by more than ±5%. A convenient
 working RH was 40%.

Field Monitoring

- The power supply was checked to ensure the HVS works properly.
- The filter holder and the area surrounding the filter were cleaned.
- The filter holder was removed by loosening the four bolts and a new filter, with stamped number upward, on a supporting screen was aligned carefully.
- The filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter.
- The swing bolts were fastened to hold the filter holder down to the frame. The pressure applied should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.

- The shelter lid was closed and was secured with the aluminium strip.
- The HVS was warmed-up for about 5 minutes to establish run-temperature conditions.
- A new flow rate record sheet was set into the flow recorder.
- The flow rate of the HVS was checked and adjusted at around 1.1 m³/min. The range specified in the EM&A Manual was between 0.6-1.7 m³/min.
- The programmable timer was set for a sampling period of 24 hrs + 1 hr, and the starting time, weather condition and the filter number were recorded.
- The initial elapsed time was recorded.
- At the end of sampling, the sampled filter was removed carefully and folded in half-length so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter were in contact.
- It was then placed in a clean plastic envelope and sealed.
- All monitoring information was recorded on a standard data sheet.
- Filters were sent to a HOKLAS accredited laboratory for analysis.

Maintenance and Calibration

- The HVS and its accessories are maintained in good working condition, such as replacing motor brushes routinely and checking electrical wiring to ensure a continuous power supply.
- HVSs were calibrated at two-month intervals.
- Calibration records for HVSs are shown in Appendix E.

2.2.4.2 1-hour TSP Monitoring

Field Monitoring

The measuring procedures of the 1-hour dust meter are in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual as follows:

- Set POWER to "ON", push BATTERY button, make sure that the meter's indicator is in the range with a red line and allow the instrument to stand for about 3 minutes (Then, the air sampling inlet has been capped).
- Push the knob at MEASURE position.
- Push "O-ADJ" button. (Then meter's indication is 0).
- Push the knob at SENSI ADJ position and set the meter's indication to S value described on the Test Report using the trimmer for SENSI ADJ.
- Pull out the knob and return it to MEASURE position.
- Push "START" button.

Maintenance and Calibration

 The 1-hour dust meter would be checked at 3-month intervals and calibrated at 1-year intervals throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.

2.3 Construction Noise

2.3.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Following the requirements in the EM&A Manual for noise, noise monitoring has to be carried out during the construction phase. Continuous noise monitoring for the A-weighted levels $L_{eq(30 \text{ min})}$, L_{10} and L_{90} is undertaken once per every week.

Table 2.4 summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of air quality monitoring.

Table 2.4: Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Monitoring Stations	Parameter	Frequency and Duration
NSR1, NSR3, NSR5, NSR7	$L_{eq(30min)}, L_{90}, L_{10} (dB(A))$	Once every week

2.3.2 Monitoring Locations

The four noise quality monitoring stations were established in the EM&A manual and were slightly adjusted during the baseline monitoring phase. Locations of the agreed noise quality monitoring stations are listed in **Table 2.5** and as shown in **Figure 2.2**.

Table 2.5: Noise Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Stations	Locations	Type of measurement
NSR1	Noise monitoring equipment was set up near the boundary wall at Palm Springs	Free field
NSR3	The monitoring station was located next to the guard house at Palm Spring Façade	
NSR5	5 Outside House No. 1, Ventura Avenue, Royal Palms* Façade	
NSR7	The monitoring station was located near the boundary wall of the house of Mai Po San Tsuen	Free field

^{*}Note: Relocation of NSR5 was from 5 June 2018 as the previous location was within the Project construction site. All monitoring data at NSR5 from June 2018 is measured at the new monitoring location.

2.3.3 Monitoring Equipment

Integrating Sound Level Meter was used for noise monitoring. It is a Type 1 sound level meter capable of giving a continuous readout of the noise level readings including equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{eq}) and percentile sound pressure level (L_x). They comply with International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1). **Table 2.6** summarizes the noise monitoring equipment model being used.

Table 2.6: Noise Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model
Integrating Sound Level Meter	Rion NL-52
Calibrator	Larson Davis CAL200

2.3.4 Monitoring Methodology

Field Monitoring

- The Sound Level Meter was set on a tripod at a height of at least 1.2 m above the ground.
- Façade and free-field measurements were made at the monitoring locations.
- The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
- Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
 - frequency weighting: A
 - time weighting: Fast

- time measurement: 30 minutes intervals (between 07:00 and 19:00)
- Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using a Calibrator for 94 dB at 1 kHz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1 dB, the measurement would be considered invalid has to be repeated after recalibration or repair of the equipment.
- During the monitoring period, the L_{eq}, L₁₀ and L₉₀ were recorded. In addition, any site observations and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.

Maintenance and Calibration

- The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator is cleaned with soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
- The meter and calibrator are sent to the supplier or HOKLAS laboratory to check and calibrate at yearly intervals.
- Calibration records are shown in Appendix E.

2.4 Water Quality

2.4.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

In accordance with the requirements in the EM&A Manual for water quality, water quality parameters including Dissolved Oxygen (DO), temperature, pH, turbidity, suspended solids (SS) and Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) have to be monitored at designated monitoring stations during construction of the Project. DO, temperature and pH were measured in-situ whereas SS and BOD were analysed in a laboratory. The measurements should be taken at all designated monitoring stations, three days per week. The interval between any two sets of monitoring was not less than 36 hours. **Table 2.7** summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of water quality monitoring.

Table 2.7: Water Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Monitoring Stations	Parameter	Frequency and Duration
MP1, MP2, MP3, MP4, MP5 & MP6	DO, temperature, pH, SS, turbidity, BOD	Three days every week

2.4.2 Monitoring Locations

The six water quality monitoring stations were established in the EM&A manual. Locations of the agreed water quality monitoring stations are listed in **Table 2.8** and as shown in **Figure 2.3**.

Table 2.8: Water Quality Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Stations	Easting	Northing
MP1*	838 730.50	822 862.25
MP2*	838 933.26	823 247.41
MP3	839 107.17	823 596.84
MP4	839 286.14	823 638.55
MP5	839 134.35	823 722.99
MP6	839 063.02	823 842.25

^{*}Note: The water quality impact monitoring at MP1 and MP2 have been terminated since July 2012 due to withdrawal of access right from landowner.

2.4.3 Monitoring Equipment

The Water Quality Monitoring Equipment and Analytical Methods applied to Water Quality Samples are given in **Table 2.9** and **Table 2.10** respectively. Details of which are discussed as follows.

Table 2.9: Water Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model	Equipment/ Serial Number
Conductivity, Dissolved oxygen, pH, Salinity and Temperature Measuring Meter, Turbidity	YSI ProDSS	21K101468 15M100005 21G10535616H104233
Global Positioning System (GPS)	Garmin eTrex Vista	ENO 007

Table 2.10: Analytical Methods applied to Water Quality Samples

Determinant, unit	Standard Method
Total Suspended Solids, mg/L	In house method based on APHA 2540D; ALS Method Code: EA-025
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD), mg/L	In house method based on APHA 5210B; ALS Method Code: EP-030

All in-situ monitoring instruments were checked, calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or any other international accreditation scheme before use.

Dissolved Oxygen (DO), Temperature, pH and Turbidity measuring equipment

A portable, weatherproof multiparameter instrument (YSL ProDSS) was used in the monitoring. It can be capable for measuring dissolved oxygen (DO), temperature, pH and turbidity simultaneously with the following limits:

- a dissolved oxygen level in the range of 0-50 mg/L and 0-500 % saturation;
- a temperature of -5 to 70 degrees Celsius;
- pH value of 0-14 with 0.1 as the base unit; and
- turbidity between 0-4000 NTU

Global Positioning System (GPS)

A hand-held GPS navigator (Garmin eTrex Vista) was used to identify the designated monitoring stations prior to water sampling.

Suspended Solids and BOD Measurements (Sample Containers and Storage)

Water samples for SS analysis were stored in high density polythene bottles with no preservative added, packed in ice (cooled to 4 °C without being frozen), delivered to the laboratory, and analysed as soon as possible after collection. Analysis was carried out in a HOKLAS accredited laboratory.

2.4.4 Detection Limit

The limits of detection for the in-situ and laboratory measurements are shown in Table 2.11.

Table 2.11: Detection Limits for Water Quality Determinants

Determinant	Limit of Detection
Dissolved Oxygen	0.1 mg/L
Temperature	0.1 degree Celsius

Determinant	Limit of Detection
рН	0.01 unit
Turbidity	0.1 NTU
Suspended Solids	2 mg/L
BOD	2 mg/L

2.4.5 Monitoring Methodology

In situ Measurement

All pH meters, DO/ temperature meters had been checked and calibrated prior to use. Standard buffer solution of at least two pH levels (either pH 4 and pH 7, or pH 7 and pH 10) had been used for calibration of the instrument before and after use.

DO meters had been calibrated by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or any other international accreditation scheme, and subsequently re-calibrated at three-monthly intervals throughout all stages of the water quality monitoring. Responses of sensors and electrodes had been checked with certified standard solutions before each use. Wet bulb calibrations for all DO meters had been carried out before measurement at each monitoring location. For the on-site calibration of field equipment, BS 1427:2009, "Guide to on-site test methods for the analysis of waters" had been observed.

Measurements were taken at three water depths, namely, 1m below water surface, mid-depth and 1m above stream bed/pond bed, except where the water depth is less that 6m, the mid-depth station maybe omitted. Should the water depth be less than 3 m, only the mid-depth station will be monitored.

Replicates in-situ measurements and samples collected from each independent monitoring event are required for all parameters to ensure a robust statistically interpretable dataset.

Water Samples Preparation and Analysis

For collection of water sampling within the water courses, a 500ml clean plastic beaker was used. After collection, the water samples were stored in high-density polythene bottles. The sample container was rinsed with a portion of the water sample. The water sample was then transferred to the container, labelled with a unique sample ID and sealed with a screw cap. The water samples were stored in a cool box maintained at 4 °C. The water samples were then delivered to a local HOKLAS-accredited laboratory (ALS) on the same day for analysis.

The testing methods of testing parameters as recommended by EIA or required by EPD, with the QA/QC results are in accordance with the requirement of HOKLAS or international accredited scheme.

The calibration certificates for equipment used for in-situ monitoring of water quality are attached in **Appendix E**.

2.5 Ecology

2.5.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Target species and certain other fauna were monitored within the Project Area and Assessment Area during the wetland and residential construction phase. This is important to ensure that any unexpected events or impacts either on- or off-site are quickly identified so that remedial action can be taken. The groups monitored and frequency of monitoring are shown in **Table 2.12**.

Table 2.12: Summary of Construction Phase Ecological Monitoring for the Wo Shang Wai Wetland Restoration Area (WRA)

Species / Parameter	Construction Phase Ecological Monitoring
Birds	Weekly (including Assessment Area)
Dragonflies and Butterflies	Once per month during March and September to November; and twice per month during April to August
Herpetofauna	Daytime: Once per month during April to November; and Night-time: Once per month during March to August
Water Quality	After filling of WRA with water, monthly for in situ water quality and every six months (end of the wet season and end of the dry season) for laboratory testing
Site Inspections	Weekly

2.5.2 Monitoring Locations and Methodology

Ecological monitoring locations during construction phase are shown in **Figure 4.1** and the methodology for ecological monitoring is detailed in **Section 4**.

2.6 Landscape and Visual

2.6.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

All measures undertaken by both the Contractor and the specialist Landscape Sub-Contractor during the construction phase and first year of the operational phase shall be audited by a Registered Landscape Architect, as a member of the ET, on a regular basis to ensure compliance with the intended aims of the measures. Site inspections should be undertaken at least once every two weeks throughout the construction period and once every two months during the operational phase.

The broad scope of audit is detailed below but should also be undertaken with reference to the more specific checklist provided in **Table 2.13** below. Operational phase auditing will be restricted to the last 12 months of the establishment works of the landscaping proposals and thus only the items below concerning this period are relevant to the operational phase.

- The extent of the agreed works area should be regularly checked during the construction phase. Any trespass by the Contractor outside the limit of the works, including any damage to existing trees and woodland shall be noted;
- The progress of the engineering works should be regularly reviewed on site to identify the earliest practical opportunities for the landscape works to be undertaken;
- All existing vegetation, streams and other features within the study area which are not directly affected by the works are retained and protected;
- The methods of protecting existing vegetation proposed by the Contractor are acceptable and enforced;
- Preparation, lifting transport and re-planting operations for any transplanted trees;
- The layout, design and construction of buildings conforms to requirements specified in the EIA report;
- All landscaping works are carried out in accordance with the EIA recommendations and with specifications;
- The planting of new trees, shrubs, groundcover, climbers, grasses and other plans, together
 with the replanting of any transplanted trees are carried out properly and within the right
 season;

 All necessary horticultural operations and replacement planting are undertaken throughout the Establishment Period to ensure the healthy establishment and growth of both transplanted trees and all newly establishment plants.

Table 2.13: Construction and Operation Phase Audit Checklist

Area of Works	Items to be Monitored
Works Area	Check the extent of the Works to ensure that the Works Area is not exceeded the site boundaries.
Protection of all trees and woodland blocks to be retained	Identification and demarcation of trees / vegetation to be retained, erection of physical protection (e.g. fencing), monitoring against possible incursion, physical damage, fire, pollution, surface erosion, etc.
Streams	Ensure no run-off into existing streams
Clearance of existing vegetation	Identification and demarcation of trees / vegetation to be cleared, checking of extent of works to minimize damage, monitoring of adjacent areas against possible incursion, physical damage, fire, pollution, surface erosion, etc.
Transplanting of trees	Identification and demarcation of trees / vegetation to be transplanted, monitoring of extent of pruning / lifting works to minimize damage, timing of operations implementation of all stages of preparatory and translocation works, and maintenance of transplanted vegetation, etc.
Topsoil stripping	Ensuring existing topsoil is stripped and stored under recognized good practice and is hydroseeded and regularly turned to prevent anaerobic conditions
New buildings	Ensure that building finishes accord with mitigation proposals with regard to colour and albedo.
Boundaries	Ensuring hoarding are erected as required
Noise Barrier	Ensure noise barrier design accords with the mitigation proposals with regard to location, materials and finishes.
Night-time lighting	Ensuring night-time lighting is directional, hooded and shielded away from VSRs
Plant supply	Monitoring of operations relating to the supply of specialist plant material (including the collecting, germination and growth of plants from seed) to ensure that plants will be available in time to be used within the construction works.
Landscape and wetland treatments generally	Check that wetland, and hard / soft landscape designs conform to intent of mitigation measures and agreed designs
Soiling, planting, etc.	Monitoring of implementation and maintenance of soiling and planting works against possible incursion, physical damage, fire, pollution, surface erosion, etc.
Establishment Works	Monitoring of implementation of maintenance operation during Establishment Period

2.6.2 Monitoring Locations

The monitoring locations should check against the mitigation measures proposed under the approved Environmental Impact Assessment, which are summarised as follows in **Table 2.14** and **Table 2.15**:

Table 2.14: Proposed Construction Phase Mitigation Measures

ID No.	Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures	
CM1	The construction area and contractor's temporary works areas should be minimised to avoid impacts on adjacent landscape.	
CM2	Screening of construction works by hoardings/noise barriers around Works area in visually unobtrusive colours, to screen Works.	
CM3	Reduction of construction period to practical minimum.	
CM4	Topsoil, where identified, should be stripped and stored for re-use in the construction of the soft landscape work where the soil material meets acceptable criteria and where practical. The Contract Specification shall include storage and reuse of topsoil as appropriate.	
CM5	Hydroseeding or sheeting of soil stockpiles with visually unobtrusive material (in earth tone).	
CM6	Advance screen planting of noise barriers.	
CM7	Control night-time lighting and glare by hooding all lights.	

ID No.	Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures
CM8	Ensure no run-off into streams adjacent to Project Area.
CM9	Protection of existing trees on boundary of project area shall be carefully protected during construction. Detailed Tree Protection Specification shall be provided in the Contract Specification. Under this specification, the Contractor shall be required to submit, for approval, a detailed working method statement for the protection of trees prior to undertaking any works adjacent to all retained trees, including trees in contractor's works areas. (Tree protection measures will be detailed at S16 and Tree Removal Application stage).
CM10	Trees unavoidably affected by the works shall be transplanted where practical. Trees should be transplanted straight to their destinations and not held in a nursery. A detailed Tree Transplanting Specification shall be provided in the Contract Specification, if applicable. Sufficient time for necessary tree root and crown preparation periods shall be allowed in the project programme.

Table 2.15: Proposed Operation Phase Mitigation Measures

ID No.	Landscape Mitigation Measures
OM1	Compensatory Tree Planting for all felled trees shall be provided to the satisfaction of relevant Government departments. Required numbers and locations of compensatory trees shall be determined and agreed separately with Government during the Tree Felling Application process under DEVB TC(W) 7/2015.
OM2	A continuous belt of screen planting along southern perimeter of project area with fast growing tree species. At least 450 trees capable of reaching a height > 10m within 10 years should be planted. Planting of the belt of trees shall be carried out as advance works ahead of other site formation and building works.
ОМЗ	Maximise soft landscape and amenity water bodies in residential areas of the development. Approximately 750 trees (of Heavy Standard size) should be planted. Where space permits, roadside berms should be created. Street trees should be of species that reach a mature height of no less than 15m.
OM4	Maximise freshwater habitat wetland creation consistent with achieving other parameters. Minimum 4.74 ha to be provided. Wetlands must have natural edge profiles with >1m wide emergent zone. No access to the wetland by residents and all wetlands must be screened from residential development by a continuous tree screen at interface with residential development or earth mounding such that disturbance is minimised. Implementation of the wetland shall be carried out as advance works.
OM5	Use appropriate (visually unobtrusive and non-reflective) building materials and colours in built structures.
OM6	During detailed design, refine building layout to create a min. 10m wide gap between buildings north of Wo Shang Wai pond and also two min. 10m wide gaps in the row of buildings adjacent to Royal Palms.
OM7	Streetscape elements (e.g. paving, signage, street furniture, lighting etc.) shall be sensitively designed in a manner that responds to the local context and minimises potential negative landscape and visual impacts. Lighting units should be directional and minimise unnecessary light spill.

3 Monitoring Results

3.1 Impact Monitoring Schedule

Impact monitoring for air quality (dust), noise and water quality due to the construction work were undertaken during the reporting month in compliance with the EM&A manual in the reporting period. Regular site inspections were carried out on 5, 13, 21 and 28 June 2024 during the reporting month to assess the compliance with environmental requirements.

3.2 Results of Impact Monitoring

3.2.1 Air Quality Monitoring

3.2.1.1 1-hour TSP

Results of 1-hour TSP at the four monitoring locations are summarised in **Table 3.1**. Graphical plots of the monitoring results are shown in **Appendix F**. The weather conditions in the reporting period are provided in **Appendix G**.

Table 3.1: Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Monitoring	Start Time	- (F-3-)		TSP (μg/m³)	Range	Action	Limit
Date		1 st Result	2 nd Result	3 rd Result	(μ g/m ³)	Level (μg/m³)	Level (μg/m³)
ASR1							
04-Jun-24	08:57	31	30	29	17-36	36 378	500
08-Jun-24	13:07	18	21	17			
14-Jun-24	08:46	36	24	21			
20-Jun-24	09:01	20	20	24			
26-Jun-24	08:55	29	28	32			
ASR2A							
04-Jun-24	08:57	36	35	35	18-36	357	500
08-Jun-24	13:07	19	26	20			
14-Jun-24	08:46	28	27	25			
20-Jun-24	09:01	22	18	19			
26-Jun-24	08:55	30	25	25			
ASR3				_			
04-Jun-24	08:57	34	33	33	15-36	358	500
08-Jun-24	13:07	20	23	21			
14-Jun-24	08:46	36	25	22			
20-Jun-24	09:01	18	15	16			
26-Jun-24	08:55	28	23	25			
ASR4							
04-Jun-24	08:57	26	26	25	16-37	372	500
08-Jun-24	13:07	16	19	18			
14-Jun-24	08:46	37	33	29			
20-Jun-24	09:01	21	17	19			
26-Jun-24	08:55	30	25	26			

3.2.1.2 24-hour TSP

Results of 24-hour TSP at the four monitoring locations are summarised in Graphical plots of the monitoring results are shown in **Appendix F**. The weather conditions in the reporting period are provided in **Appendix G**.

Table 3.2: Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Monitoring Date	Monitoring Results (μg/m³)	Range (μg/m³)	Action Level (μg/m³)	Limit Level (μg/m³)
ASR1				
04-Jun-24	35	24-35	226	260
08-Jun-24	25			
14-Jun-24	28			
20-Jun-24	28			
26-Jun-24	24			
ASR2A				
04-Jun-24	31	22-44	213	260
08-Jun-24	35			
14-Jun-24	44			
20-Jun-24	22			
26-Jun-24	25			
ASR3				
04-Jun-24	35	18-35	205	260
08-Jun-24	27			
14-Jun-24	33			
20-Jun-24	18			
26-Jun-24	23			
ASR4				
04-Jun-24	46	27-46	237	260
08-Jun-24	35			
14-Jun-24	39			
20-Jun-24	29			
26-Jun-24	27			

No exceedance of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP (Action or Limit Level) was recorded in the reporting period.

3.2.2 Construction Noise Monitoring

The construction noise monitoring results are summarized in **Table 3.3**. Graphical plots of the monitoring data are shown in **Appendix F**. The weather conditions in the reporting period are provided in **Appendix G**.

Table 3.3: Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results

Monitoring	Start	Mean and Ran	ge of Noise Lev	Limit Level for Leq (dB(A))	
Date	Time	Leq	L ₁₀	L ₉₀	•
NSR1					
04-Jun-24	14:30	50	52	45	75
14-Jun-24	14:25	49	51	42	-

Monitoring			els, dB(A)	Limit Level for Leq (dB(A))	
Date	Time	Leq	L ₁₀	L ₉₀	
20-Jun-24	13:46	50	51	42	-
26-Jun-24	13:25	48	49	43	-
NSR3					
04-Jun-24	13:40	48	50	42	75
14-Jun-24	13:36	46	47	41	-
20-Jun-24	14:35	44	47	40	-
26-Jun-24	14:17	48	50	41	-
NSR5					
04-Jun-24	10:49	54	56	48	75
14-Jun-24	10:21	49	51	44	-
20-Jun-24	10:50	48	50	44	-
26-Jun-24	10:40	50	52	45	-
NSR7					
04-Jun-24	09:53	68	69	66	75
14-Jun-24	09:30	67	69	64	-
20-Jun-24	09:58	68	69	64	-
26-Jun-24	09:50	66	68	64	-

No exceedance (Action/Limit Level) of construction noise was recorded in the reporting period.

3.2.3 Water Quality Monitoring

The water quality monitoring results are summarized in **Table 3.4**. Graphical plots of the monitoring data are shown in **Appendix F**. The weather conditions in the reporting period are provided in **Appendix G**.

Table 3.4: Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results

Monitoring Date	Temp (°C)	рН	Dissolved Oxygen (DO) (mg/L)	DO (%)	Turbidity (NTU)	BOD (mg/L) ⁽¹⁾	Suspended Solids (mg/L) ⁽¹⁾
MP3							
03/06/2024	28.5	7.4	<u>5.2</u>	67.6	4.6	3	6
05/06/2024	25.9	7.0	<u>5.7</u>	70.6	6.2	3	8
07/06/2024	27.2	7.3	<u>5.4</u>	68.7	12.8	5	14
11/06/2024	30.1	7.5	<u>5.5</u>	73.0	5	3	5
13/06/2024	30.0	7.4	<u>5.6</u>	74.4	4.5	<2	6
15/06/2024	29.6	7.3	<u>5.6</u>	74.1	14.9	<2	4
17/06/2024	30.8	7.1	<u>4.5</u>	61.1	2.1	<2	6
19/06/2024	31.2	7.2	<u>5.9</u>	79.4	2.1	4	5
21/06/2024	32.9	7.0	<u>4.0</u>	56.3	2.2	2	3
24/06/2024	33.3	7.1	<u>3.7</u>	51.1	3.8	3	9
26/06/2024	33.0	7.4	6.7	93.4	1.8	3	9
28/06/2024	33.1	7.4	<u>6.1</u>	85.5	4.6	5	9
Action Level	-	<5.5 or >7.5	<6.85	-	>64	-	>65
Limit Level	-	<4.0 or >8.0	<6.65	-	>67	-	>66
MP4							
03/06/2024	27.4	7.1	<u>3.5</u>	44.0	13.6	2	15
05/06/2024	24.3	6.5	5.1	61.4	32.2	3	39
07/06/2024	26.4	6.8	5.8	71.6	26.5	4	33
11/06/2024	28.1	7.1	4.5	57.6	14.2	2	16
13/06/2024	29.2	7.0	5.2	68.3	21.7	3	27
15/06/2024	28.8	7.0	4.8	63.7	18.2	4	20
17/06/2024	30.4	6.9	5.1	68.4	13.1	2	17
19/06/2024	30.2	6.9	4.4	58.7	11.5	2	13
21/06/2024	31.5	6.9	5.1	68.6	11.8	<2	14
24/06/2024	31.2	7.0	4.8	64.5	15.4	3	19
26/06/2024	29.9	6.8	4.1	54.1	14.4	3	14
28/06/2024	31.8	6.8	4.9	67.1	26.4	4	28
Action Level	-	<5.5 or >7.5	<3.91	-	>60	-	>50
Limit Level	-	<4.0 or >8.0	<3.82	-	>64	-	>53
MP5							
03/06/2024	27.8	7.3	5.4	69.1	9.2	3	10
05/06/2024	24.4	7.1	4.9	58.6	22.0	<2	17
07/06/2024	26.3	7.0	3.9	47.8	12.3	2	15
11/06/2024	29.4	7.3	5.3	69.8	19.8	2	23
13/06/2024	29.6	7.1	5.0	66.1	28.7	3	34
15/06/2024	29.2	7.2	5.3	69.5	15.2	<2	19
17/06/2024	30.4	7.0	4.8	64.1	20.3	<2	26
19/06/2024	31.3	6.9	4.0	53.3	16.3	2	17

Monitoring Date	Temp (°C)	рН	Dissolved Oxygen (DO) (mg/L)	DO (%)	Turbidity (NTU)	BOD (mg/L) ⁽¹⁾	Suspended Solids (mg/L) ⁽¹⁾
21/06/2024	31.3	7.1	4.6	61.6	18.3	2	22
24/06/2024	31.0	7.2	5.8	78.0	26.5	5	35
26/06/2024	31.2	7.1	8.5	114.3	30.6	10	42
28/06/2024	31.0	7.0	6.6	89.2	35.9	7	47
Action Level	-	<5.5 or >7.5	<4.13	-	>81	-	>66
Limit Level	-	<4.0 or >8.0	<3.87	-	>84	-	>69
MP6							
03/06/2024	28.1	7.4	5.5	70.7	6.9	3	7
05/06/2024	24.6	7.0	4.8	57.6	25.4	3	23
07/06/2024	26.4	6.9	<u>4.3</u>	54.0	11.1	2	14
11/06/2024	29.4	7.2	5.8	75.6	21.0	2	21
13/06/2024	29.4	7.0	4.9	64.8	23.9	<2	35
15/06/2024	29.0	7.2	5.0	65.1	14.7	<2	16
17/06/2024	30.3	7.1	5.4	72.4	18.1	<2	22
19/06/2024	30.7	7.0	4.6	62.0	13.3	<2	16
21/06/2024	31.0	7.0	5.4	72.5	16.5	<2	23
24/06/2024	30.9	7.1	6.2	83.1	25.4	4	35
26/06/2024	31.1	7.1	8.5	114.7	26.3	10	35
28/06/2024	30.9	7.0	7.0	94.1	30.2	6	42
Action Level	-	<5.5 or >7.5	<4.61	=	>94	-	>75
Limit Level	-	<4.0 or >8.0	<4.52	-	>96	-	>75

Notes:

(1) "<2": Value is too low to indicate (<2mg/L).

(2) For the Limit Level of DO, 1-percentile of baseline data is adopted as it is greater than 2mg/L. (Refer to Baseline Monitoring Report)

(3) Values in **Bold** indicate Action Level exceedance.

(4) Values <u>Underlined and in Bold</u> indicate Limit Level exceedance.

3.2.3.1 Exceedance Investigation and Findings

During June 2024, a total of four Action Level exceedance of DO and 13 Limit Level exceedances of DO for water quality were observed. One Action Level exceedances of DO, and 11 Limit Level exceedances of DO were recorded at MP3; one Limit Level exceedance of DO was recorded at MP4; two Action Level exceedances of DO were recorded at MP5; and one Action Level and one Limit Level exceedances of DO were recorded at MP6.

Exceedance of DO at MP3

Exceedances of the Action Level of DO was observed on 26 June 2024. Exceedances of the Limit Level of DO were observed on 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 24, 26 and 28 June 2024.

As understood, the fishpond near the site (represented by MP3) is separated from the open ditch by the pond bund (since commencement of construction phase EM&A monitoring in May 2010) and from the construction site by the WRA (since it was completed in November 2010). No direct discharge from the project site to the fishpond was observed. It is noted as well that there have been no heavy construction activities in the reporting period.

Mitigation measures for water quality protection, including the provision of wastewater treatment

facilities (with sedimentation tank and AquaSed) and proper drainage system that separates from the WRA, have been implemented (see Photo 1 & 2). No adverse impact on the fishpond near the site was observed, including on the days with exceedance of water quality parameters.

It is noted from AFCD's Environmental Management of Pond Fish Culture (EMPFC) guidelines from its Series of Good Aquaculture Practice that for good water quality DO levels should be maintained above 4 mg/L. Most of the recorded values for DO were above the recommended minimum. Aerators were observed on all days with DO exceedance so as to mitigate low DO levels. Nevertheless, the Contractor was reminded to implement the water quality mitigation measures in accordance with the recommendation stated in Section 5.6.1 - 5.6.4 of the EIA Report as far as practicable.

It is therefore concluded that the DO exceedances at MP3 were possibly due to localised natural variations and external factors such as pond fish culture activities in the fishpond represented by MP3, which are not related to project activities.

Exceedance of DO at MP4, MP5 and MP6

Exceedances of the Limit Level of DO were observed on 3 June 2024 at MP4 and 7 June 2024 at MP6. Exceedances of the Action Level of DO were observed on 7 and 19 June 2024 at MP5 and 19 June 2025 at MP6.

On the days of DO exceedance at MP4, MP5 and MP6 some growth of water plant was observed (see Photos 17-21). It is possible that excessive growth of vegetation and its degradation may have led to a decrease in the DO level in the water. It is believed that the DO exceedances at MP4, MP5 and MP6 were probably due to localised natural variations, water plant growth and its degradation, and external activities unrelated to the project.

Conclusion

As a result, the abovementioned exceedances were unlikely to be due to the project works and therefore not considered to be related to the project.

Photo 1 Installation of barrier at the drainage channel to intercept site runoff and pump the wastewater to the sedimentation tanks prior to wastewater treatment facilities (AquaSed) (near discharge outlet to the northern ditches)



Photo 2 Wastewater treatment facilities (AquaSed, sedimentation tanks) inside the site (near discharge outlet to the northern ditches)



Photo 3 Appearance of the water body at MP3 on 3 June 2024



Photo 4 Appearance of the water body at MP3 on 5 June 2024



Photo 5 Appearance of the water body at MP3 on 7 June 2024



Photo 6 Appearance of the water body at MP3 on 11 June 2024



Photo 7 Appearance of the water body at MP3 on 13 June 2024



Photo 8 Appearance of the water body at MP3 on 15 June 2024




Photo 9 Appearance of the water body at MP3 on 17 June 2024



Photo 10 Appearance of the water body at MP3 on 19 June 2024



Photo 11 Appearance of the water body at MP3 on 21 June 2024




Photo 12 Appearance of the water body at MP3 on 24 June 2024




Photo 13 Appearance of the water body at MP3 on 26 June 2024



Photo 14 Appearance of the water body at MP3 on 28 June 2024




Photo 17 Appearance of the water body at MP4 on 3 June 2024



Photo 18 Appearance of the water body at MP5 on 7 June 2024



Photo 19 Appearance of the water body at MP5 on 19 June 2024



Photo 20 Appearance of the water body at MP6 on 7 June 2024



Photo 21 Appearance of the water body at MP6 on 19 June 2024



4 Ecological Monitoring

4.1 Monitoring of Birds

This report documents surveys conducted in the Survey Area between 1 and 30 June 2024. The Wetland Restoration Area (WRA) is also surveyed as the area is accessible and site formation works for WRA has been completed. The updated survey transect is provided in **Figure 4.1**. Dates and ecological surveys conducted during this period are summarised in **Appendix H**.

Monitoring was undertaken following the survey methodology in the EM&A Manual. A transect was followed in the bird surveys (see **Figure 4.1**). All bird species were identified to species and all bird species of conservation importance and/or wetland dependent were enumerated and recorded to the habitats in which they were observed. Flying birds were not recorded unless they were foraging and/or associated with the habitat (such as swifts, swallows and birds of prey). Further, notable bird observations during other surveys or site inspections were also recorded.

Bird surveys were conducted on a weekly basis. A total of 28 bird species were recorded in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA) during regular surveys in the reporting month. Among them 12 were species of conservation importance and/or wetland-dependence. Within the WRA, 25 bird species were recorded in the reporting month. Among them 10 were species of conservation importance and/or wetland-dependence including two of the three target species (Little Egret and Chinese Pond Heron).

The WRA attracted several species of conservation importance in June 2024, including the Great Egret (*Ardea alba*), Little Egret (*Egretta garzetta*), Chinese Pond Heron (*Ardeola bacchus*), Yellow Bittern (*Ixobrychus* sinensis), Black-crowned Night Heron (*Nycticorax nycticorax*), Black Kite (*Milvus migrans*), Black-winged Stilt (*Himantopus Himantopus*) and Pied Kingfisher (*Ceryle rudis*). Yellow Bittern, Black-crowned Night Heron and Pied Kingfisher are listed by Fellowes et al. as of "Local Concern" in 2002. Great Egret, Little Egret and Chinese Pond Heron are listed by Fellowes et al. as of "Potential Regional Concern" in 2002. Black Kite and Black-winged Stilt are listed by Fellowes et al. as of "Regional Concern" in 2002.

Greater Painted Snipe (*Rostratula benghalensis*) which was regularly recorded in the WRA (mainly on the non-vegetated island of Cell 3) in April 2024 was not recorded in May and June 2024. Weeding works were resumed on the islands of Cell 3 and Cell 4 at the end of June 2024.

A summary of survey data is provided in Appendix I.

4.2 Monitoring of Herpetofauna

One nighttime herpetofauna survey was conducted on 18 June 2024 and one day-time herpetofauna survey was conducted on 4 June 2024. Herpetofauna recorded during other surveys or site inspection works were recorded as 'outside survey'.

Two amphibian species (Asian Common Toad *Bufo melanostictus* and Asiatic Painted Frog *Kaloula pulchra pulchra*) and two reptile species (Bowring's Gecko *Hemidactylus bowringii* and Checkered Keelback *Xenochrophis flavipunctatus*) were recorded in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA) in the reporting month.

Within the WRA, six amphibian species (Asian Common Toad *Bufo melanostictus*, Gunther's Frog *Hylarana guentheri*, Paddy Frog *Fejervarya limnocharis*, Brown Tree Frog *Polypedates megacephalus*, Asiatic Painted Frog *Kaloula pulchra pulchra* and Ornate Pigmy Frog *Microhyla*

fissipes) and three reptile species (Bowring's Gecko Hemidactylus bowringii, Taiwan Kukri Oligodon formosanus and Checkered Keelback Xenochrophis flavipunctatus) were recorded during regular surveys.

The snake Taiwan Kukri (*Oligodon formosanus*) was recorded for the first time in the WRA and in the whole study.

A summary of the survey findings is provided in **Appendix J**.

4.3 Monitoring of Dragonflies and Butterflies

Two odonate and butterfly surveys were conducted on 11 and 25 June 2024. Odonates and butterflies recorded during other surveys or site inspection works were recorded as 'outside survey'.

11 odonate and 10 butterfly species were recorded in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA) during regular surveys in the reporting month. Among them the Coastal Glider (*Macrodiplax cora*) was listed by Fellowes *et al.* as of "Local Concern" in 2002.

Within the WRA, 14 odonate species and 16 butterfly species were recorded in the reporting month. One additional butterfly species were also recorded outside regular surveys, bringing the total number of butterfly species recorded to 17.

The butterfly Common Archduke (*Lexias pardalis*) was recorded for the first time in the WRA and in the whole study.

A summary of the survey findings is provided In **Appendix J**.

4.4 Monitoring of Mammals

Monitoring of mammals was conducted concurrently with other faunal surveys. Any mammal species encountered during site inspections or other habitat management works were recorded as 'outside survey'.

No mammal species was recorded in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA) in the reporting month.

One mammal species (Japanese Pipistrelle *Pipistrellus abramus*) was recorded within the WRA during regular surveys.

A summary of the survey findings is provided in **Appendix J**.

4.5 Monitoring of Water Quality

Regular water level monitoring works were conducted on 11 June 2024. Amber rainstorm warning signal was in force on 15 June 2024. Water level in the WRA was then adjusted by opening the sluice gates between Cells and discharging water from WRA via sluice gate in Cell 4 for 10 days. Additional water level monitoring was conducted on 25 June 2024 after the water level adjustment.

The water levels in the reporting month ranged between 175cm and 220cm during the water level monitoring works. The water levels of Cell 1, Cell 2 and Cell 4 did not reach action level in June 2024.

The water level of the Cells allowed wetland birds to use them as foraging site and attracted bird such as Pied Kingfisher (*Ceryle rudis*) to forage. The shallower areas along the edges of ponds

and islets attracted ardeids such as Great Egret (*Ardea alba*), as well as the target species Little Egret (*Egretta garzetta*) and Chinese Pond Heron (*Ardeola bacchus*). These areas were also used by other wetland-dependent birds as foraging and/or perching sites, such as White-breasted Waterhen (*Amaurornis phoenicurus*). The current water level will be kept for wetland bird usage.

In-situ water quality monitoring of all other parameters was conducted on 18 June 2024.

Monitoring data is presented in **Appendix K**. Locations for the monitoring of water quality for the ecological monitoring are shown in **Figure 4.2**.

4.6 Management Activities

4.6.1 Vegetation Management

Regular vegetation management activities undertaken at the site in June 2024 primarily involved removal of broken branches along the main access road, clearance of excessive grass and exotic species along Cell bunds, main access road and open water areas of Cell 1, Cell 2, Cell 3 and Cell 4.

Fallen leaves along the main access road of Cell 3 and Cell 4 were swept or blown aside and formed thicker piles of plant materials on both sides of the main access road. These piles were used to attract herpetofauna and butterflies.

Vegetation along the main access road and the edge of Cell bunds provided foraging and roosting habitats for breeding birds, as well as nectar sources for butterflies and rooting sites of dragonflies. Pruning will be kept to a minimum if the branches do not block the passage.

Vegetations of the islands of Cell 3 and Cell 4 were cleared in June 2024 to maintain the non-vegetated habitat as there was no subsequent sighting of Greater Painted Snipe in the WRA.

Plant matter and detritus accumulated at the sluice gate of Cell 4 was cleared to facilitate water discharge from the WRA.

4.6.2 Wildlife Management

During the site inspection work in June 2024, no active Red Imported Fire Ant nest was recorded. Any active nest of the Red Imported Ant identified in the following months will be treated with AFCD approved pesticide.

Egg masses of the Apple Snails (*Pomacea canaliculata*) found along the man-made structures of the WRA (e.g. PVC pipes, sluice gates between all Cells, concrete structures of all Cells and concrete walls of Cell 4) were cleared by hand.

Egg masses of the Apple Snails growing on aquatic vegetation of Cell 1, Cell 2, Cell 3 and Cell 4 were cleared by hand. About 600 egg masses of Apple Snail were cleared.

Apple Snails were collected from aquatic vegetation and let dry on the main access road during vegetation management works. About 500 Apple Snails were removed.

Mitigation actions have been taken in the WRA during the survey period to increase the WRA utilization by wetland dependent species and birds, including:

- 1. Controlling the vegetation at Cell 1, Cell 2, Cell 3 and Cell 4; and
- 2. Controlling the water level at Cell 1, Cell 2, Cell 3 and Cell 4.

4.7 Summary

Ecological monitoring during June 2024 was carried out according to the survey methodology and frequency outlined in the EM&A Manual.

A summary of the survey findings is listed in **Table 4.1**:

Table 4.1: Summary of Ecological Monitoring in WRA and Survey Area under EM&A Manual

Species	Species Survey Area (excluding WRA)	
Birds	28	25
Birds (of conservation importance and/or wetland-dependence)	12	10
Amphibians	2	6
Reptiles	2	3
Mammals	0	1
Odonates	11	14
Butterflies	10	17

Survey findings indicate that the ponds within the Survey Area supported numbers and diversity of wetland-dependent birds typical of fishpond areas. The WRA continues to attract wetland dependent fauna and serves as a buffer between the residential portion and the fishponds in the north.

Two of the three target species Little Egret (*Egretta garzetta*) and Chinese Pond Heron (*Ardeola bacchus*) were observed foraging along the shallow water areas (including the edge of the cells and non-vegetated islets) or perching on tall vegetations within the WRA.

Dense and tall vegetations along the edge of the main access road also attracted insectivorous birds, such as Prinias (*Prinia spp.*) and Oriental Magpie Robin (*Copsychus saularis*). The vegetations also act as nighttime roosts for diurnal birds. The nectar sources also attracted foraging butterflies during the daytime. These vegetation stands will be kept provided that the branches do not overgrow and obscure the main access road. During the vegetation clearance some of these areas were only treated with minimum trimming to preserve suitable habitats for the wildlife.

The reedbed and adjacent vegetations (mainly Cattail stand) were used by Yellow Bittern as breeding sites according to previous reports. Cattail (*Typha sp.*) is an exotic species and requires regular clearance to prevent over growing and reducing the area of open water and reedbed habitats. In March 2024 most of the Cattail stands within the WRA were cleared before the breeding season of the Yellow Bittern. The area cleared will allow more space for the growth of reedbed. In June 2024 there was no noticeable Cattail stand growing back within the WRA. The size of the regrown Cattail stands will be closely monitored before conducting any clearance work in the coming months.

Fallen leaves scattered along the main access road were swept aside and formed a thicker layer of fallen plant materials. These piled-up plant materials are used to attract amphibians, reptiles and butterflies within the WRA.

Site inspection works conducted in June 2024 confirmed that all tracks and paths in the WRA were intact and well-maintained by regular weeding and tree trimming.

Site inspection works conducted in June 2024 confirmed that all hoarding between the construction site and the WRA were intact.

35

Site inspection work on 28 May 2024 discovered that the fence between the fishpond and Cell 1 has a hole. The hole might have been dug by animals such as feral dogs or wild boars. The damage was reported to the person in charge of the construction site to follow up and repair. Site inspection work on 25 June 2024 confirmed that the damage was not yet repaired.

5 Landscape and Visual

5.1 Site Inspections

The EM&A programme for Landscape and Visual impact due to the construction commenced in June 2010 and continued during the reporting period. Site inspections on Landscape and Visual impact were carried out on 13 and 28 June 2024 to confirm the implementation of mitigation measures at the construction stage.

The Event and Action Plan for Landscape & Visual is shown in Appendix D.

5.2 Construction Phase Audit Summary

The audit was undertaken with reference to the specific checklists provided in **Table 2.13 – Table 2.15** and audit results are summarized below in **Table 5.1**. Representative photos showing the implementation of mitigation measures are presented in **Appendix M**.

Table 5.1: Construction and Operation Phase Audit Summary

Area of Works	Items of be Monitored
Works Area	The boundaries of the works area have been established on site in accordance with the contract documents and approved plans (EP), and the limit of current heavy construction activity is now confined to within the site hoardings (North side of the site / access road) and the noise barriers (other sides of the site). Minor works such as horticultural maintenance of the planting and transplanted trees, and boundary fence repair was proceeding along the Royal Palms – Palm Springs boundary. (Appendix M Photo 1 – Table 2.14 CM2 refers) No construction works were observed to have exceeded the site boundaries. No construction was carried out at the wetland restoration area after 15 November 2010.
Protection of all trees and woodland blocks to be retained	Trees retained within the site along the northeast boundary, beside wetland restoration area, have been identified and protected by temporary protective fencing.
Streams	The works site is partly encircled by a berm / perimeter channel to intercept surface water and prevent it from washing off into any of the neighbouring sites. Surface water is collected within the site in a temporary drainage channel. Gravels beds and barriers have been installed to filter site runoff, sedimentation ponds have been provided to enable primary treatment before discharge to mains drains.
Clearance of existing vegetation	Site clearance was completed prior to the commencement of construction.
Transplanting of trees	Tree transplanting has been completed, with the trees relocated to various points within the planting strip along the southern boundary of the site, outside the noise barrier. Most of the trees continue to re-establish well. Trees such as tree no. AA34, 36 & 291 were observed to have poor health condition. Regular watering and close monitoring of these trees are recommended.
Topsoil stripping	Suitable pond bund and soil material which had been excavated and stockpiled from the original site, has now been re-used within the landscape works.
New buildings	Dust suppression measures are active along all internal site access tracks. No new permanent buildings have yet been constructed on site.
Boundaries	Hoardings have been erected along most of the boundaries of the site. Installation of new screen fence between the future residential sites and the constructed wetland restoration areas is complete. Fence has been painted green to match with the surrounding vegetated environment. (Appendix M Photo 1 – Table 2.14 CM2 refers)
Noise Barrier	Noise barriers have been installed along the southern and western boundaries of the site in accordance with the contract requirements. Their design complies with the mitigation requirements, with upper 6 to 7m portion of the barrier being made from a translucent material with green tinted (to match with the environment). Supporting GMS structure, likewise, has been painted green. (Appendix M Photo 3 – Table 2.14 CM6 refers).

Area of Works	Items of be Monitored
Night-time lighting	No night-time works were reported to have been carried out during the monitoring period.
Landscape and wetland treatments generally	Continuous belt of screen planting along the southern and western boundaries of the site has been completed. The formation, soiling and water control structures of the wetland restoration area have been completed. (Appendix M Photo 3 – Table 2.14 CM6 refers) The wetland areas have been established, with the ponds are being seasonally filled with rainwater. Planting of areas around the WRA cells is complete. No construction was carried out at the wetland restoration area after 15 November 2010. (Appendix M Photo 2 – Table 2.14 CM2 refers)
Soiling, etc.	The soil placement and grading for each of the wetland restoration areas has been completed. Refilling for those holes left after the whole tree removal works has been completed.
Plant supply	The plant material used in the Advance Planting Strip and in the WRA are all commonly available species and came from commercial sources.
	Transplanted reeds (<i>Phragmites australis</i>) at the wetland habitat came from the temporary holding nursery onsite.
Planting	The tree species are all from the approved list.
	Seedling trees and shrubs have been established at the margins of the wetland cells. Some invasive species and undesirable exotic species have been found during site inspection; removal of these species should be undertaken on a regular basis.
Establishment Works	The advance planting, the compensatory planting and transplanted trees are generally being maintained by the landscape sub-contractor in accordance with the specification to ensure that the contract requirements are met.
	Tree no. 56, 59, 71 and 292 were removed due to safety concern.
	Cracks were observed on tree nos. 311and 398. Appropriate treatments such as pruning or tree removal are recommended.
	Signs of presence of termites were observed on tree nos. 9, 28, 212, 261, 298, 328 and 347. Application of pesticide and close monitoring of the trees are recommended.
	Growth of fungi was observed on tree no. 418. Application of fungicide and close monitoring of the tree are recommended.
	Removal of overgrown weeds, unplanned tree seedlings and invasive climbers in the space behind screen noise barrier needs to be undertaken on a monthly basis as they may inhibit the advance planting.
	Regular removal of invasive species (i.e., apple snails, <i>Leucaena leucocephala, Mikania micrantha, Mimosa pudica, Bidens alba, Ludwigia erecta, Sesbania cannabina</i> , etc.) in WRA should be undertaken.
	The growth of shrubs / seedlings on the north side of the WRA remains fair.

6 Environmental Site Inspection and Audit

6.1 Site Inspection

The ET had carried out construction phase weekly site inspections on 5, 13, 21 and 28 June 2024. All observations have been recorded in the site inspection checklist and passed to the Contractor together with the appropriate recommended mitigation measures where necessary. The key observations from site inspections and associated recommendations are summarized in **Table 6.1**.

Table 6.1: Summary of Site Inspections and Recommendations

Key Observations	ET Recommendation	Contractor's Responses / Action(s) Undertaken	Close-out (Date)
Nil			

6.2 Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status

The Contractor has been registered as a chemical waste producer for the Project. Construction and demolition (C&D) material sorting was carried out on site. A sufficient number of receptacles were available for general refuse collection.

As advised by the Contractor, no inert C&D material (i.e. broken concrete/ big boulders) were generated on site and sent to a sorting facility for recycling into rockfill. No metals were generated and collected by registered recycling collector. No paper/cardboard packing and no plastics were generated on site and collected by registered recycling collector. No chemical waste was generated and collected by licensed chemical waste collector. No other types of wastes (e.g. general refuse) were generated on site and disposed of at public landfill facility.

The Contractor is advised to maintain on site waste sorting and recording system and maximize reuse / recycling of C&D wastes, whenever these are generated.

6.3 Status of Environmental Licences and Permits

The environmental permits, licences, and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project which were valid during the reporting period is summarised in **Table 6.2**.

Table 6.2: Status of Environmental Submissions, Licences and Permits

Statutory Reference	Description	Permit/Reference No.	Status
EIAO	Environmental Permit	EP-311/2008/E	Valid
APCO	Notification of Construction Work under APCO	2018-027-A-1 (20 July 2020)	Valid
WPCO	Discharge Licence	WT00037436-2021 (21 Apr 2021)	Valid
WDO	Registration as Chemical Waste Producer	WPN0000-542-H3083-04	Valid
WDO	Bill Account for Disposal	700945423	Valid

Legend: EIAO – Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance; APCO – Air Pollution Control Ordinance; WPCO – Water Pollution Control Ordinance; WDO – Waste Disposal Ordinance

6.4 Recommended Mitigation Measures

The EM&A programme followed the recommended mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual. The EM&A requirements as well as the summary of implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures are provided in **Appendix L**. In particular, the following mitigation measures continue to be implemented at the site:

Air Quality

 Access roads should be sprayed with water or dust suppression chemical to maintain the entire road surface wet or paved.

Water Quality

- Site effluent should be discharged in accordance with the discharge licence.
- The site should be confined and properly maintained to avoid silt runoff.
- Chemicals will always be stored on drip trays or in bunded areas.

Waste Management

- The chemical waste storage area should be clearly labelled.
- General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D and chemical wastes.

7 Report on Non-compliance, Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

7.1 Record of non-compliance of Action and Limit Levels

There was no breach of Action or Limit Levels for Air Quality and Noise monitoring in the reporting month.

During June 2024, a total of four Action Level exceedance of DO and 13 Limit Level exceedances of DO for water quality were observed. One Action Level exceedances of DO, and 11 Limit Level exceedances of DO were recorded at MP3; one Limit Level exceedance of DO was recorded at MP4; two Action Level exceedances of DO were recorded at MP5; and one Action Level and one Limit Level exceedances of DO were recorded at MP6.

A summary is presented in **Table 7.1** below.

Table 7.1: Summary of Exceedances in Water Quality

Monitoring Date	рН	Dissolved Oxygen (DO) (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)	Suspended Solids (mg/L) ⁽¹⁾
MP3				
03/06/2024	7.4	<u>5.2</u>	4.6	6
05/06/2024	7.0	<u>5.7</u>	6.2	8
07/06/2024	7.3	<u>5.4</u>	12.8	14
11/06/2024	7.5	<u>5.5</u>	5	5
13/06/2024	7.4	<u>5.6</u>	4.5	6
15/06/2024	7.3	<u>5.6</u>	14.9	4
17/06/2024	7.1	<u>4.5</u>	2.1	6
19/06/2024	7.2	<u>5.9</u>	2.1	5
21/06/2024	7.0	<u>4.0</u>	2.2	3
24/06/2024	7.1	<u>3.7</u>	3.8	9
26/06/2024	7.4	6.7	1.8	9
28/06/2024	7.4	<u>6.1</u>	4.6	9
Action Level	<5.5 or >7.5	<6.85	>64	>65
Limit Level	<4.0 or >8.0	<6.65	>67	>66
MP4				
03/06/2024	7.1	<u>3.5</u>	13.6	15
Action Level	<5.5 or >7.5	<3.91	>60	>50
Limit Level	<4.0 or >8.0	<3.82	>64	>53
MP5				
07/06/2024	7.0	3.9	12.3	15
19/06/2024	6.9	4.0	16.3	17
Action Level	<5.5 or >7.5	<4.13	>81	>66
Limit Level	<4.0 or >8.0	<3.87	>84	>69
MP6				
07/06/2024	6.9	<u>4.3</u>	11.1	14
19/06/2024	7.0	4.6	13.3	16

Monitoring Date	рН	Dissolved Oxygen (DO) (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)	Suspended Solids (mg/L) ⁽¹⁾
Action Level	<5.5 or >7.5	<4.61	>94	>75
Limit Level	<4.0 or >8.0	<4.52	>96	>75

Notes:

- "<2": Value is too low to indicate (<2mg/L).
- (2) For the Limit Level of DO, 1-percentile of baseline data is adopted as it is greater than 2mg/L. (Refer to Baseline Monitoring Report)
- (3) Values in **Bold** indicate Action Level exceedance.
- (4) Values <u>Underlined and in Bold</u> indicate Limit Level exceedance.

7.2 Record on Environmental Complaints Received

No environmental complaint was received during the reporting month.

7.3 Record on Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution

No notifications of summons or successful prosecution were received the reporting month.

7.4 Review of Reasons for and Implications of Non-compliance, Complaints, Summons and Prosecutions

Non-compliance

Investigations have been carried out to identify the causes of the water quality exceedances.

The investigation findings are presented in **Section 3.2.3.1**. It was concluded that the above-mentioned exceedances were unlikely to be due to the construction works and therefore not considered to be related to the project.

Complaints, Summons and Prosecutions

Not applicable for this reporting month.

7.5 Follow-up Actions Taken

Non-compliance

The Event and Action Plan has been followed. Although it is considered that the exceedances were not related to the Project, the Contractor was reminded to implement the water quality mitigation measures in accordance with the recommendation stated in Section 5.6.1-5.6.4 of the EIA Report as far as practicable, regular spot checks would be conducted on the nearby discharge by the Contractor and he would inform the ET for investigation.

Complaints, Summons and Prosecutions

Not applicable for this reporting month.

7.6 Cumulative Statistics for Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

Cumulative statistics for complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions for the Project account for period starting from the date of commencement of construction (i.e., 12 May 2010) to the end of the reporting month and are summarized in **Table 7.2** below.

Table 7.2: Statistics for Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution

Reporting Period		Cumulative Statistic	CS	
	Complaints	Notifications of Summons	Successful Prosecutions	
This reporting month (June 2024)	0	0	0	
From 12 May 2010 to end of the reporting month (June 2024)	8	0	0	

8 Future Key Issues

8.1 Site Preparatory Works and Construction Works for the Coming Month

Site works to be commissioned in the coming month include:

- Regular maintenance work for the Wetland Restoration Area (including adjusting the water level, if required, and removal of unwanted species in the pond)
- No heavy construction works

8.2 Key Issues for the Coming Months

Key issues to be considered in the coming three months include:

- Provision of water spraying or dust suppression chemical to prevent generation of dust from activities on-site and the haul road during dry weather conditions;
- Provision of wheel washing facilities at vehicle exit point;
- Generation and treatment of site surface runoffs and wastewater from activities on-site and during wet weather conditions;
- Sorting, recycling, storage and disposal of general refuse and construction waste from activities on-site; and
- Management of chemicals and avoidance of oil spillage on-site and to the drainage system.

8.3 Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month

The environmental site inspection and environmental monitoring will be continued in the coming month. Impact monitoring for air, noise, water quality, ecology and landscape and visual in accordance with the approved EM&A Manual has commenced since 12 May 2010. The tentative monitoring schedule for July 2024 is shown in the **Table 8.1**.

Table 8.1: Tentative Environmental Monitoring and Audit Schedule for the Next Reporting Month

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
	1	2	;	3 4	5	
		Water		Water	24-hr TSP	Water
		24-hr TSP			1-hr TSP	
	HKSAR Establishment Day	1-hr TSP			*	
	Day	Noise Monitoring				
		Bird				
7	8	9	10	11	12	1:
	Water	Bird	Water	Dragonfly & Butterfly	Water	
		Herpetofauna (day-time)	24-hr TSP	Water Quality Monitoring	*	
			1-hr TSP		Landscape	
			Noise Monitoring			
14	15	16	11	7 18	19	20
	Water	24-hr TSP	Water	*	Water	
	@	1-hr TSP				
		Noise Monitoring				
		Bird				
21	22	23	24	1 25	26	27
	Water	Bird	Water	Dragonfly & Butterfly	Water	
	24-hr TSP	Herpetofauna (night-time)		*	24-hr TSP	
	1-hr TSP	(iligiit-tilile)	Landscape		1-hr TSP	
	Noise Monitoring					
28	29	30	3	1		
20	Water	Bird	Water			
				*		

^{*} Site Audit by Mott MacDonald (MM)

@ Report Submission (Monthly EM&A Report)

8.4 Conclusions and Recommendations

Ecological Surveys & Landscape Audits indicated in **bold font**

8.4.1 Conclusions

The EM&A programme as recommended in the EM&A manual has been undertaken in the reporting month since 12 May 2010.

Monitoring of Air Quality, Noise, Water Quality, Ecology and Landscape and Visual impacts due to the Project was under way. In particular, the 1-hr TSP, 24-hr TSP, noise level (as L_{eq}) and water quality parameters (such as pH, DO, turbidity and SS) under monitoring have been checked against established Action and Limit levels.

There was no breach of Action or Limit Levels for Air Quality and Noise monitoring in the reporting month.

During June 2024, a total of four Action Level exceedance of DO and 13 Limit Level exceedances of DO for water quality were observed. One Action Level exceedances of DO, and 11 Limit Level exceedances of DO were recorded at MP3; one Limit Level exceedance of DO was recorded at MP4; two Action Level exceedances of DO were recorded at MP5; and one Action Level and one Limit Level exceedances of DO were recorded at MP6.

8.4.2 Recommendations

With considerations on the construction activities and environment, the following recommendations were provided:

Air Quality

- All stockpiles should be covered by tarpaulin or kept wet by water spraying;
- All vehicles should be washed to remove any dusty materials before leaving the construction sites;
- The portion of road leading the construction site that is within 30m of a designated vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of dusty materials;
- During the dry season, sufficient water spraying should be provided at haul road to reduce dust emission; and
- Ensure proper functioning of the wheel wash facility.

Noise

- Mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible;
- Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should be orientated to direct noise away from the NSRs; and
- The construction activities should be better scheduled to reduce noise nuisance.

Water Quality

- Effluent should be discharged in accordance with the discharge licence conditions;
- Soil contaminated with chemicals/oils should be removed from site and the voids should be created filled with suitable materials; and
- Silt and debris should be removed from the temporary drainage channel regularly.

Waste Management

- General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D and chemical wastes to minimise odour, pest and litter impacts.
- Reuse the excavated materials as far as practical to reduce the amount of waste disposal;
- C&D waste should be segregated and stored in different containers to other wastes to encourage the re-use or recycling of materials and their proper disposal;
- Ensure drip trays are provided for chemical containers to prevent leakage or soil contamination;
- All plants and vehicles should be properly maintained to prevent oil leakage; and
- Oil stains on soil should be cleared by disposal of contaminated soil.

9 References

9.1 List of References

Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department. 2019. Hong Kong Biodiversity Database. https://www.afcd.gov.hk/english/conservation/hkbiodiversity/database/popup_record.php?id=74 8, 2019 -07-09

BirdLife International. 2017. *Important Bird Areas factsheet: Inner Deep Bay and Shenzhen River catchment area*. http://www.birdlife.org on 06/07/2017.

Carey, G. J., Chalmers, M. L., Diskin, D. A., Kennerley, P. R., Leader, P. J., Leven, M. R., Lewthwaite, R. W., Melville, D. S., Turnbull, M., and Young, L. 2001. *The Avifauna of Hong Kong*. Hong Kong Bird Watching Society, Hong Kong.

Chan, S.K.F., K.S. Cheung, C.Y. Ho, F.N Lam & W.S. Tam, 2005. *A Field Guide to the Amphibians of Hong Kong*. Cosmos Books Ltd., Hong Kong.

Fellowes, J.F., M.W.N. Lau, D. Dudgeon, G.T. Reels, G.W.J. Ades, G.J. Carey, B.P.L. Chan, R.C. Kendrick, K.S. Lee, M.R. Leven, K.D.P. Wilson, Y.T. Yu, 2002. *Wild Animals to Watch: Terrestrial and Freshwater Fauna of Conservation Concern in Hong Kong. Memoirs of the Hong Kong Natural History Society* 25:122-159.

Hong Kong Biodiversity Information Hub.

https://bih.gov.hk/en/species-database/index.html?taxon_group_id=4

Hong Kong Bird Watching Society 2020. List of Hong Kong Birds - 2020-03. www.hkbws.org.hk.

Hong Kong Observatory Climate Information Service.

https://www.hko.gov.hk/en/wxinfo/pastwx/mws/mws.htm">https://www.hko.gov.hk/en/wxinfo/pastwx/mws/mws.htm

Horiuchi, S., Odawara, T., Yonemura, S., Hayashi, Y., Kawaguchi, M., Asada, M., Kato, M. & Yasuhara, K. (2007, November). Floating structure using waste tires for water environmental remediation. In Scrap Tire Derived Geomaterials-Opportunities and Challenges: Proceedings of the International Workshop IW-TDGM 2007. p. 291. CRC Press.

IUCN 2023. *IUCN Red List of Threatened Species*. Version 2023-7. <www.iucnredlist.org>. Downloaded on **1st July 2023**

Karsen, S., M.W.N. Lau & A. Bogadek, 1998. *Hong Kong Amphibians and Reptiles*. Provisional Urban Council, Hong Kong.

List of National Protected Animal (updated on 5 Feb 2021) http://www.gov.cn/xinwen/2021-02/09/5586227/files/e007df5cdb364bcdbcb89d169047d6c5.pdf

Lo, P. Y. F. and W.L. Hui, 2004. Hong Kong Butterflies. Hong Kong, Cosmos Books Ltd.

Mott, 2008. WSW Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual (March 2008).

Mott, 2008. WSW Environmental Impact Assessment Report Volumes 1 to 3 (March 2008).

Mott, 2008. WSW Wetland Restoration Plan (March 2008).

Shek, C. T. 2006. A Field Guide to the Terrestrial Mammals of Hong Kong. Friends of the Country Parks Cosmos Books Ltd., Hong Kong.


Tam, T.W., K.K. Leung, B.S.P. Kwan, K.K.Y. Wu, S.S.H. Tang, I.W.Y. So, J.C.Y. Cheng, E.F.M. Yuen, Y.M. Tsang, and W.L. Hui, 2011. *The Dragonflies of Hong Kong (1st edition)*. Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department, Friends of Country Parks and Cosmos Books Ltd., Hong Kong.


Wilson, K.D.P., Tam, T.W., Kwan, B.S.P., Wu, K.K.Y., Wong, B.S.F., Wong J.K. 2004. *Field Guide to the Dragonflies of Hong Kong*. AFCD, Friends of Country Park and Cosmos Books Ltd. Hong Kong.


Young, J.J. & Yiu, V., 2002. Butterfly Watching in Hong Kong. Wan Li Book Co. Ltd., Hong Kong.


Zheng Guangmei and Wang Qishan (1998) (Edited), *China Red Data Book of Endangered Animals: Aves*, Science Press, Beijing.


Figures















Appendices

A.	Project Organization Chart	53
B.	Tentative Construction Programme (not used)	55
C.	Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase	57
D.	Event and Action Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Water Quality and Landscape & Visual	59
E.	Calibration Certificates	65
F.	Graphical Plots of the Monitoring Results	67
G.	Weather Conditions during the Monitoring Period	69
Н.	Ecological Monitoring Conducted	71
l.	Summary of Bird Surveys conducted	73
J.	Summary of Herpetofauna, Mammal and Insect Surveys Conducted	77
K.	Summary of Water Quality Monitoring associated with Ecological Monitoring conducte	d 81
L.	Environmental Mitigation Measures - Implementation Status	83
M.	Landscape and Visual Audit Photos	89

A. Project Organization Chart



Contact information:

Company	Position	Name	Telephone
Profit Point Enterprises Limited (Project Proponent)	Project Manager	Mr. Benjamin Wu	3655 6800
In Construction Limited	Construction Manager	Mr. Chun Kit Tse	9400 7007
(The Main Contractor)	Site Agent	Mr. Chi Hei Leung	6775 1468
	Safety Officer	Mr. Wong Kam Leung	2710 8663
	Environment Officer	Mr. Vega T. L. Wong	6113 2368
Ramboll Hong Kong Limited (Independent Environmental Checker (IEC))	Independent Environmental Checker	Mr. Y H Hui	3465 2850
Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Ltd. (Environmental Team (ET))	Environmental Team Leader	Ms. Nikita Nanwani Nanwani	2828 5960

B. Tentative Construction Programme (not used)

C. Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase

Air Quality

Action and Limit Levels for 24-hour TSP

Monitoring Station	Action Level (μg/m³)	Limit Level (μg/m³)
ASR1	226	260
ASR2A	213	260
ASR3	205	260
ASR4	237	260

Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP

Monitoring Station	Action Level (μg/m³)	Limit Level (μg/m³)
ASR1	378	500
ASR2A	357	500
ASR3	358	500
ASR4	372	500

Noise

Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

Time Period	Action Level	Limit Level
NSR1, NSR3, NSR5, NSR7		
0700 – 1900 hours on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received from any one of the sensitive receivers	75 dB(A)

Water Quality

Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality

Parameters	DO in mg/L		Turbidity in NTU		SS in mg/L		рН	
	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level
MP1	1.23	1.17	173	177	231	299	< 5.5 or	< 4.0 or
MP2	1.04	0.89	132	163	170	209	> 7.5	> 8.0
MP3	6.85	6.65	64	67	65	66		
MP4	3.91	3.82	60	64	50	53		
MP5	4.13	3.87	81	84	66	69		
MP6	4.61	4.52	94	96	75	75		

D. Event and Action Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Water Quality and Landscape & Visual

Air Quality

Event	Action			
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action Level				
Exceedance for one sample	 Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures. Inform IEC and ER. Repeat measurement to confirm finding. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET. Check Contractor's working method. 	1. Notify Contractor.	 Rectify any unacceptable practice. Amend working methods if appropriate.
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Identify the source. 2. Inform IEC and ER. 3. Advise ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures 4. Repeat measurements to confirm findings. 5. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 6. Discuss with IEC and the Contractor on remedial actions required. 7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER. 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET. Check the Contractor's working method. Discuss with ET Leader and the Contractor on possible remedial measures. Advise ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. Supervise implementation of remedial measures. 	1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing. 2. Notify the Contractor. 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	 Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification. Implement the agreed proposals. Amend proposal if appropriate.

Event	Action			
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
Limit Level				
Exceedance for one sample	 Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures. Inform ER and EPD. Repeat measurement to confirm finding. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. 	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET. Check the Contractor's working method. Discuss with ET Leader and the Contractor on possible remedial measures. Advise ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. Supervise implementation of remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing. Notify the Contractor. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. 	 Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification. Implement the agreed proposals. Amend proposal if appropriate.
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	 Notify IEC, ER, EPD and the Contractor. Identify the source. Repeat measurements to confirm findings. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. Carry out analysis of the Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented. Arrange meeting IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken. Assess effectiveness of the Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	 Discuss amongst ER, ET Leader and the Contractor on the potential remedial actions. Review the Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary and advise ER accordingly. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing. Notify the Contractor. In consultation with IEC, agree with the remedial measures to be implemented. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented. If exceedance continues, consider what activity of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that activity of work until the exceedance is abated. 	 Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification. Implement the agreed proposals. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control. Stop the relevant activity of works as determined by ER until the exceedance is abated.

Construction Noise

Event	Action					
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor		
Action Level	 Notify IEC and the Contractor. Carry out investigation. Report the results of investigation to IEC and the Contractor. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation measures. 	1. Review with analysed results submitted by ET. 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise ER accordingly. 3. Supervise the implement of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing. 2. Notify the Contractor. 3. Require the Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem. 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented.	Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC. Implement noise mitigation proposals.		
Limit Level	 Identify the source. Notify IEC, ER, EPD and the Contractor. Repeat measurement to confirm findings. Increase monitoring frequency. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented. Inform IEC, ER, and EPD the causes & actions taken for the exceedances. Assess effectiveness of the Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	 Discuss amongst ER, ET Leader and the Contractor on the potential remedial actions. Review the Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise ER accordingly. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing. 2. Notify the Contractor. 3. Require the Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem. 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented. 5. If exceedance continues, consider what activity of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that activity of work until the exceedance is abated.	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance. 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification. 3. Implement the agreed proposals. 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control. 5. Stop the relevant activity of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.		

Water Quality

Action

Lveiit	Action					
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor		
Action Level						
Exceedance for one sample	 Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm finding; Identify source(s) of impact; Inform IEC and Contractor; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor; and Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance. 	1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; and 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	 Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures; and Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented. 	 Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER; and Implement the agreed mitigation measures. 		
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	 Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm finding; Identify source(s) of impact; Inform IEC and Contractor; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily; and Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance. 	1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; and 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	 Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures; Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; and Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. 	 Inform the Engineer and confirm notification of the noncompliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; and Implement the agreed mitigation measures. 		

Event	Action				
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor	
Limit Level					
Exceedance for one sample	 Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm finding; Identify source(s) of impact; Inform IEC, Contractor and EPD; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; and Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level. 	1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; and 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	 Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; and Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; and Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. 	 Inform the Engineer and confirm notification of the noncompliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET and IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; and Implement the agreed mitigation measures. 	
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm finding; 2. Identify source(s) of impact; 3. Inform IEC, Contractor and EPD; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; and 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level for two consecutive days.	1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; and 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	1. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; and 2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; 3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; 4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures; and 5. Consider and instruct, if necessary, the Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the marine work until no exceedance of Limit Level.	1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes of working methods; 5. Discuss with ET and IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; 6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures; and 7. As directed by the Engineer, to slow down or to stop all or part of the marine work or construction activities.	

Landscape and Visual

Event	Action			
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action Level				
Non-conformity on one occasion	 Identify Source; Inform the IEC and the ER; Discuss remedial actions with the IEC, the ER and the Contractor; and Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed. 	1. Check report; 2. Check the Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with the ES and the contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures; and 5. Check implementation of remedial measures.	1. Notify Contractor; and 2. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented	1. Amend working methods; and 2. Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement
Repeated Non-conformity	1. Identify Source; 2. Inform the Project Proponent, IEC and the ER. If serious non- compliance inform EPD; 3. Increase monitoring frequency; 4. Discuss remedial actions with the IEC, the ER and the Contractor; 5. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed; and 6. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	1. Check monitoring report; 2. Check the Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with the ES and the Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures; and 5. Supervise implementation of remedial measures.	Notify the Contractor; and Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented.	1. Amend working methods; and 2. Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement.

E. Calibration Certificates

Appendix E
Calibration Record
(Air Quality Monitoring)

Location : ASR1
Calibrated by : P. F. Yeung
Date : 21/04/2024

Sampler

Model : GMWS-2310 ACCU-VOL

Serial Number : S/N 0816

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454

Next Calibration Due Date: 15 December 2024

Slope(m) : 2.070544 Intercept(b) : -0.03205 Correlation Coefficient(r) : 0.99999

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013 Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1007 Ta(K) : 302

Resi	stance Plate	dH [green liquid]	Z	X=Qstd	IC	Y
		(inch water)		(cubic meter/min)		
1	18 holes	13.0	3.571	1.736	53	52.50
2	13 holes	10.2	3.164	1.540	47	46.56
3	10 holes	7.3	2.676	1.305	41	40.61
4	7 holes	4.5	2.101	1.028	33	32.69
5	5 holes	3.2	1.772	0.869	25	24.76

Notes:Z=SQRT{dH(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}, X=Z/m-b, Y(Corrected Flow)=IC*{SQRT(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}

Sampler Calibration Relationship

Location : ASR2A Calibrated by : P. F. Yeung Date : 21/04/2024

Sampler

Model : GMWS-2310 ACCU-VOL

Serial Number : S/N 0890

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454

Next Calibration Due Date: 15 December 2024

Slope(m) : 2.070544 Intercept(b) : -0.03205 Correlation Coefficient(r) : 0.99999

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013 Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1007 Ta(K) : 302

Resi	Resistance Plate dH [green liquid]		Z	X=Qstd	IC	Y
		(inch water)		(cubic meter/min)		
1	18 holes	12.2	3.460	1.682	54	53.49
2	13 holes	9.8	3.101	1.510	48	47.55
3	10 holes	7.0	2.621	1.278	41	40.61
4	7 holes	4.6	2.124	1.039	33	32.69
5	5 holes	2.8	1.657	0.814	24	23.77

 $Notes: Z = SQRT\{dH(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)\}, X = Z/m-b, Y(Corrected Flow) = IC*\{SQRT(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)\}$

Sampler Calibration Relationship

Slope(m):33.672 Intercept(b)-2.962 Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9988

Location : ASR3
Calibrated by : P. F. Yeung
Date : 21/04/2024

Sampler

Model : GMWS-2310 ACCU-VOL

Serial Number : S/N 0764

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454

Next Calibration Due Date: 15 December 2024

 Slope(m)
 :
 2.070544

 Intercept(b)
 :
 -0.03205

 Correlation Coefficient(r)
 :
 0.99999

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013 Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1007 Ta(K) : 302

Resi	Resistance Plate dH [green liquid]		Z	X=Qstd	IC	Y
		(inch water)		(cubic meter/min)		
1	18 holes	12.0	3.431	1.669	64	63.39
2	13 holes	9.8	3.101	1.510	58	57.45
3	10 holes	7.2	2.658	1.296	51	50.52
4	7 holes	4.7	2.147	1.050	43	42.59
5	5 holes	2.8	1.657	0.814	36	35.66

Notes:Z=SQRT{dH(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}, X=Z/m-b, Y(Corrected Flow)=IC*{SQRT(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}

Sampler Calibration Relationship

Slope(m):32.341 Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9993

Location : ASR4
Calibrated by : P. F. Yeung
Date : 21/04/2024

Sampler

Model : GMWS-2310 ACCU-VOL

Serial Number : S/N 1068

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454

Next Calibration Due Date: 15 December 2024

 Slope(m)
 :
 2.070544

 Intercept(b)
 :
 -0.03205

 Correlation Coefficient(r)
 :
 0.99999

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013 Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1007 Ta(K) : 302

Resi	stance Plate	dH [green liquid]	Z	X=Qstd	IC	Y
		(inch water)		(cubic meter/min)		
1	18 holes	11.2	3.315	1.613	51	50.52
2	13 holes	9.2	3.004	1.463	46	45.56
3	10 holes	7.0	2.621	1.278	40	39.62
4	7 holes	4.4	2.078	1.017	32	31.70
5	5 holes	2.8	1.657	0.814	25	24.76

Notes:Z=SQRT{dH(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}, X=Z/m-b, Y(Corrected Flow)=IC*{SQRT(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}

Sampler Calibration Relationship

Slope(m):31.958 Intercept(b):-1.096 Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9999

Location : ASR1
Calibrated by : P. F. Yeung
Date : 20/06/2024

Sampler

Model : GMWS-2310 ACCU-VOL

Serial Number : S/N 0816

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454

Next Calibration Due Date: 15 December 2024

 Slope(m)
 :
 2.07544

 Intercept(b)
 :
 -0.03205

 Correlation Coefficient(r)
 :
 0.99999

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013 Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1007 Ta(K) : 305

Resi	stance Plate	dH [green liquid]	Z	X=Qstd	IC	Y
		(inch water)		(cubic meter/min)		
1	18 holes	12.8	3.526	1.715	54	53.23
2	13 holes	9.8	3.086	1.502	49	48.30
3	10 holes	7.0	2.608	1.272	42	41.40
4	7 holes	4.4	2.068	1.012	35	34.50
5	5 holes	2.8	1.649	0.810	28	27.60

 $Notes: Z = SQRT\{dH(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)\}, X = Z/m-b, Y(Corrected\ Flow) = IC*\{SQRT(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)\}$

Sampler Calibration Relationship

Slope(m):28.280 Intercept(b):5.312 Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9985

High-Volume TSP Sampler 5-Point Calibration Record

Location : ASR2A
Calibrated by : P. F. Yeung
Date : 20/06/2024

Sampler

Model : GMWS-2310 ACCU-VOL

Serial Number : S/N 0890

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454

Next Calibration Due Date: 15 December 2024

 Slope(m)
 :
 2.07544

 Intercept(b)
 :
 -0.03205

 Correlation Coefficient(r)
 :
 0.99999

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013 Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1007 Ta(K) : 305

Resi	istance Plate	dH [green liquid]	Z	X=Qstd	IC	Y
		(inch water)		(cubic meter/min)		
1	18 holes	11.1	3.284	1.598	53	52.24
2	13 holes	9.1	2.973	1.448	47	46.33
3	10 holes	6.6	2.532	1.236	40	39.43
4	7 holes	4.2	2.020	0.989	32	31.54
5	5 holes	2.6	1.589	0.781	24	23.66

Notes:Z=SQRT{dH(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}, X=Z/m-b, Y(Corrected Flow)=IC*{SQRT(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}

Sampler Calibration Relationship

Slope(m):34.324 Intercept(b)-2.903 Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9994

Location : ASR3
Calibrated by : P. F. Yeung
Date : 20/06/2024

Sampler

Model : GMWS-2310 ACCU-VOL

Serial Number : S/N 0764

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454

Next Calibration Due Date: 15 December 2024

 Slope(m)
 :
 2.07544

 Intercept(b)
 :
 -0.03205

 Correlation Coefficient(r)
 :
 0.99999

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013 Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1007 Ta(K) : 305

Resi	stance Plate	dH [green liquid]	Z	X=Qstd	IC	Y
		(inch water)		(cubic meter/min)		
1	18 holes	12.4	3.471	1.688	62	61.11
2	13 holes	9.8	3.086	1.502	56	55.20
3	10 holes	7.2	2.645	1.290	48	47.31
4	7 holes	4.4	2.068	1.012	42	41.40
5	5 holes	3.0	1.707	0.838	34	33.51

Notes:Z=SQRT{dH(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}, X=Z/m-b, Y(Corrected Flow)=IC*{SQRT(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}

Sampler Calibration Relationship

Slope(m): $\underline{31.306}$ Intercept(b): $\underline{8.077}$ Correlation Coefficient(r): $\underline{0.9950}$

High-Volume TSP Sampler 5-Point Calibration Record

Location : ASR4
Calibrated by : P. F. Yeung
Date : 20/06/2024

<u>Sampler</u>

Model : GMWS-2310 ACCU-VOL

Serial Number : S/N 1068

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454

Next Calibration Due Date: 15 December 2024

 Slope(m)
 :
 2.07544

 Intercept(b)
 :
 -0.03205

 Correlation Coefficient(r)
 :
 0.99999

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013 Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1007 Ta(K) : 305

Resi	istance Plate	dH [green liquid]	Z	X=Qstd	IC	Y
		(inch water)		(cubic meter/min)		
1	18 holes	11.8	3.386	1.647	52	51.25
2	13 holes	8.6	2.891	1.408	46	45.34
3	10 holes	6.2	2.454	1.198	38	37.45
4	7 holes	4.4	2.068	1.012	32	31.54
5	5 holes	2.6	1.589	0.781	25	24.64

Notes:Z=SQRT{dH(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}, X=Z/m-b, Y(Corrected Flow)=IC*{SQRT(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}

Sampler Calibration Relationship





RECALIBRATION DUE DATE:

December 15, 2024

Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information

Cal. Date: December 15, 2023

Rootsmeter S/N: 438320

Ta: 295

°K

Operator: Jim Tisch

ROOLSHIELEI 3/ N. 430320

Pa: 748.5

mm Hg

Calibration Model #:

TE-5025A

Calibrator S/N: 2454

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.4250	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	1.0090	6.4	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.9040	7.9	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8610	8.8	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7110	12.8	8.00

	S22400000000000000000000000000000000000	Data Tabula	tion		
Vstd	Qstd	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}$		Qa	√∆H(Ta/Pa)
(m3)	(x-axis)	(y-axis)	Va	(x-axis)	(y-axis)
0.9907	0.6952	1.4106	0.9957	0.6988	0.8878
0.9864	0.9776	1.9949	0.9914	0.9826	1.2556
0.9844	1.0890	2.2304	0.9894	1.0945	1.4037
0.9832	1.1420	2.3393	0.9882	1.1478	1.4723
0.9779	1.3754	2.8213	0.9829	1.3824	1.7756
	m=	2.07544		m=	1.29961
QSTD	b=	-0.03205	QA	b=	-0.02017
	r=	0.99999		r=	0.99999

	Calculatio	ns	
Vstd=	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)	Va=	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pa)
Qstd=	Vstd/ΔTime	Qa=	Va/ΔTime
	For subsequent flow ra	te calculatio	ns:
Qstd=	$1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}\right)-b\right)$	Qa=	$1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H(Ta/Pa)}\right)-b\right)$

	Standard Conditions
Tstd:	298.15 °K
Pstd:	760 mm Hg
	Key
ΔH: calibrator	manometer reading (in H2O)
ΔP: rootsmete	er manometer reading (mm Hg)
Ta: actual abs	olute temperature (°K)
Pa: actual bar	ometric pressure (mm Hg)
b: intercept	
m: slope	

RECALIBRATION

US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998
40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51,
Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the
Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in
the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30

Tisch Environmental, Inc. 145 South Miami Avenue Village of Cleves, OH 45002 www.tisch-env.com

TOLL FREE: (877)263-7610

FAX: (513)467-9009

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT

: MR MAGNUM FAN

WORK ORDER

HK2331872

CLIENT

: ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.

SUB-BATCH

ADDRESS

: RM 712, 7/F, MY LOFT 9 HOI WING ROAD,

DATE RECEIVED: 8-AUG-2023

TUEN MUN, N.T. HK

DATE OF ISSUE : 15-AUG-2023

PROJECT

NO. OF SAMPLES : 1

CLIENT ORDER

General Comments

- No sample is received in this Work Order. The report presents non-laboratory testing data only.
- Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
- Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.
- Calibration was subcontracted to Envirotech Services Company.

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Managing Director Richard Fung

WORK ORDER SUB-BATCH

: HK2331872

: 1

CLIENT PROJECT : ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.



ALS Lab	Client's Sample ID	Sample Type	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.
HK2331872-001	Sibata (276015)	Equipments	28-Jul-2023	S/N: 276015



Envirotech Services Co.

Rm. 712, 7/F My Loft, 9 Hoi Wing Road, Tuen Mun, H.K. Tel: 2560 8450 Fay: 2560 6553

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type:

Laser Dust Monitor

Manufacturer:

Sibata LD-3B

Serial No.:

276015

Equipment Ref.:

N/A

ALS Job Order:

HK2330202

Standard Equipment

Standard Equipment:

High Volume Sampler (TSP)

Location:

Envirotech Room (Calibration Room)

Equipment Ref.:

HVS 8162

Last Calibration Date:

28-Jul-2023

Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date:

28 & 29 July 2023

Γ			Mean	Mean	Concentration in µg/m³	Total Count	Count /Minute
	Hour	Time	Temp °C	Pressure	(Standard Equipment)	(Calibrated Equipment)	(Total Count/min)
				(hpa)			
	1hr 00mins	1630-1730	32.0	1004.5	43	2160	36
T	2hr 00mins	0930-1130	28.6	1000.5	76	3801	63
	3hr 00mins	1330-1630	29.5	1002.0	147	6605	110

160

Linear Regression of Y or X


Slope (K-factor):

 $1.4172(\mu g/m^3)/CPM$

Correlation Coefficient (R):

Date of Issue:

0.9986 5-Aug-2023



Remarks:

1. Strong Correlation (>0.8)

2. Factor 1.4172 (µg/m³)/CPM should be applied for TSP monitoring

Operator:

P.F.Yeung

Signature

Date: 05 August 2023

QC Reviewer:

K.F.Ho

Signature

Date: 05 August 2023

^{*}If R<0.5, repair or verification is required for the equipment

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CACULATION SPREADSHEET

28-Jul-23 Date of Calibration: Location: Rm. 712, My Loft, Tuen Mun 28-Sep-23 Next Calibration Date: HVS ID: 8162 K.F.Ho Operator: Name and Model: TISCH HVS Model TE-5170 **CONDITIONS** 753.1 1004 Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) Sea Level Pressure (hpa) 306 33.0 Temperature (K) Temperature (°C) CALIBRATION ORIFICE 2.06918 TISCH Make: Ostd Slope -0.04220 TE-5025A **Qstd Intercept** Model: 2454 Serial#:

CALIBRATION

Plate	H2O(L)	H20(R)	H2O	Qstd	I	IC	LINEAR
No.	(in)	(in)	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	(corrected)	REGRESSION
18	6.2	6.2	12.4	1.468	60	58.95	Slope= 57.753
13	4.8	4.8	9.6	1.294	54	53.06	Intercept= -23.841
10	4.2	4.2	8.4	1.212	48	47.16	Corr. Coeff.= 0.9951
7	2.5	2.5	5.0	0.940	30	29.48	
5	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.756	20	19.65	

Calulations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Ostd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg F

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure





RECALIBRATION DUE DATE:

December 15, 2023

Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information

Cal. Date: December 15, 2022

Run

2 3 4 Rootsmeter S/N: 438320

Ta: 295

Pa: 742.4

°K

mm Hg

Operator: Jim Tisch Calibration Model #:

TE-5025A

Calibrator S/N: 2454

-	Vol. Init	Vol. Final	ΔVol.	ΔTime	ΔΡ	ΔН
	(m3)	(m3)	(m3)	(min)	(mm Hg)	(in H2O)
-	1	2	1	1.4060	3.2	2.00
	3	4	1	0.9980	6.4	4.00
-	5	6	1	0.8900	7.9	5.00
	7	8	1	0.8520	8.8	5.50
	٥	10	1	0.7040	12.7	8.00

	Data Tabulation									
Vstd	Qstd	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}$		Qa	√∆H(Ta/Pa)					
(m3)	(x-axis)	(y-axis)	Va	(x-axis)	(y-axis)					
0.9826	0.6988	1.4049	0.9957	0.7082	0.8914					
0.9783	0.9803	1.9868	0.9914	0.9934	1.2607					
0.9763	1.0970	2.2213	0.9894	1.1116	1.4095					
0.9751	1.1445	2.3297	0.9881	1.1598	1.4783					
0.9700	1.3778	2.8097	0.9829	1.3962	1.7829					
	m=	2.06918		m=	1.29568					
QSTD	b=	-0.04220	QA	b=	-0.02677					
-	r=	0.99997		r=	0.99997					

Calculations								
Vstd= Δ Vol((Pa- Δ P)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta) Va= Δ Vol((Pa- Δ P)/Pa)								
Qstd=	Vstd/ΔTime	Qa=	Va/∆Time					
	For subsequent flow ra	te calculatio	ns:					
Qstd=	$1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}\right)-b\right)$	Qa=	$1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(Ta/Pa\right)}\right)-b\right)$					

Standard Conditions									
Tstd: 298.15 °K									
Pstd:	760 mm Hg								
	Key								
	or manometer reading (in H2O)								
	ter manometer reading (mm Hg)								
	osolute temperature (°K)								
Pa: actual ba	Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg)								
b: intercept	b: intercept								
m: slope									

RECALIBRATION

US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998
40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51,
Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the
Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in
the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30

Tisch Environmental, Inc. 145 South Miami Avenue Village of Cleves, OH 45002 www.tisch-env.com

TOLL FREE: (877)263-7610

FAX: (513)467-9009

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT

: MR MAGNUM FAN

WORK ORDER

HK2331874

CLIENT

: ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.

ADDRESS

: RM 712, 7/F, MY LOFT 9 HOI WING ROAD,

TUEN MUN, N.T. HK

SUB-BATCH

DATE RECEIVED : 8-AUG-2023

DATE OF ISSUE : 15-AUG-2023

NO. OF SAMPLES: 1

CLIENT ORDER

PROJECT

General Comments

No sample is received in this Work Order. The report presents non-laboratory testing data only.

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) Is provided by client.

Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.

Calibration was subcontracted to Envirotech Services Company.

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

WORK ORDER

: HK2331874

SUB-BATCH

: 1

: ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.

PROJECT

CLIENT

.



ALS Lab	Client's Sample ID	Sample Type	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.
HK2331874-001	Sibata (276017)	Equipments	28-Jul-2023	S/N: 276017



Envirotech Services Co.

Rm. 712, 7/F My Loft, 9 Hoi Wing Road, Tuen Mun, H.K. Tel: 2560 8450

Fax: 2560 6553

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type:

Laser Dust Monitor

Manufacturer:

Sibata LD-3B

Serial No.:

276017

Equipment Ref.:

N/A

ALS Job Order:

HK2330202

Standard Equipment

Standard Equipment:

High Volume Sampler (TSP)

Location:

Envirotech Room (Calibration Room)

Equipment Ref.:

HVS 8162

Last Calibration Date:

28-Jul-2023

Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date:

28 & 29 July 2023

		Mean	Mean	Concentration in µg/m³	Total Count	Count /Minute
Hour	Time	Temp °C	Pressure	(Standard Equipment)	(Calibrated Equipment)	(Total Count/min)
			(hpa)			
1hr 00mins	1630-1730	32.0	1004.5	43	2006	33
2hr 00mins	0930-1130	28.6	1000.5	76	3075	51
3hr 00mins	1330-1630	29.5	1002.0	147	5655	94

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor):


1.6951(µg/m³)/CPM

Correlation Coefficient (R):

0.9997

Date of Issue:

5-Aug-2023



Remarks:

1. Strong Correlation (>0.8)

2. Factor 1.6951 (µg/m³)/CPM should be applied for TSP monitoring

Operator:

P.F.Yeung

Signature

Date: 05 August 2023

QC Reviewer:

K.F.Ho

Signature

Date: <u>05 August 2023</u>

^{*}If R<0.5, repair or verification is required for the equipment

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CACULATION SPREADSHEET

28-Jul-23 Date of Calibration: Location: Rm. 712, My Loft, Tuen Mun 28-Sep-23 Next Calibration Date: HVS ID: 8162 K.F.Ho Operator: Name and Model: TISCH HVS Model TE-5170 **CONDITIONS** 753.1 1004 Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) Sea Level Pressure (hpa) 306 33.0 Temperature (K) Temperature (°C) CALIBRATION ORIFICE 2.06918 TISCH Make: Ostd Slope -0.04220 TE-5025A **Qstd Intercept** Model: 2454 Serial#:

CALIBRATION

Plate	H2O(L)	H20(R)	H2O	Qstd	I	IC	LINEAR
No.	(in)	(in)	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	(corrected)	REGRESSION
18	6.2	6.2	12.4	1.468	60	58.95	Slope= 57.753
13	4.8	4.8	9.6	1.294	54	53.06	Intercept= -23.841
10	4.2	4.2	8.4	1.212	48	47.16	Corr. Coeff.= 0.9951
7	2.5	2.5	5.0	0.940	30	29.48	
5	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.756	20	19.65	

Calulations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg F

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure





RECALIBRATION DUE DATE:

December 15, 2023

Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information

Cal. Date: December 15, 2022

Rootsmeter S/N: 438320

Ta: 295
Pa: 742.4

°K

mm Hg

Operator: Jim Tisch Calibration Model #:

TE-5025A

Calibrator S/N: 2454

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.4060	3.2	2.00
. 2	3	4	1	0.9980	6.4	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.8900	7.9	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8520	8.8	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7040	12.7	8.00

	Data Tabulation									
Vstd	Qstd	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}$		Qa	√∆H(Ta/Pa)					
(m3)	(x-axis)	(y-axis)	Va	(x-axis)	(y-axis)					
0.9826	0.6988	1.4049	0.9957	0.7082	0.8914					
0.9783	0.9803	1.9868	0.9914	0.9934	1.2607					
0.9763	1.0970	2.2213	0.9894	1.1116	1.4095					
0.9751	1.1445	2.3297	0.9881	1.1598	1.4783					
0.9700	1.3778	2.8097	0.9829	1.3962	1.7829					
	m=	2.06918		m=	1.29568					
QSTD	b=	-0.04220	QA	b=	-0.02677					
	r=	0.99997		r=	0.99997					

Calculations							
Vstd= ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta) Va= ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pa)							
Qstd=	Vstd/ΔTime	Qa=	Va/ΔTime				
	For subsequent flow ra	te calculatio	ns:				
Qstd=	$1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}\right)-b\right)$	Qa=	$1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(Ta/Pa\right)}\right)-b\right)$				

Standard Conditions								
Tstd:	298.15 °K							
Pstd: 760 mm Hg								
	Key							
ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O)								
ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg)								
Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K)								
Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg)								
b: intercept								
m: slope								

RECALIBRATION

US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998
40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51,
Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the
Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in
the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30

Tisch Environmental, Inc. 145 South Miami Avenue Village of Cleves, OH 45002 www.tisch-env.com

TOLL FREE: (877)263-7610

FAX: (513)467-9009

Appendix E
Calibration Record
(Noise Monitoring)



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No.:

C237486

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號: IC23-2475)

Date of Receipt / 收件日期: 8 December 2023

Description / 儀器名稱

Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer / 製造商

Rion

Model No. / 型號

NL-52 01010406

Serial No. / 編號 Supplied By / 委託者

Envirotech Services Co.

Room 712, 7/F, My Loft, 9 Hoi Wing Road, Tuen Mun,

New Territories, Hong Kong

TEST CONDITIONS/測試條件

Temperature / 温度 :

 $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}$ C

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :

 $(50 \pm 25)\%$

Line Voltage / 電壓

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期

31 December 2023

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

The results do not exceed specified limits.

These limits refer to manufacturer's published tolerances as requested by the customer.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Hottinger Brüel & Kjær Calibration Laboratory, Denmark
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By 測試

HT Wong

Assistant Engineer

Certified By 核證

Lee Engineer Date of Issue 簽發日期

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

3 January 2024

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited
Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No.:

C237486

證書編號

1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.

2. Self-calibration was performed before the test.

3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

4. Test equipment:

Equipment ID

Description

Certificate No.

CL280

40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator

C230306

CL281

Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator

CDK2302738

5. Test procedure: MA101N.

6. Results:

6.1 Sound Pressure Level

6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT	IEC 61672
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Class 1 Limit
30 - 130	L	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	± 1.1

6.1.2 Linearity

	UU	T Setting	Applie	UUT		
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)
30 - 130	L_{A}	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0 (Ref.)
	-1			104.00		104.1
				114.00		114.0

IEC 61672 Class 1 Limit: \pm 0.6 dB per 10 dB step and \pm 1.1 dB for overall different.

6.2 Time Weighting

UUT Setting			Applied Value		UUT	IEC 61672	
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Class 1 Limit (dB)
30 - 130	L_A	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	Ref.
	**		Slow		2	94.0	± 0.3

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited **Calibration & Testing Laboratory**

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No.:

證書編號

C237486

6.3 Frequency Weighting

A-Weighting 6.3.1

t- weighting		Setting		Applied Value		UUT	IEC 61672
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.	Reading (dB)	Class 1 Limit (dB)
30 - 130	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	67.7	- 26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.8	-16.1 ± 1.5
S					250 Hz	85.3	-8.6 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	90.7	- 3.2 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.2	$+1.2\pm1.6$
					4 kHz	95.0	$+1.0 \pm 1.6$
					8 kHz	93.0	-1.1 (+2.1; -3.1)
					16 kHz	86.0	-6.6 (+3.5; -17.0)

C-Weighting 6.3.2

UUT Setting			Applied Value		JUUT	IEC 61672	
Range	Function	Frequency	Time	Level	Freq.	Reading	Class 1 Limit
(dB)		Weighting	Weighting	(dB)		(dB)	(dB)
30 - 130	$L_{\rm C}$	С	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	93.1	-0.8±1.5
			Paradonial in Young Strade Co.		125 Hz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
				_	2 kHz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.6
				. —	4 kHz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.6
			E E		8 kHz	91.1	-3.0 (+2.1; -3.1)
					16 kHz	84.1	-8.5 (+3.5 ; -17.0)

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.
本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 松工學書

Certificate No.:

C237486

證書編號

校正證書

Remarks: - UUT Microphone Model No.: UC-59 & S/N: 13748

- Mfr's Limit: IEC 61672 Class 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value : 94 dB : 63 Hz - 125 Hz : \pm 0.35 dB

250 Hz - 500 Hz : $\pm 0.30 \text{ dB}$ 1 kHz : $\pm 0.20 \text{ dB}$ 2 kHz - 4 kHz : $\pm 0.35 \text{ dB}$ 8 kHz : $\pm 0.45 \text{ dB}$ 16 kHz : $\pm 0.70 \text{ dB}$

104 dB : 1 kHz : \pm 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) 114 dB : 1 kHz : \pm 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note:

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準・局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准・



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

證書編號

C235237

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號: IC23-1753)

Date of Receipt / 收件日期: 22 August 2023

Certificate No.:

Description / 儀器名稱

Precision Acoustic Calibrator

Manufacturer / 製造商

LARSON DAVIS

Model No. / 型號

CAL200

Serial No. / 編號

16878

Supplied By / 委託者

Envirotech Services Co.

Room 712, 7/F, My Loft, 9 Hoi Wing Road, Tuen Mun,

New Territories, Hong Kong

TEST CONDITIONS/測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 :

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :

 $(50 \pm 25)\%$

Line Voltage / 電壓 :

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期

9 September 2023

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

The results do not exceed specified limits.

These limits refer to manufacturer's published tolerances as requested by the customer.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Hottinger Brüel & Kjær Calibration Laboratory, Denmark
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By 測試

Engineer

Certified By

H C Chan

Date of Issue 簽發日期

12 September 2023

核證

Engineer

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

證書編號

C235237

Certificate No.:

校正證書

The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement 1. of the test.

The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point. 2.

3. Test equipment:

Equipment ID

CL281 TST150A

CL130

Description Universal Counter

Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator Measuring Amplifier

Certificate No.

C233799 CDK2302738

C221750

Test procedure: MA100N. 4.

5. Results:

Sound I aval A coursey 5.1

UUT	Measured Value	Mfr's Limit	Uncertainty of Measured Value
Nominal Value	(dB)	(dB)	(dB)
94 dB, 1 kHz	93.95	± 0.2	± 0.20
114 dB, 1 kHz	113.95		

5.2 Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value	Mfr's	Uncertainty of Measured Value
(kHz)	(kHz)	Limit	(Hz)
1	1 000	1 kHz ± 1 %	±1

Remark: The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。

Appendix E
Calibration Record
(Water Quality Monitoring)



Unit 10, 5/F, Wah Wai Centre, 38-40 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong Email: info@qualityprotest.com; Website: www.qualityprotest.com Tel: (852) 3956 8717; Fax: (852) 3956 3928

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Test Report No.

: R-BD050060

Date of Issue

: 20 May 2024

Page No.

: 1 of 2

PART A - CUSTOMER INFORMATION

Enovative Environmental Service Ltd.

Flat 2207, Yu Fun House Yu Chui Court, Shatin

New Territories (HK) Hong Kong

PART B - SAMPLE INFORMATION

Name of Equipment:

YSI ProDSS Multi Parameters

Manufacturer:

YSI

Serial Number:

21K101468

Date of Received:

17 May 2024

Date of Calibration:

17 May 2024 16 August 2024

Date of Next Calibration: Request No.:

D-BD050060

PART C - REFERENCE METHODS/ DOCUMENTS FOR THE CALIBRATION

Test Parameter

Reference Method

pH value

APHA 21e 4500-H+ B

Temperature

Section 6 of international Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide no. 3 Second edition March

2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure

Salinity

APHA 21e 2520 B

Dissolved oxygen

APHA 23e 4500-O G (Membrane Electrode Method)

Turbidity

APHA 21e 2130 B (Nephelometric Method)

Conductivity

APHA 21e 2510 B

PART D - CALIBRATION RESULT

(1) pH value

Target (pH unit)	Display Reading (pH unit)	Tolerance	Result
4.00	4.06	0.06	Satisfactory
7.42	7.48	0.06	Satisfactory
10.01	10.13	0.12	Satisfactory

Tolerance of pH value should be less than ± 0.2 (pH unit)

(2) Temperature

Reading of Ref. thermometer (°C)	Display Reading (°C)	Tolerance	Result
10.0	10.0	0.0	Satisfactory
25.0	25.0	0.0	Satisfactory
40.0	40.0	0.0	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Temperature should be less than ± 2.0 (°C)

(3) Salinity

Expected Reading (g/L)	Display Reading (g/L)	Tolerance (%)	Result
10	9.94	-0.60	Satisfactory
20	20.09	0.45	Satisfactory
30	30.08	0.27	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Salinity should be less than ± 10.0 (%)

--- CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE ---

AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY:

Assistant Manager



Unit 10, 5/F, Wah Wai Centre, 38-40 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong Email: info@qualityprotest.com; Website: www.qualityprotest.com Tel: (852) 3956 8717; Fax: (852) 3956 3928

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Test Report No.

: R-BD050060

Date of Issue

: 20 May 2024

Page No.

:2 of 2

(4) Dissolved oxygen

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Display Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance	Result
7.93	8.00	0.07	Satisfactory
6.02	6.20	0.18	Satisfactory
4.98	4.93	-0.05	Satisfactory
1.41	1.26	-0.15	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Dissolved oxygen should be less than ± 0.5 (mg/L)

(5) Turbidity

Expected Reading (NTU)	Display Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)	Result
0	0.10		Satisfactory
10	10.09	0.9	Satisfactory
20	19.12	-4.4	Satisfactory
100	97.57	-2.4	Satisfactory
800	793.46	-0.8	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Turbidity should be less than ± 10.0 (%)

(6) Conductivity

Expected Reading (μS/cm at 25°C)	Display Reading	Tolerance (%)	Result
146.9	152.1	3.5	Satisfactory
1412	1376	-2.5	Satisfactory
12890	12810	-0.6	Satisfactory
58670	57307	-2.3	Satisfactory
111900	110841	-0.9	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Conductivity should be less than \pm 10.0 (%)

Remark(s)

- 'The "Date of Next Calibration" is recommended according to best practice principals as practiced by QPT or quoted form relevant international standards.
- ·The results relate only to the calibrated equipment as received
- •The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.
- "Displayed Reading" denotes the figure shown on item under calibration/ checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.
- ·The "Tolerance Limit" mentioned is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by Quality Pro Test-Consult Ltd. or quoted form relevant international standards.

--- END OF REPORT ---



Unit 10, 5/F, Wah Wai Centre, 38-40 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong Email: info@qualityprotest.com; Website: www.qualityprotest.com Tel: (852) 3956 8717; Fax: (852) 3956 3928

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Test Report No.

: R-BD060014

Date of Issue

: 04 June 2024

Page No.

: 1 of 2

PART A - CUSTOMER INFORMATION

Enovative Environmental Service Ltd.

Flat 2207, Yu Fun House Yu Chui Court, Shatin

New Territories (HK) Hong Kong

PART B - SAMPLE INFORMATION

Name of Equipment:

YSI ProDSS Multi Parameters

Manufacturer:

YSI

Serial Number:

Request No.:

15M100005

Date of Received:

03 June 2024

Date of Calibration:

03 June 2024

Date of Next Calibration:

02 September 2024 D-BD060014

PART C - REFERENCE METHODS/ DOCUMENTS FOR THE CALIBRATION

Test Parameter

Reference Method

pH value

APHA 21e 4500-H+ B

Temperature

Section 6 of international Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide no. 3 Second edition March

2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure

Salinity

APHA 21e 2520 B

Dissolved oxygen

APHA 23e 4500-O G (Membrane Electrode Method)

Turbidity

APHA 21e 2130 B (Nephelometric Method)

Conductivity

APHA 21e 2510 B

PART D - CALIBRATION RESULT

(1) pH value

Target (pH unit)	Display Reading (pH unit)	Tolerance	Result
4.00	4.06	0.06	Satisfactory
7.42	7.51	0.09	Satisfactory
10.01	10.07	0.06	Satisfactory

Tolerance of pH value should be less than \pm 0.2 (pH unit)

(2) Temperature

Reading of Ref. thermometer (°C)	Display Reading (°C)	Tolerance	Result
15.0	15.0	0.0	Satisfactory
25.0	25.0	0.0	Satisfactory
45.0	45.0	0.0	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Temperature should be less than $\pm\,2.0$ ($^{\circ}C$)

(3) Salinity

Expected Reading (g/L)	Display Reading (g/L)	Tolerance (%)	Result
10	9.89	-1.10	Satisfactory
20	20.36	1.80	Satisfactory
30	29.88	-0.40	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Salinity should be less than \pm 10.0 (%)

--- CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE ---

AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY:

LEE Chun-ning
Assistant Manager



REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Test Report No. : R-BD060014

Date of Issue : 04 June 2024

Page No. : 2 of 2

(4) Dissolved oxygen

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Display Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance	Result
8.07	8.03	-0.04	Satisfactory
6.09	6.08	-0.01	Satisfactory
3.63	3.49	-0.14	Satisfactory
0.88	0.68	-0.20	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Dissolved oxygen should be less than ± 0.5 (mg/L)

(5) Turbidity

Expected Reading (NTU)	Display Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)	Result
0	0.08		Satisfactory
10	10.16	1.6	Satisfactory
20	19.80	-1.0	Satisfactory
100	104.31	4.3	Satisfactory
800	810.25	1.3	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Turbidity should be less than ± 10.0 (%)

(6) Conductivity

Expected Reading (μS/cm at 25°C)	Display Reading	Tolerance (%)	Result
146.9	150.8	2.7	Satisfactory
1412	1432	1.4	Satisfactory
12890	12937	0.4	Satisfactory
58670	59268	1.0	Satisfactory
111900	113876	1.8	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Conductivity should be less than \pm 10.0 (%)

Remark(s)

- 'The "Date of Next Calibration" is recommended according to best practice principals as practiced by QPT or quoted form relevant international standards.
- The results relate only to the calibrated equipment as received
- •The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.
- "Displayed Reading" denotes the figure shown on item under calibration/ checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.
- 'The "Tolerance Limit" mentioned is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by Quality Pro Test-Consult Ltd. or quoted form relevant international standards.

--- END OF REPORT ---



Unit 10, 5/F, Wah Wai Centre, 38-40 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong Email:info@qualityprotest.com; Website: www.qualityprotest.com Tel: (852) 3956 8717; Fax: (852) 3956 3928

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Test Report No. : R-BD050061

Date of Issue : 20 May 2024

Page No. : 1 of 2

PART A - CUSTOMER INFORMATION

Enovative Environmental Service Ltd.

Flat 2207, Yu Fun House Yu Chui Court, Shatin

New Territories (HK) Hong Kong

PART B - SAMPLE INFORMATION

Name of Equipment: YSI ProDSS Multi Parameters

Manufacturer: YSI

Serial Number: 21G105356

Date of Received: 17 May 2024

Date of Calibration: 17 May 2024

Date of Next Calibration: 16 August 2024

Request No.: D-BD050061

PART C - REFERENCE METHODS/ DOCUMENTS FOR THE CALIBRATION

Test Parameter Reference Method

pH value APHA 21e 4500-H+ B

Temperature Section 6 of international Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide no. 3 Second edition March

2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure

Salinity APHA 21e 2520 B

Dissolved oxygen APHA 23e 4500-O G (Membrane Electrode Method)

Turbidity APHA 21e 2130 B (Nephelometric Method)

Conductivity APHA 21e 2510 B

PART D - CALIBRATION RESULT

(1) pH value

Target (pH unit)	Display Reading (pH unit)	Tolerance	Result
4.00	4.04	0.04	Satisfactory
7.42	7.50	0.08	Satisfactory
10.01	10.10	0.09	Satisfactory

Tolerance of pH value should be less than ± 0.2 (pH unit)

(2) Temperature

Reading of Ref. thermometer (°C)	Display Reading (°C)	Tolerance	Result
10.0	10.0	0.0	Satisfactory
25.0	25.0	0.0	Satisfactory
40.0	40.0	0.0	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Temperature should be less than ± 2.0 (°C)

(3) Salinity


Expected Reading (g/L)	Display Reading (g/L)	Tolerance (%)	Result
10	9.96	-0.40	Satisfactory
20	20.10	0.50	Satisfactory
30	30.11	0.37	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Salinity should be less than ± 10.0 (%)

--- CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE ---

AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY:

LEE Chun-ning Assistant Manager



Unit 10, 5/F, Wah Wai Centre, 38-40 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong Email: info@qualityprotest.com; Website: www.qualityprotest.com Tel: (852) 3956 8717; Fax: (852) 3956 3928

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Test Report No.

: R-BD050061

Date of Issue

: 20 May 2024

Page No.

:2 of 2

(4) Dissolved oxygen

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Display Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance	Result
7.93	8.00	0.07	Satisfactory
6.02	6.12	0.10	Satisfactory
4.98	4.90	-0.08	Satisfactory
1.41	1.40	-0.01	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Dissolved oxygen should be less than ± 0.5 (mg/L)

(5) Turbidity

Expected Reading (NTU)	Display Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)	Result
0	0.10		Satisfactory
10	9.86	-1.4	Satisfactory
20	19.34	-3.3	Satisfactory
100	98.21	-1.8	Satisfactory
800	798.52	-0.2	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Turbidity should be less than ± 10.0 (%)

(6) Conductivity

Expected Reading (μS/cm at 25°C)	Display Reading	Tolerance (%)	Result
146.9	151.1	2.9	Satisfactory
1412	1496	5.9	Satisfactory
12890	13015	1.0	Satisfactory
58670	58390	-0.5	Satisfactory
111900	112816	0.8	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Conductivity should be less than ± 10.0 (%)

Remark(s)

- The "Date of Next Calibration" is recommended according to best practice principals as practiced by QPT or quoted form relevant international standards.
- ·The results relate only to the calibrated equipment as received
- ·The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.
- "Displayed Reading" denotes the figure shown on item under calibration/ checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.
- ·The "Tolerance Limit" mentioned is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by Quality Pro Test-Consult Ltd. or quoted form relevant international standards.

--- END OF REPORT ---



Unit 10, 5/F, Wah Wai Centre, 38-40 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong Email: info@qualityprotest.com; Website: www.qualityprotest.com Tel: (852) 3956 8717; Fax: (852) 3956 3928

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Test Report No.

: R-BD060015

Date of Issue

: 04 June 2024

Page No.

: 1 of 2

PART A - CUSTOMER INFORMATION

Enovative Environmental Service Ltd.

Flat 2207, Yu Fun House Yu Chui Court, Shatin

New Territories (HK) Hong Kong

PART B - SAMPLE INFORMATION

Name of Equipment:

YSI ProDSS Multi Parameters

Manufacturer:

YSI

Serial Number:

16H104233

Date of Received:

03 June 2024

Date of Calibration:

Date of Next Calibration:

03 June 2024 02 September 2024

Request No.:

D-BD060015

PART C - REFERENCE METHODS/ DOCUMENTS FOR THE CALIBRATION

Test Parameter

Reference Method

pH value

APHA 21e 4500-H+ B

Temperature

Section 6 of international Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide no. 3 Second edition March

2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure

Salinity

APHA 21e 2520 B

Dissolved oxygen

APHA 23e 4500-O G (Membrane Electrode Method)

Turbidity

APHA 21e 2130 B (Nephelometric Method)

Conductivity

APHA 21e 2510 B

PART D - CALIBRATION RESULT

(1) pH value

Target (pH unit)	Display Reading (pH unit)	Tolerance	Result
4.00	4.08	0.08	Satisfactory
7.42	7.35	-0.07	Satisfactory
10.01	10.15	0.14	Satisfactory

Tolerance of pH value should be less than $\pm\,0.2$ (pH unit)

(2) Temperature

Reading of Ref. thermometer (°C)	Display Reading (°C)	Tolerance	Result
15.0	15.0	0.0	Satisfactory
25.0	25.0	0.0	Satisfactory
45.0	45.0	0.0	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Temperature should be less than $\pm\,2.0$ ($^{\circ}C$)

(3) Salinity

Expected Reading (g/L)	Display Reading (g/L)	Tolerance (%)	Result
10	9.92	-0.80	Satisfactory
20	20.41	2.05	Satisfactory
30	29.85	-0.50	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Salinity should be less than \pm 10.0 (%)

--- CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE ---

This separt shall not be separatured unless with miles written

AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY:

LEE Chun-ning
Assistant Manager



Unit 10, 5/F, Wah Wai Centre, 38-40 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong Email:info@qualityprotest.com; Website: www.qualityprotest.com Tel: (852) 3956 8717; Fax: (852) 3956 3928

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Test Report No.

: R-BD060015

Date of Issue

: 04 June 2024

Page No.

: 2 of 2

(4) Dissolved oxygen

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Display Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance	Result
8.07	8.00	-0.07	Satisfactory
6.09	6.14	0.05	Satisfactory
3.63	3.52	-0.11	Satisfactory
0.88	0.70	-0.18	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Dissolved oxygen should be less than \pm 0.5 (mg/L)

(5) Turbidity

Expected Reading (NTU)	Display Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)	Result
0	0.08		Satisfactory
10	9.80	-2.0	Satisfactory
20	18.89	-5.6	Satisfactory
100	102.72	2.7	Satisfactory
800	813.34	1.7	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Turbidity should be less than ± 10.0 (%)

(6) Conductivity

Expected Reading (µS/cm at 25°C)	Display Reading	Tolerance (%)	Result
146.9	149.5	1.8	Satisfactory
1412	1446	2.4	Satisfactory
12890	12807	-0.6	Satisfactory
58670	59334	1.1	Satisfactory
111900	114215	2.1	Satisfactory

Tolerance of Conductivity should be less than \pm 10.0 (%)


Remark(s)

- ·The "Date of Next Calibration" is recommended according to best practice principals as practiced by QPT or quoted form relevant international standards.
- ·The results relate only to the calibrated equipment as received
- •The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.
- "Displayed Reading" denotes the figure shown on item under calibration/ checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.
- 'The "Tolerance Limit" mentioned is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by Quality Pro Test-Consult Ltd. or quoted form relevant international standards.


--- END OF REPORT ---

F. Graphical Plots of the Monitoring Results


1-hour TSP Level at ASR1




1-hour TSP Level at ASR2A




1-hour TSP Level at ASR3




1-hour TSP Level at ASR4




24-hour TSP Level at ASR1




24-hour TSP Level at ASR2A



24-hour TSP Level at ASR3




24-hour TSP Level at ASR4




Noise

Noise Level for 30 min, dB(A), at NSR1




Noise Level for 30 min, dB(A), at NSR3





Noise


Noise Level for 30 min, dB(A), at NSR5





Noise Level for 30 min, dB(A), at NSR7







































































ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Laboratory

: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

· 1 of 4 Page

Contact

· MR THOMAS WONG

Contact : Richard Fung Work Order

HK2421664

Address

; FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT,

Address

· 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3

Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T.,

Hong Kong

E-mail

Project

Client

· thomas.wong@eno.com.hk

SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG

E-mail

richard.fung@alsglobal.com

Telephone

Telephone

+852 2610 1044

Facsimile

Facsimile

+852 2610 2021

Date received

03-Jun-2024

Analysed

Order number

: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG

Date of issue

· 11-Jun-2024

C-O-C number

Quote number

: HKE/2741/2023_V2

No. of samples

Received

8

Site

This report may not be reproduced except with prior

written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

Signatory

Position

Authorised results for:

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Managing Director

Inorganics

Page Number : 2 of 4

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2421664



General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. Testing period is from 03-Jun-2024 to 08-Jun-2024.

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

Specific Comments for Work Order HK2421664:

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.

Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.

Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in chilled condition.

Page Number : 3 of 4

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2421664



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER		Compound	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	 	
		LOR Unit	2 mg/L	2 mg/L	 	
Sample ID	Sampling date / time	Laboratory sample ID	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	 	
MP3-1	03-Jun-2024	HK2421664-001	5	3	 	
MP3-2	03-Jun-2024	HK2421664-002	6	3	 	
MP4-1	03-Jun-2024	HK2421664-003	15	2	 	
MP4-2	03-Jun-2024	HK2421664-004	15	2	 	
MP5-1	03-Jun-2024	HK2421664-005	9	3	 	
MP5-2	03-Jun-2024	HK2421664-006	10	3	 	
MP6-1	03-Jun-2024	HK2421664-007	7	3	 	
MP6-2	03-Jun-2024	HK2421664-008	7	3	 	

Page Number : 4 of 4

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2421664



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

In the Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) report, RPD (%) of sample duplicate reporting "0.0" denotes that the difference between unrounded results of the sample and its duplicate analyses is less than the value of the limit of reporting of the specific testing. The RPD (%) meets the quality control requirement of the corresponding testing procedure.

Matrix: WATER			Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report						
Laboratory	Sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)	
sample ID									
EA/ED: Physical and	Aggregate Properties (QC I	_ot: 5832764)							
HK2421642-010	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	3	3	0.0	
HK2421664-005	MP5-1	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	9	10	0.0	

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER		Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report						
					Spike	Spike Red	overy (%)	Recovery	Limits (%)	RPDs	s (%)
Method: Compound CAS	Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Concentration	LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 5832764)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	98.5		80.1	117		
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 5832724)											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand			mg/L		198 mg/L	108		77.6	118		

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

• No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.

----- END OF REPORT -----

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Laboratory

: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

Page

· 1 of 4

Contact

· MR THOMAS WONG

Contact : Richard Fung Work Order

HK2422256

Address

: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT,

Address

· 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3

Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T.,

Hong Kong

E-mail Telephone

Order number

C-O-C number

· thomas.wong@eno.com.hk

SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG

E-mail

richard.fung@alsglobal.com

Telephone

+852 2610 1044

Facsimile

+852 2610 2021

: HKE/2741/2023_V2

Facsimile Project

Site

Client

: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG

Date received

05-Jun-2024

Quote number

Date of issue No. of samples · 11-Jun-2024

Received

8

Analysed

This report may not be reproduced except with prior

written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

Signatory

Position

Authorised results for:

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Managing Director

Inorganics

Page Number : 2 of 4

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2422256



General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. Testing period is from 05-Jun-2024 to 11-Jun-2024.

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

Specific Comments for Work Order HK2422256:

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.

Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.

Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in chilled condition.

Page Number : 3 of 4

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2422256



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER		Compound	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	 	
		LOR Unit	2 mg/L	2 mg/L	 	
Sample ID	Sampling date / time	Laboratory sample ID	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	 	
MP3-1	05-Jun-2024	HK2422256-001	8	3	 	
MP3-2	05-Jun-2024	HK2422256-002	7	3	 	
MP4-1	05-Jun-2024	HK2422256-003	41	3	 	
MP4-2	05-Jun-2024	HK2422256-004	37	3	 	
MP5-1	05-Jun-2024	HK2422256-005	16	<2	 	
MP5-2	05-Jun-2024	HK2422256-006	17	<2	 	
MP6-1	05-Jun-2024	HK2422256-007	24	3	 	
MP6-2	05-Jun-2024	HK2422256-008	22	2	 	

Page Number : 4 of 4

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2422256



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

In the Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) report, RPD (%) of sample duplicate reporting "0.0" denotes that the difference between unrounded results of the sample and its duplicate analyses is less than the value of the limit of reporting of the specific testing. The RPD (%) meets the quality control requirement of the corresponding testing procedure.

Matrix: WATER			Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report						
Laboratory	Sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)	
sample ID									
EA/ED: Physical and	Aggregate Properties (QC I	_ot: 5838512)							
HK2422089-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	8	8	0.0	
HK2422170-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	13	12	0.0	
EA/ED: Physical and	Aggregate Properties (QC I	Lot: 5838513)							
HK2422256-006	MP5-2	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	17	18	0.0	
HK2422288-008	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	2	2	0.0	

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER		Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report						
					Spike	Spike Red	overy (%)	Recovery	Limits (%)	RPDs	s (%)
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Concentration	LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCL	ot: 5838512)										
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	98.5		80.1	117		
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCL	A/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 5838513)										
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	88.5		80.1	117		
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 5838528)											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand			mg/L		198 mg/L	100		77.6	118		
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 5838668)											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand			mg/L		198 mg/L	97.7		77.6	118		

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

• No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.

----- END OF REPORT -----

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD Laboratory

: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

· 1 of 4

Page

Contact · MR THOMAS WONG Contact : Richard Fung

HK2422755 Work Order

: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT,

SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG

· 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3

Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T.,

Hong Kong

· thomas.wong@eno.com.hk E-mail

E-mail

richard.fung@alsglobal.com

Telephone Facsimile

Client

Address

Site

Telephone

+852 2610 2021 Facsimile

07-Jun-2024 Date received

Project Order number

: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG

Address

+852 2610 1044

13-Jun-2024 Date of issue

C-O-C number

Quote number : HKE/2741/2023_V2

> Received No. of samples

8 Analysed

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

Position Authorised results for: Signatory

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Managing Director

Inorganics

Page Number : 2 of 4

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2422755



General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. Testing period is from 07-Jun-2024 to 12-Jun-2024.

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

Specific Comments for Work Order HK2422755:

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.

Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.

Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in chilled condition.

Page Number : 3 of 4

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2422755



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER		Compound	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	 	
		LOR Unit	2 mg/L	2 mg/L	 	
Sample ID	Sampling date / time	Laboratory sample ID	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	 	
MP3-1	07-Jun-2024	HK2422755-001	14	5	 	
MP3-2	07-Jun-2024	HK2422755-002	13	5	 	
MP4-1	07-Jun-2024	HK2422755-003	32	3	 	
MP4-2	07-Jun-2024	HK2422755-004	33	4	 	
MP5-1	07-Jun-2024	HK2422755-005	15	2	 	
MP5-2	07-Jun-2024	HK2422755-006	14	2	 	
MP6-1	07-Jun-2024	HK2422755-007	13	2	 	
MP6-2	07-Jun-2024	HK2422755-008	14	2	 	

Page Number : 4 of 4

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2422755



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

In the Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) report, RPD (%) of sample duplicate reporting "0.0" denotes that the difference between unrounded results of the sample and its duplicate analyses is less than the value of the limit of reporting of the specific testing. The RPD (%) meets the quality control requirement of the corresponding testing procedure.

Matrix: WATER			Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report									
Laboratory	Sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)				
sample ID												
EA/ED: Physical and	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 5844792)											
HK2422750-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	22	20	6.9				
HK2422755-008	MP6-2	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	14	13	0.0				

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER		Method Blank (MB) Report			Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report						
					Spike	Spike Red	overy (%)	Recovery	Limits (%)	RPDs	s (%)
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Concentration	LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 5844792)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	99.5		80.1	117		
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 5844494)											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand			mg/L		198 mg/L	108		77.6	118		

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

• No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.

----- END OF REPORT -----

ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Laboratory

: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

Page : 1 of 4

Contact

Client

: MR THOMAS WONG

Contact : Richard Fung

Work Order · HK24

Address : FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT,

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3

HK2423074

SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG

Address

Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T.,

wing rip Sueet, N

Hong Kong

E-mail Telephone : thomas.wong@eno.com.hk

E-mail

richard.fung@alsglobal.com

. ____

Telephone

+852 2610 1044

Facsimile

Facsimile

+852 2610 2021

Date received

· 11-Jun-2024

Project

: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG

UEN LONG

Date of issue

· 17-Jun-2024

Order number

: —

Quote number

: HKE/2741/2023_V2

N- - f - - - - - - - -

Received

. 8

C-O-C number Site _

No. of samples

Analysed

. 8

This report may not be reproduced except with prior

written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

Signatory

Position

Authorised results for:

Richard Jung.

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Managing Director

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2423074



General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. Testing period is from 11-Jun-2024 to 17-Jun-2024.

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

Specific Comments for Work Order HK2423074:

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.

Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2423074



Sub-Matrix: WATER		Compound	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS) 2 mg/L	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand 2 mg/L	 	
		LOR Unit	Z mg/L		 	
Sample ID	Sampling date / time	Laboratory sample ID	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	 	
MP3-1	11-Jun-2024	HK2423074-001	4	3	 	
MP3-2	11-Jun-2024	HK2423074-002	5	3	 	
MP4-1	11-Jun-2024	HK2423074-003	15	2	 	
MP4-2	11-Jun-2024	HK2423074-004	16	2	 	
MP5-1	11-Jun-2024	HK2423074-005	22	2	 	
MP5-2	11-Jun-2024	HK2423074-006	23	2	 	
MP6-1	11-Jun-2024	HK2423074-007	21	2	 	
MP6-2	11-Jun-2024	HK2423074-008	21	2	 	

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2423074



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

In the Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) report, RPD (%) of sample duplicate reporting "0.0" denotes that the difference between unrounded results of the sample and its duplicate analyses is less than the value of the limit of reporting of the specific testing. The RPD (%) meets the quality control requirement of the corresponding testing procedure.

Matrix: WATER			Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report						
Laboratory	Sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)	
sample ID									
EA/ED: Physical and	Aggregate Properties (QC I	Lot: 5850291)							
HK2422858-003	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	3370	3200	5.2	
HK2423017-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	112	110	1.8	
EA/ED: Physical and	Aggregate Properties (QC I	Lot: 5850292)							
HK2423074-003	MP4-1	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	15	16	0.0	
HK2423091-004	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	5	4	0.0	

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

		•		· ·	•	<u> </u>					
Matrix: WATER		Method Blank (MB) Report Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report									
		Spike Spike Recovery (%) Recovery				Recovery	Recovery Limits (%) RPDs (%)		5 (%)		
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Concentration	LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	(QCLot: 5850291)										
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	102		80.1	117		
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	(QCLot: 5850292)										
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	97.0		80.1	117		
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 5849344)											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand			mg/L		198 mg/L	90.4		77.6	118		

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

• No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.

ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Laboratory

: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

Page

· 1 of 4

Contact

· MR THOMAS WONG

Contact : Richard Fung Work Order

HK2423576

Address

: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT,

Address

· 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3

Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T.,

Hong Kong

E-mail

· thomas.wong@eno.com.hk

SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG

E-mail

richard.fung@alsglobal.com

Telephone

+852 2610 1044

Telephone Facsimile

Client

Facsimile

+852 2610 2021

Date received

· 13-Jun-2024

Project

Site

: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG

Date of issue

20-Jun-2024

Order number C-O-C number

Quote number

: HKE/2741/2023_V2

No. of samples

Received

8 Analysed

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

Signatory

Position

Authorised results for:

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Managing Director

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2423576



General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. Testing period is from 13-Jun-2024 to 19-Jun-2024.

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

Specific Comments for Work Order HK2423576:

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.

Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2423576



Sub-Matrix: WATER		Compound	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	 	
		LOR Unit	2 mg/L	2 mg/L	 	
Sample ID	Sampling date / time	Laboratory sample ID	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	 	
MP3-1	13-Jun-2024	HK2423576-001	5	<2	 	
MP3-2	13-Jun-2024	HK2423576-002	6	<2	 	
MP4-1	13-Jun-2024	HK2423576-003	26	3	 	
MP4-2	13-Jun-2024	HK2423576-004	27	3	 	
MP5-1	13-Jun-2024	HK2423576-005	33	3	 	
MP5-2	13-Jun-2024	HK2423576-006	35	3	 	
MP6-1	13-Jun-2024	HK2423576-007	36	<2	 	
MP6-2	13-Jun-2024	HK2423576-008	34	<2	 	

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2423576



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

In the Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) report, RPD (%) of sample duplicate reporting "0.0" denotes that the difference between unrounded results of the sample and its duplicate analyses is less than the value of the limit of reporting of the specific testing. The RPD (%) meets the quality control requirement of the corresponding testing procedure.

Matrix: WATER			Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report							
Laboratory	Sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit Original Result Duplicate Result					
sample ID										
EA/ED: Physical and	Aggregate Properties (QC L	ot: 5859587)								
HK2423473-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	9	9	0.0		
HK2423576-007	MP6-1	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	36	38	4.6		

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (ME	B) Report	Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report							
			Spike	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)				
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Concentration	LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit	
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCL	ot: 5859587)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	94.0		80.1	117			
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 5856462)												
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand			mg/L		198 mg/L	98.0		77.6	118			

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

• No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.

ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Laboratory

: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

Page

· 1 of 4

Contact

· MR THOMAS WONG

Contact : Richard Fung Work Order

HK2424000

Address SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG

: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT,

Address

· 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3

Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T.,

Hong Kong

E-mail Telephone

Client

· thomas.wong@eno.com.hk

E-mail

richard.fung@alsglobal.com

Telephone

+852 2610 1044

Facsimile

Site

: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG

Facsimile

+852 2610 2021

Date received

· 15-Jun-2024

Project Order number C-O-C number

Quote number

: HKE/2741/2023_V2

Date of issue

24-Jun-2024

Received

No. of samples

8 Analysed

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

Signatory

Position

Authorised results for:

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Managing Director

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2424000



General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. Testing period is from 15-Jun-2024 to 24-Jun-2024.

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

Specific Comments for Work Order HK2424000:

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.

Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2424000



Sub-Matrix: WATER		Compound	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand 2 mg/L	 	
		LOR Unit	2 mg/L	2 Hig/L	 	
Sample ID	Sampling date / time	Laboratory sample ID	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	 	
MP3-1	15-Jun-2024	HK2424000-001	4	<2	 	
MP3-2	15-Jun-2024	HK2424000-002	4	<2	 	
MP4-1	15-Jun-2024	HK2424000-003	20	3	 	
MP4-2	15-Jun-2024	HK2424000-004	20	4	 	
MP5-1	15-Jun-2024	HK2424000-005	19	<2	 	
MP5-2	15-Jun-2024	HK2424000-006	18	<2	 	
MP6-1	15-Jun-2024	HK2424000-007	16	<2	 	
MP6-2	15-Jun-2024	HK2424000-008	15	<2	 	

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2424000



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

In the Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) report, RPD (%) of sample duplicate reporting "0.0" denotes that the difference between unrounded results of the sample and its duplicate analyses is less than the value of the limit of reporting of the specific testing. The RPD (%) meets the quality control requirement of the corresponding testing procedure.

Matrix: WATER			Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report							
Laboratory	Sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)		
sample ID										
EA/ED: Physical and	Aggregate Properties (QC L	ot: 5863936)								
HK2424000-001	MP3-1	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	4	5	0.0		
HK2424048-003	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	17	16	0.0		

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (M.	B) Report	Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report							
					Spike	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)		
Method: Compound CAS No.	mber	LOR	Unit	Result	Concentration	LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit	
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 586	3936)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	94.5		80.1	117			
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 5862607)												
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand			mg/L		198 mg/L	97.9		77.6	118			

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

• No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.

ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Laboratory

: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

Page

· 1 of 4

Contact

· MR THOMAS WONG

Contact : Richard Fung Work Order

HK2424048

Address

: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT,

Address

· 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3

Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T.,

Hong Kong

E-mail Telephone

Client

· thomas.wong@eno.com.hk

SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG

E-mail

richard.fung@alsglobal.com

Telephone

+852 2610 1044

Facsimile

+852 2610 2021

Facsimile Project

Site

: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG

Date received

· 17-Jun-2024

Order number

Quote number : HKE/2741/2023_V2 Date of issue

24-Jun-2024

C-O-C number

No. of samples

Received

8 Analysed

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

Signatory

Position

Authorised results for:

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Managing Director

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2424048



General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. Testing period is from 17-Jun-2024 to 24-Jun-2024.

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

Specific Comments for Work Order HK2424048:

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.

Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2424048



Sub-Matrix: WATER		Compound	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	 	
		LOR Unit	2 mg/L	2 mg/L	 	
Sample ID	Sampling date / time	Laboratory sample ID	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	 	
MP3-1	17-Jun-2024	HK2424048-001	5	<2	 	
MP3-2	17-Jun-2024	HK2424048-002	6	<2	 	
MP4-1	17-Jun-2024	HK2424048-003	17	2	 	
MP4-2	17-Jun-2024	HK2424048-004	16	2	 	
MP5-1	17-Jun-2024	HK2424048-005	26	<2	 	
MP5-2	17-Jun-2024	HK2424048-006	26	<2	 	
MP6-1	17-Jun-2024	HK2424048-007	22	<2	 	
MP6-2	17-Jun-2024	HK2424048-008	21	<2	 	

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2424048



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

In the Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) report, RPD (%) of sample duplicate reporting "0.0" denotes that the difference between unrounded results of the sample and its duplicate analyses is less than the value of the limit of reporting of the specific testing. The RPD (%) meets the quality control requirement of the corresponding testing procedure.

Matrix: WATER			Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report							
Laboratory	Sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Unit Original Result Duplicate Result				
sample ID										
EA/ED: Physical and	Aggregate Properties (QC I	_ot: 5863936)								
HK2424000-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	4	5	0.0		
HK2424048-003	MP4-1	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	17	16	0.0		

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER			Method Blank (MB	l) Report	Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report							
			Spike	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)				
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Concentration	LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit	
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCL	_ot: 5863936)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	94.5		80.1	117			
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 5862607)												
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand			mg/L		198 mg/L	97.9		77.6	118			

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

• No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.

ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Laboratory

: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

Page

· 1 of 4

Contact

Client

· MR THOMAS WONG

Contact : Richard Fung Work Order

HK2424367

: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, Address SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG

Address

· 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3

Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T.,

Hong Kong

E-mail Telephone · thomas.wong@eno.com.hk

E-mail

richard.fung@alsglobal.com

Telephone

+852 2610 1044

Facsimile

+852 2610 2021

Date received

· 19-Jun-2024

Project Order number

Facsimile

: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG Quote number

: HKE/2741/2023_V2

Date of issue No. of samples 25-Jun-2024

Received

8 Analysed

C-O-C number Site

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

Signatory

Position

Authorised results for:

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Managing Director

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2424367



General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. Testing period is from 19-Jun-2024 to 24-Jun-2024.

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

Specific Comments for Work Order HK2424367:

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.

Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2424367



Sub-Matrix: WATER		Compound	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	 	
		LOR Unit	2 mg/L	2 mg/L	 	
Sample ID	Sampling date / time	Laboratory sample ID	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	 	
MP3-1	19-Jun-2024	HK2424367-001	5	4	 	
MP3-2	19-Jun-2024	HK2424367-002	5	4	 	
MP4-1	19-Jun-2024	HK2424367-003	12	2	 	
MP4-2	19-Jun-2024	HK2424367-004	13	2	 	
MP5-1	19-Jun-2024	HK2424367-005	18	<2	 	
MP5-2	19-Jun-2024	HK2424367-006	16	2	 	
MP6-1	19-Jun-2024	HK2424367-007	16	<2	 	
MP6-2	19-Jun-2024	HK2424367-008	16	<2	 	

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2424367



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

In the Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) report, RPD (%) of sample duplicate reporting "0.0" denotes that the difference between unrounded results of the sample and its duplicate analyses is less than the value of the limit of reporting of the specific testing. The RPD (%) meets the quality control requirement of the corresponding testing procedure.

Matrix: WATER			Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report										
Laboratory	Sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)					
sample ID													
EA/ED: Physical and	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 5870216)												
HK2424335-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	99	92	7.1					
HK2424407-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	32	33	0.0					

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER	Method Blank (MB) Report				Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report							
			Spike	Spike Red	Spike Recovery (%)		Limits (%)	RPDs (%)				
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Concentration	LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit	
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 5870216)												
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	105		80.1	117			
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 5868431)												
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand			mg/L		198 mg/L	101		77.6	118			

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

• No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.

ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Laboratory

: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

Page

· 1 of 4

Contact

· MR THOMAS WONG

Contact : Richard Fung Work Order

HK2424802

; FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, Address SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG

Address

· 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3

Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T.,

Hong Kong

E-mail

· thomas.wong@eno.com.hk

E-mail

richard.fung@alsglobal.com

Telephone

+852 2610 1044

Telephone Facsimile

Site

Client

Facsimile

+852 2610 2021

Date received

21-Jun-2024

Project

: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG

Date of issue

27-Jun-2024

Order number C-O-C number

Quote number

: HKE/2741/2023_V2

No. of samples

Received

Analysed

8

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

Signatory

Position

Authorised results for:

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Managing Director

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2424802



General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. Testing period is from 21-Jun-2024 to 26-Jun-2024.

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

Specific Comments for Work Order HK2424802:

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.

Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2424802



Sub-Matrix: WATER		Compound	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	 	
		LOR Unit	2 mg/L	2 mg/L	 	
Sample ID	Sampling date / time	Laboratory sample ID	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	 	
MP3-1	21-Jun-2024	HK2424802-001	3	2	 	
MP3-2	21-Jun-2024	HK2424802-002	3	2	 	
MP4-1	21-Jun-2024	HK2424802-003	14	<2	 	
MP4-2	21-Jun-2024	HK2424802-004	13	<2	 	
MP5-1	21-Jun-2024	HK2424802-005	22	2	 	
MP5-2	21-Jun-2024	HK2424802-006	21	2	 	
MP6-1	21-Jun-2024	HK2424802-007	22	<2	 	
MP6-2	21-Jun-2024	HK2424802-008	23	<2	 	

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2424802



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

In the Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) report, RPD (%) of sample duplicate reporting "0.0" denotes that the difference between unrounded results of the sample and its duplicate analyses is less than the value of the limit of reporting of the specific testing. The RPD (%) meets the quality control requirement of the corresponding testing procedure.

Matrix: WATER			Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report							
Laboratory	Sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)		
sample ID										
EA/ED: Physical and	Aggregate Properties (QC I	_ot: 5879109)								
HK2424802-005	MP5-1	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	22	24	5.5		
HK2424811-003	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	5	5	0.0		

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER	Method Blank (MB) Report				Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report							
			Spike	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)				
Method: Compound CAS	Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Concentration	LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit	
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 5879109)												
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	95.5		80.1	117			
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 5874722)												
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand			mg/L		198 mg/L	90.3		77.6	118			

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

• No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.

ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Laboratory

: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

Page : 1 of 4

Contact

: MR THOMAS WONG

Contact : Richard Fung

Work Order

HK2425052

Address :

: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT,

Address

: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3

Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T.,

Hong Kong

E-mail

Client

: thomas.wong@eno.com.hk

SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG

E-mail

richard.fung@alsglobal.com

Telephone : ----

Telephone

+852 2610 1044

Facsimile : ----

PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG

Facsimile +852 2610 2021

Date received

24-Jun-2024

Project Order number

Site

PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG

Date of issue

03-Jul-2024

C-O-C number :

. ___

Quote number : HKE/2741/2023_V2

No. of samples

Received

Analysed : 8

This report may not be reproduced except with prior

written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

Signatory

Position

Authorised results for:

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Managing Director

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2425052



General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. Testing period is from 24-Jun-2024 to 02-Jul-2024.

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

Specific Comments for Work Order HK2425052:

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.

Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2425052



Sub-Matrix: WATER		Compound	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	 	
		LOR Unit	2 mg/L	2 mg/L	 	
Sample ID	Sampling date / time	Laboratory sample ID	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	 	
MP3-1	24-Jun-2024	HK2425052-001	8	3	 	
MP3-2	24-Jun-2024	HK2425052-002	9	3	 	
MP4-1	24-Jun-2024	HK2425052-003	19	3	 	
MP4-2	24-Jun-2024	HK2425052-004	19	2	 	
MP5-1	24-Jun-2024	HK2425052-005	36	5	 	
MP5-2	24-Jun-2024	HK2425052-006	34	4	 	
MP6-1	24-Jun-2024	HK2425052-007	34	4	 	
MP6-2	24-Jun-2024	HK2425052-008	36	4	 	

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2425052



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

In the Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) report, RPD (%) of sample duplicate reporting "0.0" denotes that the difference between unrounded results of the sample and its duplicate analyses is less than the value of the limit of reporting of the specific testing. The RPD (%) meets the quality control requirement of the corresponding testing procedure.

Matrix: WATER			Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report										
Laboratory	Sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)					
sample ID													
EA/ED: Physical and	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 5880268)												
HK2425032-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	<2	0.0					
HK2425052-003	MP4-1	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	19	17	8.8					

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER	WATER Method Blank (MB) Report				Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report							
			Spike		Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)			
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Concentration	LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit	
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 5880268)												
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	104		80.1	117			
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 5879113)												
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand			mg/L		198 mg/L	90.2		77.6	118			

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

• No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.

ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG

Laboratory

: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

· 1 of 4 Page

Contact

· MR THOMAS WONG

Contact : Richard Fung Work Order

HK2425419

; FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT, Address

Address

· 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3

Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T.,

Hong Kong

E-mail Telephone

Client

· thomas.wong@eno.com.hk

E-mail

richard.fung@alsglobal.com

Telephone

+852 2610 1044

Facsimile

Facsimile

+852 2610 2021

Date received

26-Jun-2024

Project Order number

: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG

: HKE/2741/2023_V2

03-Jul-2024 Date of issue

Received

C-O-C number Site

Quote number

No. of samples

Analysed

8

This report may not be reproduced except with prior

written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

Signatory

Position

Authorised results for:

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Managing Director

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2425419



General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. Testing period is from 26-Jun-2024 to 03-Jul-2024.

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

Specific Comments for Work Order HK2425419:

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.

Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2425419



Sub-Matrix: WATER		Compound	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	 	
		LOR Unit	2 mg/L	2 mg/L	 	
Sample ID	Sampling date / time	Laboratory sample ID	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	 	
MP3-1	26-Jun-2024	HK2425419-001	9	3	 	
MP3-2	26-Jun-2024	HK2425419-002	8	3	 	
MP4-1	26-Jun-2024	HK2425419-003	14	3	 	
MP4-2	26-Jun-2024	HK2425419-004	13	3	 	
MP5-1	26-Jun-2024	HK2425419-005	41	10	 	
MP5-2	26-Jun-2024	HK2425419-006	42	9	 	
MP6-1	26-Jun-2024	HK2425419-007	40	10	 	
MP6-2	26-Jun-2024	HK2425419-008	30	10	 	

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2425419



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

In the Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) report, RPD (%) of sample duplicate reporting "0.0" denotes that the difference between unrounded results of the sample and its duplicate analyses is less than the value of the limit of reporting of the specific testing. The RPD (%) meets the quality control requirement of the corresponding testing procedure.

Matrix: WATER			Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report										
Laboratory	Sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)					
sample ID													
EA/ED: Physical and	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 5886551)												
HK2425237-001	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	3	3	0.0					
HK2425237-011	Anonymous	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	5	5	0.0					

Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: WATER	Method Blank (MB) Report				Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report							
			Spike	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)				
Method: Compound CAS	S Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Concentration	LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit	
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 5886551)												
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	92.0		80.1	117			
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 5888481)												
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand			mg/L		198 mg/L	90.6		77.6	118			

Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

• No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.

ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

: ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Laboratory

: ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

Page

· 1 of 4

Contact

· MR THOMAS WONG

Contact : Richard Fung Work Order

HK2425741

Address

: FLAT 2207, YU FUN HSE, YU CHUI COURT,

Address

· 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3

Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T.,

Hong Kong

E-mail

Client

· thomas.wong@eno.com.hk

SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG

E-mail

richard.fung@alsglobal.com

Telephone

Telephone

+852 2610 1044

Facsimile

Facsimile

+852 2610 2021

28-Jun-2024

Project

Site

: PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AT WO SHANG WAI YUEN LONG

Date received Date of issue

05-Jul-2024

Order number C-O-C number

Quote number

: HKE/2741/2023_V2

No. of samples

Received

8

Analysed

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the testing laboratory.

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories.

Signatory

Position

Authorised results for:

Fung Lim Chee, Richard

Managing Director

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2425741



General Comments

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes. Testing period is from 28-Jun-2024 to 03-Jul-2024.

Key: LOR = Limit of reporting; CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

Specific Comments for Work Order HK2425741:

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.

Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2425741



Sub-Matrix: WATER		Compound	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)	EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand	 	
		LOR Unit	2 mg/L	2 mg/L	 	
Sample ID	Sampling date / time	Laboratory sample ID	EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	EP: Aggregate Organics	 	
MP3-1	28-Jun-2024	HK2425741-001	9	4	 	
MP3-2	28-Jun-2024	HK2425741-002	9	5	 	
MP4-1	28-Jun-2024	HK2425741-003	28	4	 	
MP4-2	28-Jun-2024	HK2425741-004	27	4	 	
MP5-1	28-Jun-2024	HK2425741-005	46	6	 	
MP5-2	28-Jun-2024	HK2425741-006	48	7	 	
MP6-1	28-Jun-2024	HK2425741-007	41	6	 	
MP6-2	28-Jun-2024	HK2425741-008	42	6	 	

Client : ENOVATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE LTD

Work Order HK2425741



Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

In the Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) report, RPD (%) of sample duplicate reporting "0.0" denotes that the difference between unrounded results of the sample and its duplicate analyses is less than the value of the limit of reporting of the specific testing. The RPD (%) meets the quality control requirement of the corresponding testing procedure.

Matrix: WATER					Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report						
Laboratory	Sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)			
sample ID											
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QC Lot: 5891321)											
HK2425741-005	MP5-1	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	46	47	0.0			
HK2425741-006	MP5-2	EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	48	47	0.0			


Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report


Matrix: WATER		Method Blank (MB) Report		Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report							
					Spike	Spike Red	Spike Recovery (%)		Limits (%)	RPDs (%)	
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Concentration	LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties (QCLot: 5891321)											
EA025: Suspended Solids (SS)		2	mg/L	<2	10 mg/L	93.0		80.1	117		
EP: Aggregate Organics (QCLot: 5891228)											
EP030: Biochemical Oxygen Demand			mg/L		198 mg/L	86.4		77.6	118		


Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report


• No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.


G. Weather Conditions during the Monitoring Period


























































> Climate > Climate Information Service > Daily Extract

Daily Extract

Daily Extract of Meteorological Observations , June	2024	
Back	Year 2024 V Month 6 V Go	

		Hong Kong Observatory							King's Park	Waglan Is	sland^
		Air Temperature			Mean		Mean				
Day Mean Pressure (hPa)	Pressure	Absolute Daily Max (deg. C)	Mean (deg. C)	Absolute Daily Min (deg. C)	Dew Point (deg. C)	Relative Humidity g. (%)	Amount of Cloud (%)	Total Rainfall (mm)	Total Bright Sunshine (hours)	Prevailing Wind Direction (degrees)	Win Spec (km/
01	1007.8	29.8	27.1	25.6	24.9	88	89	54.2	0.8	***	***
02	1007.3	30.3	28.0	25.8	25.0	84	86	3.2	6.9	***	***
03	1008.4	28.2	25.3	23.8	23.6	91	88	8.6	0.0	***	***
04	1009.9	24.9	24.1	22.9	21.5	86	88	2.9	0.2	***	***
05	1010.2	25.4	24.4	23.4	22.7	90	88	8.5	0.0	***	***
06	1009.4	28.7	26.5	24.7	24.4	88	88	Trace	0.4	***	***
07	1007.9	26.6	25.6	25.1	24.2	92	94	1.6	0.0	***	***
08	1006.9	28.9	26.3	24.8	24.5	90	88	6.8	1.7	***	***
09	1008.3	27.5	26.6	25.3	25.3	93	86	33.5	0.0	***	***
10	1008.9	30.7	28.5	26.5	25.6	85	86	0.2	3.1	***	***
11	1008.1	30.8	29.1	28.2	26.1	84	88	0.6	1.3	***	***
12	1006.9	31.8	29.5	28.1	26.4	83	88	8.3	6.2	***	***
13	1004.7	32.0	29.9	28.7	26.8	83	86	4.9	2.1	***	***
14	1004.1	30.4	29.7	27.7	26.4	82	89	32.0	0.0	***	***
15	1004.6	30.0	28.2	25.0	25.6	86	88	28.3	0.0	***	***
16	1006.2	30.9	28.8	26.1	26.1	86	88	17.5	1.3	***	***
17	1006.6	32.7	30.1	28.6	26.2	80	88	Trace	3.4	***	***
18	1005.9	32.1	29.9	27.6	26.3	81	88	4.6	1.3	***	***
19	1005.7	32.2	30.0	28.0	26.2	80	88	9.4	3.8	***	***
20	1005.6	33.0	30.0	27.3	26.5	82	86	5.0	6.6	***	***
21	1006.0	34.0	30.8	28.7	25.9	76	77	0.0	10.4	***	***

22	1006.4	33.8	31.2	29.5	26.3	75	86	0.0	9.3	***	***
23	1006.7	33.9	30.5	27.9	26.1	78	86	4.7	8.5	***	***
24	1007.3	33.4	30.8	28.8	26.2	77	88	0.3	6.7	***	***
25	1009.2	33.2	30.1	26.5	26.0	79	88	19.0	5.5	***	***
26	1011.3	34.0	30.4	27.9	26.2	79	79	0.0	10.5	***	***
27	1010.9	34.4	30.7	28.4	26.5	79	73	1.4	9.8	***	***
28	1008.9	34.2	31.0	28.9	26.0	75	76	1.6	8.4	***	***
29	1007.6	31.5	29.2	26.8	25.8	82	86	15.5	4.8	***	***
30	1006.6	32.0	30.3	27.7	26.3	79	88	8.7	3.3	***	***
Mean/Total	1007.5	31.0	28.8	26.8	25.5	83	86	281.3	116.3	***	***
Climatological Normal [?]	1006.1	30.7	28.3	26.5	24.9	82	77	491.5	144.3	220	21.0

^{***} unavailable

Trace means rainfall less than 0.05 mm

? 1991-2020 Climatological Normal, unless otherwise specified



[^] Information of wind direction and wind speed for Waglan Island are based on automatic weather station data since August 1989

H. Ecological Monitoring Conducted

June 2024	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Mammals				√√							✓✓				
Birds				✓							✓				
Herpetofauna				√(d)											
Dragonflies & butterflies											✓				
Water Quality											+				
Inspection Visits				✓							✓				
Vegetation and Exotic Species Control							✓	✓				✓	✓		
June 2024	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Mammals		•••	√√							√√					
Birds			✓							✓					
Herpetofauna			√ (n)												
Dragonflies & butterflies										✓					
Water Quality			✓							+					

Notes:

Control

Inspection Visits

Light grey cells indicate public holidays, Saturdays or Sundays

Vegetation and Exotic Species

[&]quot;d" and "n" indicate daytime and night-time herpetofauna surveys respectively

⁺ indicates water level monitoring

I. Summary of Bird Surveys conducted

Table I1: Summary of bird species of conservation importance and/or wetland-dependence recorded in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA)

Species Name ⁽¹⁾	Scientific Name ⁽¹⁾	Wetland Dependence	Conservation Status ⁽²⁾	June 2024 Occurrence ⁽³⁾	June 2024 Mean ⁽⁴⁾	Records outside surveys ⁽⁵⁾
Little Grebe	Tachybaptus ruficollis	Υ	LC	4	2.3	0
Grey Heron	Ardea cinerea	Υ	PRC	1	0.5	0
Great Egret	Ardea alba	Υ	PRC, (RC)	4	6.3	0
Little Egret	Egretta garzetta	Υ	PRC, (RC)	4	3.3	0
Chinese Pond Heron	Ardeola bacchus	Y	PRC, (RC)	4	3.3	0
Yellow Bittern	Ixobrychus sinensis	Y	(LC)	3	1.8	0
Black-crowned Night Heron	Nycticorax nycticorax	Y	(LC)	4	3.3	0
Black Kite#	Milvus migrans	Y	Class II, (RC)	2	0.5	0
White-breasted Waterhen	Amaurornis phoenicurus	Υ	-	4	4.3	0
Pied Kingfisher	Ceryle rudis	Υ	(LC)	2	1.8	0
Common Kingfisher	Alcedo atthis	Υ	-	1	0.5	0
White-shouldered Starling	Sturnia sinensis	Υ	(LC)	4	5.5	0
	No. of species re	corded:	12			

- (1) Follows HK bird list (dated 2020-03).
- (2) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes *et al.* (2002) and BirdLife International listing (2017). Letters in parentheses indicate that the assessment is on the basis of restrictedness in breeding and/or roosting sites rather than in general occurrence. (Fellowes *et al.* 2002)
- (3) Indicates number of surveys recorded within the reporting period.
- (4) Refers to the mean number of individuals recorded in the reporting period (excluding the WRA).
- (5) Includes observations during other surveys and/or site visits.
- (6) Four regular surveys and four outside surveys were conducted.
- # Birds tagged with '#' are Category II protected under terrestrial wildlife state protection
- ## Birds tagged with '##" are Category I protected under terrestrial wildlife state protection
- V Indicates the species is recorded outside regular surveys

Table I2: Summary of bird species of conservation importance and/or wetland-dependence recorded in the WRA

Species Name ⁽¹⁾	Scientific Name ⁽¹⁾	Wetland Dependence	Conservation Status ⁽²⁾	June 2024 Occurrence ⁽³⁾	June 2024 Mean ⁽⁴⁾	Records outside surveys ⁽⁵⁾	
Great Egret	Ardea alba	Υ	PRC, (RC)	3	1.3	0	
Little Egret	Egretta garzetta	Υ	PRC, (RC)	4	1.3	0	
Chinese Pond Heron	Ardeola bacchus	Y	PRC, (RC)	4	1.5	0	
Yellow Bittern	Ixobrychus sinensis	Υ	(LC)	3	1.0	0	
Black-crowned Night Heron	Nycticorax nycticorax	Y	(LC)	2	0.5	0	
Black Kite#	Milvus migrans	Y	Class II, (RC)	2	0.5	0	
White-breasted Waterhen	Amaurornis phoenicurus	Y	-	4	1.3	0	
Black-winged Stilt	Himantopus himantopus	Y	RC	1	0.3	0	
Pied Kingfisher	Ceryle rudis	Υ	(LC)	1	0.5	0	
White Wagtail	Motacilla alba	Υ	-	1	0.3	0	
	No. of species recorded: 10						

- (1) Follows HK bird list (dated 2020-03).
- (2) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes et al. (2002) and BirdLife International listing (2017). Letters in parentheses indicate that the assessment is on the basis of restrictedness in breeding and/or roosting sites rather than in general occurrence. (Fellowes et al. 2002)
- (3) Indicates number of surveys recorded within the reporting period.
- (4) Refers to the mean number of individuals recorded in the reporting period in the WRA.
- (5) Includes observations during other surveys and/or site visits.
- (6) Four regular surveys and 11 outside surveys were conducted.
- # Birds tagged with '#' are Category II protected under terrestrial wildlife state protection
- ## Birds tagged with '##" are Category I protected under terrestrial wildlife state protection
- V Indicates the species is recorded outside regular surveys

Table I3: Summary of bird species recorded in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA) during the reporting month

Species Name ⁽¹⁾	Scientific Name ⁽¹⁾	Wetland Dependence	Conservation Status ⁽²⁾	
Little Grebe	Tachybaptus ruficollis	Υ	LC	
Grey Heron	Ardea cinerea	Υ	PRC	
Great Egret	Ardea alba	Υ	PRC, (RC)	
Little Egret	Egretta garzetta	Υ	PRC, (RC)	
Chinese Pond Heron	Ardeola bacchus	Υ	PRC, (RC)	
Yellow Bittern	Ixobrychus sinensis	Υ	(LC)	
Black-crowned Night Heron	Nycticorax nycticorax	Υ	(LC)	
Black Kite#	Milvus migrans	Υ	Class II, (RC)	
White-breasted Waterhen	Amaurornis phoenicurus	Υ	=	
Spotted Dove	Spilopelia chinensis	N	=	
Eurasian Collared Dove	Streptopelia decaocto	N	-	
Greater Coucal	Centropus sinensis	N	Class II	
Pied Kingfisher	Ceryle rudis	Υ	(LC)	
Common Kingfisher	Alcedo atthis	Υ	-	
Barn Swallow	Hirundo rustica	N	-	
Red-whiskered Bulbul	Pycnonotus jocosus	N	-	

Species Name ⁽¹⁾	Scientific Name ⁽¹⁾	Wetland Dependence	Conservation Status ⁽²⁾	
Light-vented Bulbul	Pycnonotus sinensis	N	-	
Long-tailed Shrike	Lanius schach	N	-	
Oriental Magpie Robin	Copsychus saularis	N	-	
Masked Laughingthrush	Garrulax perspicillatus	N	-	
Yellow-bellied Prinia	Prinia flaviventris	N	-	
Scaly-breasted Munia	Lonchura punctulata	N	-	
Eurasian Tree Sparrow	Passer montanus	N	-	
Black-collared Starling	Gracupica nigricollis	N	-	
White-shouldered Starling	Sturnia sinensis	Υ	(LC)	
Common Myna	Acridotheres tristis	N	-	
Crested Myna	Acridotheres cristatellus	N	-	
Azure-winged Magpie	Cyanopica cyanus	N	-	
	No. of species recorded:	28		

- (1) Follows HK bird list (dated 2020-03)
- (2) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes *et al.* (2002) and BirdLife International listing (2017). Letters in parentheses indicate that the assessment is on the basis of restrictedness in breeding and/or roosting sites rather than in general occurrence. (Fellowes *et al.* 2002)
- (3) Four regular surveys and four outside surveys were conducted.
- # Birds tagged with '#' are Category II protected under terrestrial wildlife state protection.
- ## Birds tagged with '##" are Category I protected under terrestrial wildlife state protection

Table I4: Summary of bird species recorded in the WRA during the reporting month

•	•		_	
Species Name ⁽¹⁾	Scientific Name ⁽¹⁾	Wetland Dependence	Conservation Status ⁽²⁾	
Great Egret	Ardea alba	Υ	PRC, (RC)	
Little Egret	Egretta garzetta	Υ	PRC, (RC)	
Chinese Pond Heron	Ardeola bacchus	Y	PRC, (RC)	
Yellow Bittern	Ixobrychus sinensis	Y	(LC)	
Black-crowned Night Heron	Nycticorax nycticorax	Y	(LC)	
Black Kite#	Milvus migrans	Υ	Class II, (RC)	
White-breasted Waterhen	Amaurornis phoenicurus	Υ	-	
Black-winged Stilt	Himantopus himantopus	Υ	RC	
Spotted Dove	Spilopelia chinensis	N	-	
Greater Coucal#	Centropus sinensis	N	Class II	
Pied Kingfisher	Ceryle rudis	Υ	(LC)	
Barn Swallow	Hirundo rustica	N	-	
White Wagtail	Motacilla alba	Y	-	
Red-whiskered Bulbul	Pycnonotus jocosus	N	-	
Light-vented Bulbul	Pycnonotus sinensis	N	-	
Oriental Magpie Robin	Copsychus saularis	N	-	
Chinese Blackbird	Turdus mandarinus	N	-	
Masked Laughingthrush	Garrulax perspicillatus	N	-	
Yellow-bellied Prinia	Prinia flaviventris	N	-	
Plain Prinia	Prinia inornata	N	-	
Black-collared Starling	Gracupica nigricollis	N	-	
Common Myna	Acridotheres tristis	N	-	
Crested Myna	Acridotheres cristatellus	N	-	
Red-billed Blue Magpie	Urocissa erythrorhyncha	N	-	

Species Name ⁽¹⁾	Scientific Name ⁽¹⁾	Wetland Dependence	Conservation Status ⁽²⁾
Large-billed Crow	Corvus macrorhynchos	N	-
	No. of species recorded:	25	

- Follows HK bird list (dated 2020-03)
 Conservation status follows that of Fellowes *et al.* (2002) and BirdLife International listing (2017). Letters in parentheses indicate that the assessment is on the basis of restrictedness in breeding and/or roosting sites rather than in general occurrence. (Fellowes *et al.* 2002)

 (3) Four regular surveys and 11 outside surveys were conducted.

 # Birds tagged with '#' are Category II protected under terrestrial wildlife state protection.

- ## Birds tagged with '##" are Category I protected under terrestrial wildlife state protection

J. Summary of Herpetofauna, Mammal and Insect Surveys Conducted

Table J1: Summary of herpetofauna monitoring in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA)

Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾	June 2024 Occurrence ⁽²⁾	June 2024 Mean ⁽³⁾	Records Outside Surveys ⁽⁴⁾
Amphibian	No. of species recorded:	2			
Asian Common Toad	Bufo melanostictus	-	1	5.0	0
Asiatic Painted Frog	Kaloula pulchra pulchra	-	1	1.0	0
Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾	June 2024 Occurrence ⁽²⁾	June 2024 Mean ⁽³⁾	Records Outside Surveys ⁽⁴⁾
Reptile	No. of species recorded:	2			
Bowring's Gecko	Hemidactylus bowringii	-	1	1.5	0
Checkered Keelback	Xenochrophis flavipunctatus	-	1	1.0	0

- (1) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes et al. (2002), Chan et al. (2005) and Karsen et al. (1998).
- (2) Indicates number of surveys recorded within the reporting period.
- (3) Refers to the mean number of individuals recorded in the reporting period (excluding the WRA).
- (4) Includes observations during other surveys and/or site visits.
- (5) Two regular survey and six outside surveys were conducted.
- V Indicates the species is recorded outside regular surveys

Table J2: Summary of herpetofauna monitoring in the WRA

Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾	June 2024 Occurrence ⁽²⁾	June 2024 Mean ⁽³⁾	Records Outside Surveys ⁽⁴⁾
Amphibian	No. of species recorded:	6			
Asian Common Toad	Bufo melanostictus	-	1	3.0	0
Gunther's Frog	Hylarana guentheri	-	1	0.5	0
Paddy Frog	Fejervarya limnocharis	-	1	0.5	0
Brown Tree Frog	Polypedates megacephalus	-	1	2.0	0
Asiatic Painted Frog	Kaloula pulchra pulchra	-	1	2.0	0
Ornate Pigmy Frog	Microhyla fissipes	-	2	3.0	V

Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾	June 2024 Occurrence ⁽²⁾	June 2024 Mean ⁽³⁾	Records Outside Surveys ⁽⁴⁾
Reptile	No. of species recorded:	3			
Bowring's Gecko	Hemidactylus bowringii	-	2	3.0	0
Taiwan Kukri	Oligodon formosanus	-	1	0.5	0
Checkered Keelback	Xenochrophis flavipunctatus	-	1	0.5	0

- (1) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes et al. (2002), Chan et al. (2005) and Karsen et al. (1998).
- (2) Indicates number of surveys recorded within the reporting period.
- (3) Refers to the mean number of individuals recorded in the reporting period in the WRA.
- (4) Includes observations during other surveys and/or site visits.
- (5) Two regular survey and 13 outside surveys were conducted.
- V Indicates the species is recorded outside regular surveys

Table J3: Summary of mammal monitoring in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA)

Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾	June 2024 Occurrence ⁽²⁾	June 2024 Max ⁽³⁾	Records Outside Surveys ⁽⁴⁾
Mammal	No. of species recorded:	0			

Note

- (1) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes et al. (2002) and Shek (2006).
- (2) Indicates number of surveys recorded within the reporting period.
- (3) Refers to the maximum number of individuals recorded in the reporting period (excluding the WRA).
- (4) Includes observations during other surveys and/or site visits.
- (5) Eight regular surveys were conducted.
- V Indicates the species is recorded outside regular surveys

Table J4: Summary of mammal monitoring in the WRA

Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾	June 2024 Occurrence ⁽²⁾	June 2024 Max ⁽³⁾	Records Outside Surveys ⁽⁴⁾
Mammal	No. of species recorded:	1			_
Japanese Pipistrelle	Pipistrellus abramus	-	1	2	0

Note:

- (1) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes et al. (2002) and Shek (2006).
- (2) Indicates number of surveys recorded within the reporting period.
- (3) Refers to the maximum number of individuals recorded in the reporting period in the WRA.
- (4) Includes observations during other surveys and/or site visits.
- (5) Eight regular surveys and seven outside surveys were conducted.
- V Indicates the species is recorded outside regular surveys
- # Species tagged with '#' are Category II protected under terrestrial wildlife state protection.

Table J5: Summary of dragonflies (odonata) and butterfly monitoring in the Survey Area (excluding the WRA)

Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾	June 2024 Occurrence ⁽²⁾	June 2024 Mean ⁽³⁾	Records Outside Surveys ⁽⁴⁾
Odonata	No. of species recorded:	11			
Wandering Midget	Agriocnemis pygmaea	-	2	1.0	0
Orange-tailed Sprite	Ceriagrion auranticum ryukyuanum	-	1	1.5	0
Common Bluetail	Ischnura senegalensis	-	1	0.5	0
Common Flangetail	Ictinogomphus pertinax	-	2	3.0	0

Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾	June 2024 Occurrence ⁽²⁾	June 2024 Mean ⁽³⁾	Records Outside Surveys ⁽⁴⁾
Asian Amberwing	Brachythemis contaminata	-	1	1.5	0
Coastal Glider	Macrodiplax cora	LC	1	0.5	0
Russet Percher	Neurothemis fulvia	-	1	0.5	0
Green Skimmer	Orthetrum sabina sabina	-	1	1.0	0
Wandering Glider	Pantala flavescens	-	1	1.0	0
Pied Skimmer	Pseudothemis zonata	-	1	4.0	0
Variegated Flutterer	Rhyothemis variegata arria	-	2	3.5	0
Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾	June 2024 Occurrence ⁽²⁾	June 2024 Mean ⁽³⁾	Records Outside Surveys ⁽⁴⁾
Butterflies	No. of species recorded:	10			
Blue-spotted Crow	Euploea midamus midamus	-	1	1.0	0
Great Egg-fly	Hypolimnas bolina kezia	-	2	1.5	0
Common Evening Brown	Melanitis leda	-	1	0.5	0
Dark Brand Bush Brown	Mycalesis mineus mineus	-	1	0.5	0
Pale Grass Blue	Pseudozizeeria maha serica	-	2	2.5	0
Small Cabbage White	Pieris rapae crucivora	-	1	0.5	0
Common Grass Yellow	Eurema hecabe hecabe	-	1	1.0	0
Lime Butterfly	Papilio demoleus	-	1	0.5	0
Common Mormon	Papilio polytes polytes	-	2	3.0	0
Spangle	Papilio protenor	-	1	0.5	0

- (1) Conservation status follows that of Fellowes et al. (2002), Lo & Hui (2004), Tam et al. (2011) and Young & Yiu (2002).
- (2) Indicates number of surveys recorded within the reporting period.
- (3) Refers to the mean number of individuals recorded in the reporting period (excluding the WRA).
- (4) Includes observations during other surveys and/or site visits.
- (5) Two Regular and six outside surveys were conducted.
- V Indicates the species is recorded outside regular surveys

Table J6: Summary of dragonflies (odonata) and butterfly monitoring in the WRA

Species Name	Scientific Name	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾	June 2024 Occurrence ⁽²⁾	June 2024 Mean ⁽³⁾	Records Outside Surveys ⁽⁴⁾
Odonata	No. of species recorded:	14			
Common Bluetail	Ischnura senegalensis	-	2	5.0	0
Blue Sprite	Pseudagrion microcephalum	LC	1	0.5	0
Common Flangetail	lctinogomphus pertinax	-	2	3.0	0
Asian Pintail	Acisoma panorpoides	-	1	1.0	V
Blue Dasher	Brachydiplax chaly bea flavovittata	-	2	3.0	0
Asian Amberwing	Brachythemis contaminata	-	2	7.5	0
Crimson Darter	Crocothemis servilia servilia	-	2	2.5	0
Russet Percher	Neurothemis fulvia	-	1	0.5	0

Species Name	Scientific Name C	Conservation Status ⁽¹⁾	June Occurre		ne 2024 lean ⁽³⁾	Records Outside Surveys ⁽⁴⁾
Pied Percher	Neurothemis tullia tullia	-	1		0.5	0
Wandering Glider	Pantala flavescens	-	2		4.0	V
Pied Skimmer	Pseudothemis zonata	-	2		5.0	V
Variegated Flutterer	Rhyothemis varieg ata arria	-	2		6.5	V
Saddlebag Glider	Tramea virginia	=	1		0.5	0
Scarlet Basker	Urothemis signata signata	LC	1		0.5	0
Species Name	Scientific Name	Co	onservation Status ⁽¹⁾	June 2024 Occurrence ⁽²⁾	June 2024 Mean ⁽³⁾	Records Outside Surveys ⁽⁴⁾
Butterflies	No. of species recorde	d:	17			
Blue-spotted Crow	Euploea midamus midar	mus	-	1	0.5	0
Common Archduke	Lexias pardalis		-	=	-	V
Great Egg-fly	Hypolimnas bolina kezia)	-	1	0.5	0
Common Sailor	Neptis hylas hylas		-	1	0.5	0
Common Mapwing	Cyrestis thyodamas chir	nensis	-	1	0.5	0
Common Evening Brown	Melanitis leda		-	1	1.0	V
Dark Evening Brown	Melanitis phedima musk	rata	-	1	1.0	0
Dark Brand Bush Brown	Mycalesis mineus mineu	ıs	-	2	1.5	0
Silver Streak Blue	Iraota timoleon timoleco	n		1	0.5	0
Pale Grass Blue	Pseudozizeeria maha se	erica	-	2	6.5	0
Small Cabbage White	Pieris rapae crucivora		-	2	1.0	0
Lemon Emigrant	Catopsilia pomona pomo	ona	-	1	0.5	0
Common Grass Yellow	Eurema hecabe hecabe		-	1	0.5	0
Common Bluebottle	Graphium sarpedon sarp	pedon	-	1	0.5	V
Tailed Jay	Graphium agamemnon agamemnon		-	1	0.5	0
Common Mormon	Papilio polytes polytes			1	1.5	0
Common Straight Swift	Parnara guttata		-	1	0.5	0

⁽¹⁾ Conservation status follows that of Fellowes et al. (2002), Lo & Hui (2004), Tam et al. (2011) and Young & Yiu (2002).

⁽²⁾ Indicates number of surveys recorded within the reporting period.
(3) Refers to the mean number of individuals recorded in the reporting period in the WRA.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes observations during other surveys and/or site visits.

 ⁽⁵⁾ Two regular survey and 13 outside surveys were conducted.
 V Indicates the species is recorded outside regular surveys.

K. Summary of Water Quality Monitoring associated with Ecological Monitoring conducted

Table K1. Water quality at WRA during the reporting month

Cell No.	Temp. (°C)	рН	Salinity (ppt)	Turbidity (NTU)	DO (mg/L)	Water Level (cı	_
					•	11 June 2024	25 June 2024
1	32.2	7.6	0.2	13.3	4.6	210	205
2	31.6	7.8	0.2	2.9	7.4	180	175
3	31.7	7.9	0.2	13.1	6.4	220	210
4	31.1	7.6	0.2	4.2	6.3	210	210
Action Level	-	<6.5 or >8.0	>2	-	<2	<150 o	r >250
Limit Level	-	<6.0 or >8.5	>5	-	<1	-	-

Values highlighted in **bold** indicate that action level is reached; whereas values in **bold and underlined** indicate that limit level is reached.

^{2.} Water level monitoring was conducted on 11 and 25 June 2024.

^{3.} Monitoring of all other parameters was conducted on 18 June 2024.

L. Environmental Mitigation Measures - Implementation Status

Air Quality - Recommended Mitigation Measures

Air Quality Mitigation Measures during construction	Implementation Status
 access roads should be sprayed with water or dust suppression chemical to maintain the entire road surface wet or paved; 	✓
 every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry PFA should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides; 	N/A
 de-bagging, batching or mixing process should be carried out in sheltered areas during the use of bagged cement; 	N/A
 use of effective dust screens, sheeting or netting to be provided to enclose dry scaffolding which may be provided from the ground floor level of the building or if a canopy is provided at the first- floor level, from the first floor level, up to the highest level (maximum four floors for this Project) of the scaffolding where scaffolding is erected around the perimeter of a building under construction; 	N/A
 dump trucks for material transport should be totally enclosed using impervious sheeting; 	✓
 any excavated dusty materials or stockpile of dusty materials should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water so as to maintain the entire surface wet, and recovered or backfilled or reinstated within 24 hours of the excavation or unloading; 	✓
 dusty materials remaining after a stockpile is removed should be wetted with water; 	✓
 the area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with e.g. concrete, bituminous materials or hardcore or similar; 	✓
 the portion of road leading only to a construction site that is within 30m of a designated vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of dusty materials; 	✓
 stockpile of dusty materials to be either covered entirely by impervious sheeting, placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides; or sprayed with water so as to maintain the entire surface wet; 	✓
 all dusty materials to be sprayed with water prior to any loading, unloading or transfer operation so as to maintain the dusty material wet; 	✓
 vehicle speed to be limited to 10 kph except on completed access roads; 	✓
 every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels before leaving the construction sites; 	✓
 the load of dusty materials carried by vehicle leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle; and 	✓
 the working area of excavation should be sprayed with water immediately before, during and immediately after (as necessary) the operations so as to maintain the entire surface wet. 	✓
Odour mitigation measures	
all malodorous excavated material should be placed as far as possible from any ASRs;	N/A
the stockpiled malodorous material should be removed from site as soon as possible; and	N/A
the stockpiled malodorous material should be covered entirely by plastic tarpaulin sheets.	N/A

Noise - Recommended Mitigation Measures

Noise Mitigation Measures during construction	Implementation Status
 only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction works; 	✓
 machines and plant that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum; 	✓
 plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, where possible, be orientated to direct noise away from the NSRs; 	√
 silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be utilised and should be properly maintained during the construction period; 	✓
 mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible; 	✓
 material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilised, where practicable, to screen noise from on-site construction activities; and 	✓
 air compressor and hand-held breaker should be fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation; and 	N/A
The Contractor shall at all times comply with all current statutory environmental legislation.	✓
Selection of quieter plant and working methods	✓
The Contractor shall obtain particular models of plant that are quieter than standards given in GW-TM. The list of assumed quieter plants can be found in the Table 4–14 of the EIA report. The Contractor shall select from the available models achieving the assumed sound levels while making reference to the GW-TM, BS 5228-1:2009+A1:2014 and BS 5228-2:2009+A1:2014	
Use of Noise Barriers	Р
Noise barriers are proposed along the site boundary to block the direct line of sight from the most affected NSRs to the major noise contribution construction phases. The height of the noise barriers ranged from 9-10m. The noise barriers shall be built before the commencement of construction works in order to ensure protection to nearby NSRs. The noise barrier should have a surface density of at least 10kg/m² or material providing equivalent transmission loss. The noise barriers and hoardings should have no gaps and openings to avoid noise leakage.	

Water Quality - Recommended Mitigation Measures

Water Quality Mitigation Measures during construction	Implementation Status
The site should be confined to avoid silt runoff to the site.	✓
 No discharge of silty water into the storm drain and drainage channel within and the vicinity of the site. 	√
 Any soil contaminated with chemicals/oils shall be removed from site and the void created shall be filled with suitable materials. 	√
Stockpiles to be covered by tarpaulin to avoid spreading of materials during rainstorms;	N/A
 Suitable containers shall be used to hold the chemical wastes to avoid leakage or spillage during storage, handling and transport; 	√
 Chemical waste containers shall be labelled with appropriate warning signs in English and Chinese to avoid accidents. there shall also be clear instructions showing what action to take in the event of an accidental; 	✓
 Storage areas shall be selected at safe locations on site and adequate space shall be allocated to the storage area; 	✓
 Any construction plant which causes pollution to the water system due to leakage of oil or fuel shall be removed off-site immediately; 	N/A
Spillage or leakage of chemical waste to be controlled by using suitable absorbent materials;	N/A
 Chemicals will always be stored on drip trays or in bunded areas where the volume is 110% of the stored volume; 	Р

Water Quality Mitigation Measures during construction	Implementation Status
 Regular clearance of domestic waste generated in the temporary sanitary facilities to avoid wastewater spillage. 	√
Temporary sanitary facilities to be provided for on-site workers during construction.	✓
 Temporary drainage channel and associated facilities will be provided to collect the surface runoff generated within the Project Area during the construction phase. 	✓
 Sandbags or silt traps will need to be placed to avoid silt runoff to the drainage channel draining the water in the northern ditch. Draining of the ditches should avoid rainy weather. 	✓
 Excavated soil which needs to be temporarily stockpiled should be stored in a specially designated area and provided with a tarpaulin cover to avoid runoff into the drainage channels. 	✓

Waste Management – Recommended Mitigation Measures

Waste Management Mitigation Measures during construction	Implementation Status
Site Clearance Waste	✓
 The major construction works of Wo Shang Wai is in the development of residential buildings and other associated facilities (club house, tennis courts, etc.). The amount of site clearance works will be limited with the exception of the excavated materials. The thin layer of vegetation removed can be stored and reused for landscaping. 	
Excavated Materials	✓
The intention is to maximize the reuse of the excavated materials on-site as fill materials.	
Imported Filling Material	✓
The excavated/imported filling material may have to be temporarily stockpiled on-site for the construction of road embankment and foundation of viaduct substructure. Control measures should be taken at the stockpiling area to prevent the generation of dust and pollution of stormwater channels. However, to eliminate the risk of blocking drains in the wet season, it is recommended that stockpiling of excavated materials at during wet season should be avoided as far as practicable.	
Construction and Demolition Materials	✓
Careful design, planning and good site management can minimise over-ordering and generation of waste materials such as concrete, mortars and cement grouts. The design of formwork should maximise the use of standard wooden panels so that high reuse levels can be achieved. Alternatives such as steel formwork of plastic facing should be considered to increase the potential for reuse.	
The Contractor should reuse any C&D material on-site. C&D waste should be segregated and stored in different containers to other wastes to encourage the re-use or recycling of materials and their proper disposal.	✓
Chemical Waste	N/A
For those processes which generate chemical waste, it may be possible to find alternatives which generate reduced quantities or even no chemical waste, or less dangerous types of chemical waste.	
Containers used for the storage of chemical wastes should:	
 be suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed: 	✓
 have a capacity of less than 450 litres unless the specification has been approved by the EPD; and 	✓
 display a label in English and Chinese in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the Regulations, 	✓
The storage area for chemical wastes should:	
be clearly labelled and used solely for the storage of chemical waste;	✓
be enclosed on at least 3 sides;	✓

Waste Management Mitigation Measures during construction	Implementation Status
 have an impermeable floor and bunding, of capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the largest container or 20% by volume of the chemical waste stored in that area whichever is the greatest; 	✓
have adequate ventilation;	✓
 be covered to prevent rainfall entering (water collected within the bund must be tested and disposed as chemical waste if necessary); and 	✓
 be arranged so that incompatible materials are adequately separated. 	✓
Disposal of chemical waste should:	
be via a licensed waste collector; and	N/A
 be to a facility licensed to receive chemical waste, such as the Chemical Waste Treatment Facility which also offers a chemical waste collection service and can supply the necessary storage containers, or 	N/A
to be a re-user of the waste, under approval from the EPD.	N/A
General Refuse Should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D and chemical wastes. The Contractor should employ a reputable waste collector to remove general refuse from the site, separate from C&D and chemical wastes, on a regular basis to minimise odour, pest and litter impacts. Burning of refuse on construction sites is prohibited by law.	P
Disposal of Excavated Sediment at Sea	
The requirements and procedures for excavated sediment disposal are specified under the ETWB TC(W) No. 34/2002 and PNAP 252 (ADV-21). The management of the excavation, use and disposal of sediment is monitored by Fill Management Committee, whilst the licensing of marine dumping is the responsibility of the Director of Environmental Protection (DEP).	N/A
The excavated sediment would be loaded onto barges or other appropriate vessel and transported to the designated marine disposal site. Category L sediment and Category M sediment passing the biological test would be suitable for disposal at a gazetted open sea disposal ground. Category M sediment failing the biological test and Category H sediment passing the biological test would require confined marine disposal.	N/A
During transportation and disposal of the dredged sediment, the following measures should be taken to minimize potential impacts on water quality: -	N/A
 Bottom opening transport vessels should be fitted with tight fitting seals to prevent leakage of material. Excess material should be cleaned from the decks and exposed fittings of vessels before the vessel is moved. 	N/A
 Monitoring of the barge loading should be conducted to ensure that loss of material does not take place during transportation. Transport barges or vessels should be equipped with automatic self- monitoring devices as specified by the DEP. 	N/A

Ecology – Recommended Mitigation Measures

Ecology Mitigation Measures during construction	Implementation Status
Clear Definition of Site Limit	
Clear definition of the site limit should be provided in order to minimize and confine the disturbance during the construction period, especially the northern limit of the Site which is adjacent to fishponds within the Conservation Area (CA) zone and are considered to be ecological sensitive receivers.	√
During wetland construction stage the WRA boundary will be delineated using a temporary hoarding in order to reduce disturbance to off-site habitats and wildlife. During the establishment phase this hoarding will be replaced with a 1 m high chain-link fence in order to reduce disturbance to the WRA through access by humans and dogs, and a hoarding will be established around the residential construction site.	N/A (WRA construction completed)

Ecology Mitigation Measures during construction Implementation Status Dust and Noise Suppression and Avoidance of Water Pollution Good site practices of dust and noise suppression should be strictly implemented to ensure that disturbance is minimized to acceptable levels. Mitigation measures for the off-site disturbance impacts on the fishponds in the CA include hoarding at the northern site boundary during construction of the WRA to reduce noise and dust impacts to the adjacent habitats. Through the use of quieter plant and temporary/movable noise barriers, the noise level would be reduced significantly to an acceptable level. Hoarding at the northern boundary should be replaced with a 1 m high chainlink fence following construction and the WRA will then act as a buffer between the existing wetland areas and the residential part of the site until construction is completed. Hoarding will be retained between the WRA and ongoing construction work to avoid visual disturbance and reduce noise and dust emissions. Pollution of watercourses and sedimentary runoff will be minimized by good site practice, especially the containment of water and sediment within the site for removal. These standard noise and air and water quality site practices are considered to be effective measures for minimizing the disturbance impact during the construction period. Planning of Construction Schedule The construction of the proposed project should be scheduled in phases. Because mitigation is N/A preferably carried out in advance of the main works rather than after the completion of works, the (WRA construction construction of the WRA will commence at the start of the project. Construction work within the completed) WRA is scheduled to take place in a single wet season, followed by 1.5 years of wetland establishment. During the wetland establishment period no noisy work will be undertaken within the WRA to minimize the disturbance to off-site habitats and wildlife. Reusing Onsite Materials Soil and plants on-site should be reused (e.g. used as fill material) as far as practical. Stockpiles of these reusable materials should be stored in an appropriate area on-site. In particular, the re-use of

Landscape and Visual - Recommended Mitigation Measures

The WRA will be operational within 2.5 yrs from the commencement of construction (1 year for site formation and 1.5 years for establishment) and will compensate for the predicted ecological impacts

the wetland soils and topsoil should be considered.

Construction of the Wetland Restoration Area

of the proposed development.

Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures during construction	Implementation Status
CM1 - The construction area and contractor's temporary works areas should be minimised to avoid impacts on adjacent landscape.	✓
CM2 - Screening of construction works by hoarding / noise barriers.	√ (see Appendix M
	Photo 1 & 3 *)
CM3 - Reduction of construction period to practical minimum.	✓
CM4 - Topsoil, where identified, should be stripped and stored for re-use in the construction of the soft landscape works, where the soil material meets acceptable criteria and where practical. The Contract Specification shall include storage and reuse of topsoil as appropriate.	✓
CM5 - Hydroseeding or sheeting of soil stockpiles with visually unobtrusive material (in earth tone).	✓
CM6 - Advance screen planting of noise barriers	✓
	(see Appendix M Photo 3 *)
CM7 - Control night-time lighting and glare by hooding all lights.	N/A
CM8 - Ensure no run-off into streams adjacent to the Project Area.	✓
CM9 - Protection of existing trees on boundary of site shall be carefully protected during construction. Detailed Tree Protection Specification shall be provided in the Contract Specification. Under this specification, the Contractor shall be required to submit, for approval, a detailed working method statement for the protection of trees prior to undertaking any works adjacent to all retained	✓

Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures during construction

Implementation Status

trees, including trees in contractor's works areas. (Tree protection measures will be detailed at S16 and Tree Removal Application stage).

CM10 - Trees unavoidably affected by the works shall be transplanted where practical. Trees should be transplanted straight to their destinations and not held in a nursery. A detailed Tree Transplanting Specification shall be provided in the Contract Specification, if applicable. Sufficient time for necessary tree root and crown preparation periods shall be allowed in the project programme.

✓

Legend: ✓

ImplementedNot implementedPartially implementedN/ANot applicable

* Representative photos showing the implementation of mitigation measures are presented in Appendix M

M. Landscape and Visual Audit Photos

