## Attachment 1 Technical Checklist (on TM Annex 11) to Review an EIA Report

### Purpose:

The purpose of this Technical Checklist is to assist the project EPO of the EA Division in reviewing the submitted EIA report to determine whether it meets the requirements of Annex 11 of the Technical Memorandum (TM) on EIA Process

This Checklist only serves as an initial check of the EIA report and does not necessarily represent the final view of the Director under the EIA Ordinance.

To record the compliance status of the submitted information:

For information already provided, put " ✓".

For information not provided at all, put " \_ "

For information not applicable, put " n/a ".

Contents of an EIA Report	Status	Remarks
	Yes No	
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY IN ENGLISH AND CHINESE     Summary of main issues, findings, conclusions and recommendations	-	The ES has summarised the main issues, findings, conclusions and recommendations
INTRODUCTION		
- Background of the project	✓	S1.1
- Purpose of the EIA study	✓	S1.3
- The approach	<b>~</b>	The general approach and structure are described in S.1.5. Details of the approach for each environmental assessment are presented in Sections 3 to 10.
DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT		
- Key project requirements	✓	S2.3
- Site location and site history	✓	S2.4
- Nature, scope and benefits of the project	✓	S2.2 and 2.4
- Size or scale, shape and design of the project	✓	S2.3 and S2.4, Figures 2.1
- Project timetable and phasing of the project	✓	S2.9, Appendix 3.1, Appendix 4.3
- Means by which the project will be implemented	✓	S2.8, S2.9
- Any related projects	✓	S2.10

A1 – 1

Contents of an EIA Report	Status	Remarks
Type, scope, scale, frequency and duration of the construction, operational or decommissioning (if relevant) activities	Yes No	S2.2, S2.4, S2.9, Appendix 3.1, Appendix 4.3
<ul> <li>Background and history of the project, including considerations given to different options, and the project's different siting or alignment</li> </ul>	✓	S2.3, S2.5, S2.6
- Description of scenarios with or without the project	✓	S2.3.4 and S2.3.5
ENVIRONMENTAL LEGISLATION, POLICIES, PLANS, STANDARDS AND CRITERIA		
- Applicable environmental ordinances and regulations	✓	\$3.2, \$4.2, \$5.2, \$6.2, \$7.2, \$8.2, \$9.2, \$10.2
- Applicable government environmental policies and plans	<b>✓</b>	Ditto
- Applicable environmental standards and criteria	✓	Ditto
- Other references	✓	S8.13
DESCRIPTION OF THE ENVIRONMENT - Baseline environmental conditions	<b>✓</b>	\$3.3, \$4.3, \$5.3, \$6.3, \$.7.4, \$8.4, \$8.5, \$8.6, \$9.4, \$9.5,
- Environmental trends	✓	S10.4, S11.4, S11.5 S3.3, S5.3.2, S5.3.3
DESCRIPTION OF ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGIES     Assessment methodologies, assumptions and criteria, including sample calculations and input and output files of a typical model run for all mathematical modelling	<b>*</b>	S3.6, S4.6, S5.5, S6.4, S7.3, S8.3, S9.3, S10.3, Appendix 13.1
IDENTIFICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS     Potential environmental impacts including the types, characteristics and estimated quantities of emissions, discharges, wastes, potential risks, disturbances or displacement associated with the activities relating to the project during construction, operation and decommissioning phases	<b>√</b>	S3.5, S4.5, S5.5, S6.5, S7.5, S8.7, S9.6, S10.5
- Description of resources or receivers which are vulnerable to change or environmental impacts	✓	S3.4, S4.4, S5.4, S7.5, S8.6, S9.6, S10.4
PREDICTION AND EVALUATION OF  ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS  - Prediction of environmental impacts (including beneficial or adverse; direct or indirect; short term or long term; reversible or irreversible; transboundary; cumulative)	<b>✓</b>	\$3.7, \$4.7, \$5.6, \$6.5, \$7.7, \$8.8, \$9.6, \$10.8

Contents of an EIA Report	Status Yes No	Remarks
Evaluation of predicted environmental impacts against applicable environmental legislation, policies, plans, standards and criteria	<b>√</b>	Ditto
MITIGATION OF ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL  IMPACTS  - Measures to eliminate, reduce or remedy adverse environmental impacts	<b>~</b>	S3.8, S.4.8, S5.7, S6.6, S7.8, S8.9, S9.7, S10.9 and summarised in S13 and Appendix 13.2.
DEFINITION AND EVALUATION OF RESIDUAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS - Definition and evaluation of net environmental impacts with mitigation measures in place	✓	\$3.9, \$.4.9, \$5.8, \$6.7, \$7.9, \$8.10, \$9.8
ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT  - Need for and scope of monitoring and audit	✓	S3.10, S4.10, S5.9, S6.8, S7.10, S8.11, S9.10, S10.10 and summarised in S11.
- Environmental monitoring and audit requirements, if found to be necessary, and the related environmental monitoring and audit programme	✓	Ditto
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	✓	S13
SCHEDULE OF RECOMMENDED MITIGATION  MEASURES  - A schedule of all mitigation measures recommended in the EIA report, listing out what the mitigation measures are, by whom, when, where and to what requirements, and including the key environmental monitoring and audit requirements	<b>✓</b>	S12
APPENDIX - Responses to comments received	n/a	The Responses to comments are submitted separately from the EIA Report

### Attachment 2 Checklists for TM s4.4, s4.3 & s4.5 over EIA Report Approval

Purpose:

The purpose of this Technical Checklist is to assist the project EPO of the EA Division in reviewing the submitted EIA report to determine whether it meets the requirements of TM s4.4, 4.3 & 4.5 of the Technical Memorandum (TM) on EIA Process.

This Checklist only serves as an initial check of the EIA report and does not necessarily represent the final view of the Director under the EIA Ordinance.

To record the compliance status of the submitted information:

For information already provide put "✓"

For information not provided at all, put " - "

For information not applicable, put " n/a ".

NOTE: The adequacy of any technical information provided needs to be relied on the advice of the technical groups and the relevant authorities.

### TM Section 4.4.—The Review of EIA Report

Steps in Review of EIA Report	Status		Remark
TM 4.4- Review of the EIA Report under the following steps			
	Yes	No	
TM s4.4.1 Compliance with SB and TM			Please refer to the details in
			the checklists for TM (Annex
Whether the coverage and approaches in the EIA Report	✓		11 & 20) and SB.
comply with SB and TM			
TM s4.4.2 Quality of EIA Report			
Whether the EIA is reviewed having regarded to Annex 20	✓		Please refer to the details in
and Section 4.3.			the checklists for TM (Annex
			20 and Section 4.3).
In particular, the following factors are considered:	✓		S2
(a) whether project scope and extent in the EIA cover all			
phases and key sequence of the project			
(b) whether information and description in the EIA are	✓		The information and
factually correct			description presented have
			been confirmed with the
			project proponent/engineer

Ste	ps in Review of EIA Report	Status		Remark
TM	4.4- Review of the EIA Report under the following steps			
		Yes	No	
				and relevant authorities to
				ensure the accuracy.
(c)	whether assessment methodology and evaluation of	✓		S3.6, S4.6, S5.5, S6.4, S7.3,
	predicted impacts are consistent with TM Annexes 12-19			S8.3, S9.3, S10.3.
	and 4-10 respectively			
(d)	whether identification of environmental impacts are	✓		S3.5, S4.5, S5.6, S6.5, S7.5,
	complete and whether all applicable criteria in Annexes			S7.6, S8.7, S9.6, S10.5.
	4 to 10 are considered			
(e)	whether assumption and methodology are adequate	✓		Key assumptions have been
				provided in Appendix 14.1.
				Methodology presented in
				S3.6, S4.6, S5.5, S6.4, S7.3,
				S8.3, S9.3, S10.3.
(f)	whether adverse impacts are avoided to maximum	<b>✓</b>		Adverse impacts are avoided
(.)	practicable extent			to maximum practicable extent
	produce Chieff			by consideration of alternative
				schemes (S2.5, S2.6 and
				· ·
				S2.8) and providing
				appropriate mitigation
				measures (S3.8, S4.8, S5.7,
				S6.6, S7.8, S8.9, S9.7, S10.9,
		,		and summarised in S12).
(g)	whether assessment has considered and compared the_	<b>✓</b>		S2.2, S2.3
	various environmental benefits and dis-benefits with			
	or without the project	,		
(h)	whether lessons learned are incorporated	n/a		
(i)	whether the EIA defined all environmental protection	<b>✓</b>		Mitigation measures are
	measures <u>necessary to avoid or reduce</u> the adverse			proposed in S3.8, S4.8, S5.7,
	environmental impacts to within the criteria			S6.6, S7.8, S8.9, S9.7, S10.9,
				and summarised in S12, and
				any residual impacts are
				reported in S3.9, S4.9, S5.8,
				S6.7, S7.9 and S8.10.
				S8.11.
(j)	for no applicable quantitative criteria whether the report	✓		Ditto
	has defined the <b>best practicable mitigation measures</b>			

TM 4.4- Review of the EIA Report under the following steps  (k) whether the report has assessed feasibility, practicability, programming and effectiveness of mitigation measures (l) whether the report has assessed EM&A requirement  TM 54.4.3 Evaluation of Residual Impact (i.e. net environmental impacts after mitigation) Whether the following factors are considered: (a) the importance of residual impacts in terms of the following factors are considered: (ii) effect on public health and health of biota or risk to life (iii) the magnitude of the adverse environmental impacts (iv) duration and frequency of adverse environmental impacts (iv) duration and frequency of adverse environment that may be affected by adverse impacts (vi) degree to which adverse environmental impacts are reversible or irreversible (vii) the ecological context (viii) degree of disruption to sites of cultural heritage (ix) international and regional significance (x) both the likelihood and degree of uncertainty of adverse environmental impact (ib) degree of compliance with principles and criteria as listed: (i) ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications (ii) guidelines, standards & criteria in EIAO TM (iii) other published and adopted criteria in HK  V Ditto	Steps	in Review of EIA Report	Status		Remark
(k) whether the report has assessed feasibility, practicability, programming and effectiveness of mitigation measures (l) whether the report has assessed EM&A requirement  TM s4.4.3 Evaluation of Residual Impact (i.e. net environmental impacts after mitigation) Whether the following factors are considered: (a) the importance of residual impacts in terms of the following factors: (i) effect on public health and health of biota or risk to life (ii) the magnitude of the adverse environmental impacts (iv) duration and frequency of adverse environmental impacts (iv) duration and frequency of adverse environmental impacts (v) likely size of community or the environment that may be affected by adverse impacts (vi) degree to which adverse environmental impacts are reversible or irreversible (vii) the ecological context (viii) degree of disruption to sites of cultural heritage (ix) international and regional significance (x) both the likelihood and degree of uncertainty of adverse environmental impact (vi) degree of compliance with principles and criteria as listed: (i) ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications (ii) guidelines, standards & criteria in EIAO TM (iii) other published and adopted criteria in HK   Ditto  Ditto  S3.10, S4.10, S5.9, S6.8, S6.7, S7.9, S8.10, S10.2.  Ditto	TM 4.	4- Review of the EIA Report under the following steps			
programming and effectiveness of mitigation measures  (i) whether the report has assessed EM&A requirement  TM s4.4.3 Evaluation of Residual Impact (i.e. net environmental impacts after mitigation) Whether the following factors are considered: (a) the importance of residual impacts in terms of the following factors: (i) effect on public health and health of biota or risk to life (ii) the magnitude of the adverse environmental impacts  (iii) the geographic extent of the adverse environmental impacts (iv) duration and frequency of adverse environmental impacts (v) likely size of community or the environmental impacts are reversible or irreversible (vii) the ecological context (viii) degree of disruption to sites of cultural heritage (ix) international and regional significance (x) both the likelihood and degree of uncertainty of adverse environmental impact (b) degree of compliance with principles and criteria as listed: (i) ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications (iii) guidelines, standards & criteria in EIAO TM (iii) other published and adopted criteria in HK  V S3.10, S4.10, S5.9, S6.8, S6.7, S7.9, S7.9, S8.10, S10.8			Yes N	lo	
(i) whether the report has assessed EM&A requirement    S3.10, S4.10, S5.9, S6.8, S7.10, S8.11, S9.10, S10.10 and summarised in S11.   TM s4.4.3 Evaluation of Residual Impact (i.e. net environmental impacts after mitigation)	(k) w	hether the report has assessed feasibility, practicability,	✓		Ditto
S7.10, S8.11, S9.10, S10.10 and summarised in S11.  TM s4.4.3 Evaluation of Residual Impact (i.e. net environmental impacts after mitigation) Whether the following factors are considered: (a) the importance of residual impacts in terms of the following factors: (i) effect on public health and health of biota or risk to life (ii) the magnitude of the adverse environmental impacts (iii) the geographic extent of the adverse environmental impacts (iv) duration and frequency of adverse environmental impacts (iv) dikely size of community or the environment that may be affected by adverse impacts (vi) degree to which adverse environmental impacts are reversible or irreversible (vii) the ecological context (viii) degree of disruption to sites of cultural heritage (ix) international and regional significance (x) both the likelihood and degree of uncertainty of adverse environmental impact (b) degree of compliance with principles and criteria as listed: (i) ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications (ii) guidelines, standards & criteria in EIAO TM  V Ditto  S7.10, S8.11, S9.10, S10.10  n/a  N/a  Pitto  S3.9, S.4.9, S5.8, S6.7, S7.9, S8.10  Ditto  S8.10  S9.10, S10.8.	рі	rogramming and effectiveness of mitigation measures			
and summarised in S11.    TM s4.4.3 Evaluation of Residual Impact (i.e. net environmental impacts after mitigation)	(l) w	hether the report has assessed EM&A requirement	✓		S3.10, S4.10, S5.9, S6.8,
The s4.4.3 Evaluation of Residual Impact (i.e. net environmental impacts after mitigation) Whether the following factors are considered: (a) the importance of residual impacts in terms of the following factors: (i) effect on public health and health of biota or risk to life (ii) the magnitude of the adverse environmental impacts  (iii) the geographic extent of the adverse environmental impacts (iv) duration and frequency of adverse environmental impacts (iv) divartion and frequency of adverse environmental impacts (iv) likely size of community or the environment that may be affected by adverse impacts (iv) degree to which adverse environmental impacts are reversible or irreversible (vii) the ecological context (viii) degree of disruption to sites of cultural heritage (ix) international and regional significance (x) both the likelihood and degree of uncertainty of adverse environmental impact (b) degree of compliance with principles and criteria as listed: (i) ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications (iii) other published and adopted criteria in HK  V Ditto					S7.10, S8.11, S9.10, S10.10
(i.e. net environmental impacts after mitigation)  Whether the following factors are considered:  (a) the importance of residual impacts in terms of the following factors:  (i) effect on public health and health of biota or risk to life  (ii) the magnitude of the adverse environmental impacts  (iii) the geographic extent of the adverse environmental impacts  (iv) duration and frequency of adverse environmental impacts  (v) likely size of community or the environment that may be affected by adverse impacts  (vi) degree to which adverse environmental impacts are reversible or irreversible  (viii) the ecological context  (viii) degree of disruption to sites of cultural heritage  (ix) international and regional significance  (x) both the likelihood and degree of uncertainty of adverse environmental impact  (b) degree of compliance with principles and criteria as listed:  (i) ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications  (ii) guidelines, standards & criteria in EIAO TM  (iii) other published and adopted criteria in HK    7 S3.9, S.4.9, S5.8, S6.7, S7.9, S8.10, Ditto					and summarised in S11.
Whether the following factors are considered:  (a) the importance of residual impacts in terms of the following factors:  (i) effect on public health and health of biota or risk to life  (ii) the magnitude of the adverse environmental impacts  (iii) the geographic extent of the adverse environmental impacts  (iv) duration and frequency of adverse environmental impacts  (v) likely size of community or the environment that may be affected by adverse impacts  (vi) degree to which adverse environmental impacts are reversible or irreversible  (vii) the ecological context  (viii) degree of disruption to sites of cultural heritage  (ix) international and regional significance  (x) both the likelihood and degree of uncertainty of adverse environmental impact  (b) degree of compliance with principles and criteria as listed:  (i) ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications  (iii) guidelines, standards & criteria in EIAO TM  (iiii) other published and adopted criteria in HK   7 S3.9, S.4.9, S5.8, S6.7, S7.9, S8.10, Ditto	TM s	4.4.3 Evaluation of Residual Impact			
(a) the importance of residual impacts in terms of the following factors:  (i) effect on public health and health of biota or risk to life (ii) the magnitude of the adverse environmental impacts	(i.e. n	et environmental impacts after mitigation)			
following factors:  (i) effect on public health and health of biota or risk to life (ii) the magnitude of the adverse environmental impacts  (iii) the geographic extent of the adverse environmental impacts  (iv) duration and frequency of adverse environmental impacts  (iv) duration and frequency of adverse environmental impacts  (v) likely size of community or the environment that may be affected by adverse impacts  (vi) degree to which adverse environmental impacts are reversible or irreversible  (vii) the ecological context  (viii) degree of disruption to sites of cultural heritage  (ix) international and regional significance  (x) both the likelihood and degree of uncertainty of adverse environmental impact  (b) degree of compliance with principles and criteria as listed:  (i) ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications  (ii) guidelines, standards & criteria in EIAO TM  (iii) other published and adopted criteria in HK   Sa.9, S.4.9, S5.8, S6.7, S7.9, S8.10.2.  Ditto	Whet	ner the following factors are considered:			
(i) effect on public health and health of biota or risk to life (ii) the magnitude of the adverse environmental impacts  (iii) the geographic extent of the adverse environmental impacts  (iv) duration and frequency of adverse environmental impacts  (iv) duration and frequency of adverse environmental impacts  (v) likely size of community or the environment that may be affected by adverse impacts  (vi) degree to which adverse environmental impacts are reversible or irreversible  (vii) the ecological context  (viii) degree of disruption to sites of cultural heritage  (ix) international and regional significance  (x) both the likelihood and degree of uncertainty of adverse environmental impact  (b) degree of compliance with principles and criteria as listed:  (i) ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications  (ii) guidelines, standards & criteria in EIAO TM  (iii) other published and adopted criteria in HK    S3.9, S.4.9, S5.8, S6.7, S7.9, S8.10, S10.8.  Ditto  Ditto  S3.9, S.4.9, S5.8, S6.7, S7.9, S8.10, S10.8.	(a) th	e importance of residual impacts in terms of the			
(ii) the magnitude of the adverse environmental impacts  (iii) the geographic extent of the adverse environmental impacts  (iv) duration and frequency of adverse environmental impacts  (v) likely size of community or the environment that may be affected by adverse impacts  (vi) degree to which adverse environmental impacts are reversible or irreversible  (vii) the ecological context  (viii) degree of disruption to sites of cultural heritage  (ix) international and regional significance  (x) both the likelihood and degree of uncertainty of adverse environmental impact  (b) degree of compliance with principles and criteria as listed:  (i) ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications  (ii) guidelines, standards & criteria in EIAO TM  (iii) other published and adopted criteria in HK   S3.9, S.4.9, S5.8, S6.7, S7.9, S8.10  Ditto  S3.9, S.4.9, S5.8, S6.7, S7.9, S8.10  S3.9, S.4.9, S5.8, S6.7, S7.9, S8.10, S10.8.	follow	ving factors:			
(iii) the geographic extent of the adverse environmental impacts (iv) duration and frequency of adverse environmental impacts (v) likely size of community or the environment that may be affected by adverse impacts (vi) degree to which adverse environmental impacts are reversible or irreversible (vii) the ecological context (viii) degree of disruption to sites of cultural heritage (ix) international and regional significance (x) both the likelihood and degree of uncertainty of adverse environmental impact (b) degree of compliance with principles and criteria as listed: (i) ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications (ii) guidelines, standards & criteria in EIAO TM (iiii) other published and adopted criteria in HK  S8.10, S10.8.  Ditto  Ditto  S8.10, S10.8.	(i)	effect on public health and health of biota or risk to life	n/a		
(iii) the geographic extent of the adverse environmental impacts (iv) duration and frequency of adverse environmental impacts (iv) duration and frequency of adverse environmental impacts (iv) likely size of community or the environment that may be affected by adverse impacts (vi) degree to which adverse environmental impacts are reversible or irreversible (vii) the ecological context (viii) degree of disruption to sites of cultural heritage (ix) international and regional significance (x) both the likelihood and degree of uncertainty of adverse environmental impact (x) both the likelihood and degree of uncertainty of adverse environmental impact (b) degree of compliance with principles and criteria as listed: (i) ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications (ii) guidelines, standards & criteria in EIAO TM  v Ditto	(ii)	the magnitude of the adverse environmental impacts	✓		S3.9, S.4.9, S5.8, S6.7, S7.9,
impacts  (iv) duration and frequency of adverse environmental impacts  (v) likely size of community or the environment that may be affected by adverse impacts  (vi) degree to which adverse environmental impacts are reversible or irreversible  (vii) the ecological context  (viii) degree of disruption to sites of cultural heritage  (ix) international and regional significance  (x) both the likelihood and degree of uncertainty of adverse environmental impact  (b) degree of compliance with principles and criteria as listed:  (i) ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications  (ii) guidelines, standards & criteria in EIAO TM  (iii) other published and adopted criteria in HK   Ditto					S8.10, S10.8.
(iv) duration and frequency of adverse environmental impacts  (v) likely size of community or the environment that may be affected by adverse impacts  (vi) degree to which adverse environmental impacts are reversible or irreversible  (vii) the ecological context  (viii) degree of disruption to sites of cultural heritage  (ix) international and regional significance  (x) both the likelihood and degree of uncertainty of adverse environmental impact  (b) degree of compliance with principles and criteria as listed:  (i) ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications  (ii) guidelines, standards & criteria in EIAO TM  (iii) other published and adopted criteria in HK	(iii)	the geographic extent of the adverse environmental	✓		Ditto
impacts  (v) likely size of community or the environment that may be affected by adverse impacts  (vi) degree to which adverse environmental impacts are reversible or irreversible  (vii) the ecological context  (viii) degree of disruption to sites of cultural heritage  (ix) international and regional significance  (x) both the likelihood and degree of uncertainty of adverse environmental impact  (b) degree of compliance with principles and criteria as listed:  (i) ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications  (ii) guidelines, standards & criteria in EIAO TM  (iii) other published and adopted criteria in HK   Ditto		impacts			
(v) likely size of community or the environment that may be affected by adverse impacts  (vi) degree to which adverse environmental impacts are reversible or irreversible  (vii) the ecological context  (viii) degree of disruption to sites of cultural heritage  (ix) international and regional significance  (x) both the likelihood and degree of uncertainty of adverse environmental impact  (b) degree of compliance with principles and criteria as listed:  (i) ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications  (ii) guidelines, standards & criteria in EIAO TM  (iii) other published and adopted criteria in HK   Ditto	(iv)	duration and frequency of adverse environmental	✓		Ditto
affected by adverse impacts  (vi) degree to which adverse environmental impacts are reversible or irreversible  (vii) the ecological context  (viii) degree of disruption to sites of cultural heritage  (ix) international and regional significance  (x) both the likelihood and degree of uncertainty of adverse environmental impact  (b) degree of compliance with principles and criteria as  Iisted:  (i) ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications  (ii) guidelines, standards & criteria in EIAO TM  (iii) other published and adopted criteria in HK		impacts			
(vi) degree to which adverse environmental impacts are reversible or irreversible  (vii) the ecological context  (viii) degree of disruption to sites of cultural heritage  (ix) international and regional significance  (x) both the likelihood and degree of uncertainty of adverse environmental impact  (b) degree of compliance with principles and criteria as listed:  (i) ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications  (ii) guidelines, standards & criteria in EIAO TM  (iii) other published and adopted criteria in HK   Ditto	(v)	likely size of community or the environment that may be	✓		Ditto
reversible or irreversible  (vii) the ecological context  (viii) degree of disruption to sites of cultural heritage  (ix) international and regional significance  (x) both the likelihood and degree of uncertainty of adverse environmental impact  (b) degree of compliance with principles and criteria as listed:  (i) ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications  (ii) guidelines, standards & criteria in EIAO TM  (iii) other published and adopted criteria in HK   S8.10  S8.2, S.4.9, S.5.8, S.6.7, S.7.9, S8.10, S.10.8.  S8.2, S.2, S.2, S.2, S.2, S.2, S.2, S.2, S		affected by adverse impacts			
<ul> <li>(viii) the ecological context</li> <li>(viii) degree of disruption to sites of cultural heritage</li> <li>(ix) international and regional significance</li> <li>(x) both the likelihood and degree of uncertainty of adverse environmental impact</li> <li>(b) degree of compliance with principles and criteria as listed:</li> <li>(i) ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications</li> <li>(ii) guidelines, standards &amp; criteria in EIAO TM</li> <li>(iii) other published and adopted criteria in HK</li> <li>✓ S8.10</li> <li>S3.9, S.4.9, S5.8, S6.7, S7.9, S8.10, S10.8.</li> <li>✓ S3.2, S4.2, S5.2, S6.2, S7.2, S8.2, S9.2, S10.2.</li> <li>Ditto</li> </ul>	(vi)	degree to which adverse environmental impacts are	✓		Ditto
<ul> <li>(viii) degree of disruption to sites of cultural heritage <ul> <li>(ix) international and regional significance</li> <li>(x) both the likelihood and degree of uncertainty of adverse environmental impact</li> <li>(b) degree of compliance with principles and criteria as</li> <li>(i) ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications</li> <li>(ii) guidelines, standards &amp; criteria in EIAO TM</li> <li>(iii) other published and adopted criteria in HK</li> <li>(v) n/a</li> <li>(v) S3.9, S.4.9, S5.8, S6.7, S7.9, S8.10, S10.8.</li> </ul> </li> <li>(ii) S3.2, S4.2, S5.2, S6.2, S7.2, S8.2, S9.2, S10.2.</li> <li>(v) Ditto</li> </ul>		reversible or irreversible			
<ul> <li>(ix) international and regional significance</li> <li>(x) both the likelihood and degree of uncertainty of adverse environmental impact</li> <li>(b) degree of compliance with principles and criteria as listed: <ol> <li>(i) ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications</li> <li>(ii) guidelines, standards &amp; criteria in EIAO TM</li> <li>(iii) other published and adopted criteria in HK</li> <li>(iv) n/a</li> <li>(iv) processing the applications</li> <li>(iv) processing the applications</li> <li>(iv) processing the applications</li> <li>(v) Ditto</li> </ol></li></ul>	(vii)	the ecological context	✓		S8.10
(x) both the likelihood and degree of uncertainty of adverse environmental impact  (b) degree of compliance with principles and criteria as  listed:  (i) ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications  (ii) guidelines, standards & criteria in EIAO TM  (iii) other published and adopted criteria in HK   S3.9, S.4.9, S5.8, S6.7, S7.9, S8.10, S10.8.	(viii)	degree of disruption to sites of cultural heritage	n/a		
environmental impact  (b) degree of compliance with principles and criteria as  listed:  (i) ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications  (ii) guidelines, standards & criteria in EIAO TM  (iii) other published and adopted criteria in HK  S8.10, S10.8.  S8.2, S9.2, S6.2, S7.2, S6.2, S7.2, S8.2, S9.2, S10.2.  Ditto	(ix)	international and regional significance	n/a		
<ul> <li>(b) degree of compliance with principles and criteria as</li> <li>listed: <ol> <li>ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications</li> <li>guidelines, standards &amp; criteria in EIAO TM</li> <li>other published and adopted criteria in HK</li> <li>Ditto</li> </ol> </li> <li>(ii) degree of compliance with principles and criteria as</li> <li>S3.2, S4.2, S5.2, S6.2, S7.2, S8.2, S9.2, S10.2.</li> <li>Ditto</li> </ul>	(x)	both the likelihood and degree of uncertainty of adverse	✓		S3.9, S.4.9, S5.8, S6.7, S7.9,
<ul> <li>listed: <ol> <li>ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications</li> <li>guidelines, standards &amp; criteria in EIAO TM</li> <li>other published and adopted criteria in HK</li> </ol> </li> <li>listed: <ol> <li>S3.2, S4.2, S5.2, S6.2, S7.2, S8.2, S9.2, S10.2.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Ditto</li> </ul>		environmental impact			S8.10, S10.8.
<ul> <li>listed: <ol> <li>ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of processing the applications</li> <li>guidelines, standards &amp; criteria in EIAO TM</li> <li>other published and adopted criteria in HK</li> </ol> </li> <li>listed: <ol> <li>S3.2, S4.2, S5.2, S6.2, S7.2, S8.2, S9.2, S10.2.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Ditto</li> </ul>	(b) de	earee of compliance with principles and criteria as			
processing the applications  (ii) guidelines, standards & criteria in EIAO TM  √  (iii) other published and adopted criteria in HK  ✓  Ditto	. ,				
processing the applications  (ii) guidelines, standards & criteria in EIAO TM  √  (iii) other published and adopted criteria in HK  ✓  Ditto	(i)	ordinances and regulations applicable at the time of	✓		S3.2, S4.2, S5.2, S6.2, S7.2,
<ul> <li>(ii) guidelines, standards &amp; criteria in EIAO TM</li> <li>✓ Ditto</li> <li>(iii) other published and adopted criteria in HK</li> <li>✓ Ditto</li> </ul>					
	(ii)		✓		Ditto
	(iii)	other published and adopted criteria in HK	✓		Ditto
(iv) other guidelines published by relevant authorities in HK		other guidelines published by relevant authorities in HK	<b>√</b>		Ditto

TM Section 4.3- General Approaches and Methodologies for Assessment

Review of EIA Report	Status	Remark
- (Re: TM 4.4.2Whether the assessment methodologies		
adopted in EIA report are having regard to the general		
principles in TM Section 4.3.)	Yes No	
TM 4.3.1.(a) Description of Environment		
- whether characteristics of environment are properly	✓	S3.3, S4.3, S5.3, S6.3,
described and predicted		S7.4, S7.5, S8.4, S8.6,
		S9.4, S9.5, S10.4.
- whether baseline condition is adequate to determine	✓	Ditto
existing conditions taking into account of natural		
variations.		
TM 4.3.1(b) Impact Prediction		
Whether the assessment methodologies shall be relevant to	✓	S3.6, S4.6, S5.5, S6.4,
the issues to be addressed; shall be successful or acceptable		S7.3, S8.3, S9.3, S10.3.
by recognized institutions, and shall be capable of:		
(i) identifying potential harmful or beneficial impacts	✓	S3.5, S4.7, S5.6, S6.5,
		S7.5, S7.7, S8.7, S9.3,
		S10.5.
(ii) identifying receivers, habitats or resources vulnerable to	✓	S3.4, S4.4, S5.4, S7.5,
change		S8.6, S9.3, S10.4.
(iii) defining project/environment interaction	✓	S3.5, S4.5, S8.8
(iv) examine the chain of events	n/a	
(v) describe and predict various scenarios	✓	S3.6, S4.6, S5.5, S6.4,
		S8.8, S9.3, S10.8
(vi) predict the anticipated changes such that an evaluation	✓	Ditto
can be made with respective to TM criteria		
TM4.3.1(c) Impact Evaluation		
Whether methodology for evaluating environmental impact		
shall be capable of addressing:		
(i) the existing or projected environmental conditions without	ut 🗸	S3.3, S4.3, S5.3, S6.3,
the project in place		S7.4, S7.5, S8.4, S8.5,
		S8.6, S9.3, S10.4
(ii) the projected environmental condition with the project	✓	S3.7, S4.7, S5.6, S6.5,
and the sum total of others		S7.7, S8.8, S10.8
(iii) a differentiation of environmental impacts caused by the project and others	✓	S3.7, S4.7, S8.8
project and others		

Rev	iew of EIA Report	Status		Remark
-	(Re: TM 4.4.2Whether the assessment methodologies			
	adopted in EIA report are having regard to the general			
	principles in TM Section 4.3.)	Yes	No	
(iv)	environmental impacts during different phases of	✓		S3.7, S4.6, S5.6, S6.5,
	construction and development			S8.8, S9.6, S10.8
(v)	evaluation of seriousness of the residual environmental	✓		Ditto
	impacts			
<u>TM</u> 4	4.3.1(d) Impact Mitigation			
The	methodologies for mitigation shall give priority to			
avo	idance and <u>s<i>hall be capable of</i></u> :			
(i)	identifying and evaluation mitigation measures in order to	✓		S3.8, S4.8, S5.7, S6.6,
	avoid, reduce or remedy the impact			S7.8, S8.9, S9.7, S10.9
				and summarised in S12
(ii)	assessing the effectiveness of mitigation measures	✓		Ditto
(iii)	defining the residual impacts	✓		S3.9, S4.9, S5.8, S6.7,
				S7.9, S8.10, S9.8

## TM 4.5- Approval of an EIA Report

Approval of EIA Report	Status		Remark
TM 4.5- Approval of an EIA Report	Yes	No	
TM S.4.5.1 Approval of EIA report			
In approving EIA reports with or without conditions,			
considerations should be given to the following:			
(a) Whether the requirements in the EIA study brief have been	✓		Please refer to the details
met;			in the checklist for SB.
(b) Whether the quality of the EIA report meets the	✓		Please refer to the details
requirements set out in Section 4.4 of the EIA-TM and			in the checklist for TM 4.4.
the results and conclusions are technically sound and			
reliable;			
(c) Whether the EIA report addresses relevant	n/a		
environmental issues raised by the public and the			
Advisory Council on the Environment during the public			
inspection period; and			
(d) Whether all relevant environmental principles and	✓		Please refer to the details
criteria laid down in the EIA-TM can be met and the			in the checklists for TM
residual environmental impacts are within the relevant			(Annexes 11 & 20) and SB.
criteria, unless with sound environmental justifications			
and without long term serious environmental implications.			

### Attachment 3

### Technical Checklist (on TM Annex 20) to Review an EIA Report

### Purpose:

The purpose of this Technical Checklist is to assist the project EPO of the EA Division in reviewing the submitted EIA report to determine whether it meets the requirements of Annex 20 of the Technical Memorandum (TM) on EIA Process.

This Checklist only serves as an initial check of the EIA report and does not necessarily represent the final view of the Director under the EIA Ordinance.

To record the compliance status of the submitted information:

For information already provided, put " ✓".

For information not provided at all, put " \_ "

For information not applicable, put "n/a ".

NOTE:

The adequacy of any technical information provided needs to be relied on the advice of the technical groups and the relevant authorities.

	TM Issues	Status	Remarks
		Yes No	
1.	General Approach		
	Organisation of the Information		
1.1	Is information logically arranged in sections?	✓	Please refer to S1.5 for the report structure.
1.2	Is the location of information identified in an index or table of contents?	✓	A table of contents is provided.
1.3	When information from external sources has been introduced, has a full reference to the source been included?	<b>✓</b>	Full references have been provided. S8.13, S10.12.
	Presentation of Information		
1.4	Has information and analysis been offered to support all conclusions drawn?	✓	S3 to S10
1.5	Has information and analysis been presented so as to be comprehensive to the non-specialist using maps, tables and graphical material as appropriate?	<b>✓</b>	Preparation of maps, tables and graphic material follows EIA study brief No.ESB- 313/2019 and EIAO-TM.
1.6	Are all the important data and results discussed in an integrated fashion within the information?	<b>✓</b>	Presentation of information follows EIA study brief No.ESB-313/2019 and EIAO-TM.

A3 - 1

	TM leaves	Status	Domestre
	TM Issues	Status Yes No	Remarks
1.7	Has superfluous information (i.e. information not needed for the decision) been avoided?	res No	Presentation of information follows EIA study brief No.ESB-313/2019 and EIAO-TM.
1.8	Has the information been presented in a concise form with a consistent terminology and are there logical links between different sections?	<b>√</b>	Relevant cross references have been provided in respective sections.
	Presentation of Information		
1.9	Have prominence and emphasis been given to severe adverse impacts, to substantial environmental benefits, and to controversial issues?	<b>√</b>	S2.2, S2.5 – S2.8, S3.5, S3.7, S4.5, S4.7, S5.6, S6.5, S7.5, S7.7, S8.7, S8.8, S9.6.
1.10	Is the information objective?	<b>✓</b>	Presentation of information follows EIA study brief No.ESB-323/2019 and EIAO-TM.
	Public Concerns		
1.1	Does the information identify and address the main concerns of the general public and special interest groups (clubs, societies etc.) who may be affected by the project.	n/a	
1.12	2 Does the information take account of the main concerns of the relevant statutory or advisory bodies?	<b>√</b>	Please refer to the our response to comments table.
2.	Description of the Project		
	Features of the Project		
2.1	Are the purpose(s) and objectives of the project explained?	✓	S1.3, S1.4
2.2	Are the nature and status of project decision(s), for which the EIA study is undertaken, clearly indicated?	<b>√</b>	S1.1
2.3	Is the estimated duration of the construction phase, operational phase and, where appropriate, decommissioning phase given, together with the programme within these phases?	✓	S2.9 and Appendix 2.1
2.4	Is the design and size of the project described, using diagrams, plans and/or maps as necessary?	<b>✓</b>	S2.4, and Figures 2.1

TM Issues	Status	Remarks
	Yes No	
2.5 Are the methods of construction described?	<b>√</b>	S2.8
2.6 Are the nature and methods of production or other types of activity involved in operation of the project described?	<b>✓</b>	S2.4
2.7 Has the land taken up by the project site(s), construction sites, and any associated access arrangements, auxiliary facilities and landscaping areas, been clearly shown on a scaled map?	<b>✓</b>	Figures 1.1
2.8 For a linear project, has the land corridor, vertical and horizontal alignment and need for tunnelling, and earthworks been described?	n/a	The Project is not a linear one.
2.9 Have the uses to which the project will be put been described and the different land use areas demarcated?	<b>√</b>	S2.1 – S2.3
Residues and Emissions		
2.10 Have the types and quantities of waste matter, energy (noise, vibration, light, heat, radiation etc) and residual materials generated during construction and operation of the project, and the rate at which these will be produced, been estimated?	<b>✓</b>	S6.5, S6.6
2.11 Have the ways in which it is proposed to handle and/or treat these wastes and residual materials prior to release/disposal been indicated, together with the routes by which they will eventually be disposed of to the environment?	✓	S6.5, S6.6 Table 6.3
2.12 Have any special or hazardous wastes which will be produced been identified as such and the methods for their disposal been described, as regards their likely main environmental impacts?	n/a	No specific or hazardous waste would be anticipated from this Project.
2.13 Have the means by which the quantities of residuals and wastes were estimated been indicated and has uncertainty been acknowledged and ranges provided where appropriate?	✓	S6.5, Table 6.2 and Table 6.3
3. Background and History of the Project		
3.1 Where appropriate does the information include reference to the consideration of the project's siting or alignment by the project proponent?	<b>✓</b>	S2.4
3.2 Are the reasons for selecting the proposed project or its siting and alignment, and the part environmental factors played in the selection,	<b>✓</b>	Ditto

A3 - 2

	TM Issues	Status	Remarks
		Yes No	
	adequately described?		
3.3	Have the main environmental impacts of different siting or alignment options been compared clearly and objectively with those of the proposed project and with the likely future environmental conditions in the absence of the project?	<b>√</b>	S2.2, S2.3, S2.5, S2.6
4.	Description of the Environment		
	Description of the Area Occupied by and Surrounding the Project		
4.1	Have the areas expected to be significantly affected by the various aspects of the project been indicated with the aid of suitable maps?	✓	Figures 3.1, 3.2, 4.1, 5.1, 7.1, 8.1 – 8.3, 9.1 – 9.3
4.2	Have the land uses on the site(s) and in the surrounding areas been described?	✓	\$1.1, \$3.3, \$4.3, \$5.3, \$6.3, \$7.4, \$7.5, \$8.4, \$8.5, \$9.4, \$9.5, \$10.4
4.3	Has the affected environment been defined broadly enough to include any potentially significant effects occurring away from the immediate areas of construction and operation?	<b>✓</b>	Assessment areas were defined based on the guidelines of the Study Brief.
	Baseline Conditions		
4.4	Have the components of the environment potentially affected by the project been identified and described sufficiently for the prediction of impacts?	✓	\$3.3, \$4.3, \$5.3, \$6.3, \$7.4, \$7.5, \$8.4, \$8.5, \$9.5, \$10.4, \$10,5
4.5	Were the methods used to investigate the affected environment appropriate to the size and complexity of the assessment task?	✓	The methods used follow the guidelines of the Study Brief.
4.6	Has a prediction of the likely future environmental conditions in the absence of the project been developed?	✓	S2.2, S2.3
4.7	Have existing technical data sources, including local records and studies carried out for environmental agencies and/or interest groups been searched?	✓	\$3.3, \$4.3, \$5.3, \$7.3 - \$7.5, \$7.6, \$8.4, \$8.5, \$8.6, \$9.4, \$9.5, \$10.4
4.8	Have local, regional and national plans and policies been reviewed and other data collected as necessary to predict future environmental conditions?	✓	\$3.3, \$5.3, \$7.5, \$9.4, \$10.4

TM Issues		Status Yes No	Remarks
4.9	Have relevant departments and agencies	<b>√</b>	S3.6, S4.4, S5.3, S7.3,
	holding information on baseline environmental conditions been approached?		S7.5, S9.5
5.	Description of Impacts		
5.1	Have the direct and indirect/secondary effects of constructing, operating and, where relevant, after use or decommissioning of the project been considered (including both positive and negative effects)?	<b>✓</b>	\$3.5, \$4.5, \$5.6, \$6.5, \$7.5, \$7.7, \$8.7, \$9.6, \$10.5
5.2	Does the information include consideration of whether effects will arise as a result of "consequential" development i.e. whether additional development, which it would be difficult to resist, will be included in the area, leading to further environmental effects? For a project with multiple stages, are the impacts caused by overlapping of different stages considered and determined?	n/a	No consequential development is anticipated.
5.3	Have the above types of impacts been investigated in so far as they affect the following:		
	- air and climate;	✓	S3
	- water and soils;	✓	S5
	- noise;	<b>√</b>	S4
	- landscape;	<b>√</b>	S9
	- ecology;	√   n/a	S8
	<ul><li>historic and cultural heritage;</li><li>land use;</li></ul>	n/a n/a	
	- impacts on people and communities;	n/a	
	- impacts on agriculture and fisheries activities.	n/a	
5.4	If any of the above are not of concern in relation to the specific project and its location is this clearly stated in the information?	n/a	The types of impacts investigated are in accordance with the EIA Study Brief.
5.5	Is the investigation of each type of impact appropriate to its importance for the decision, avoiding unnecessary information and concentrating on the key issues?	<b>✓</b>	\$3.5, \$4.5, \$5.6, \$6.5, \$7.5, \$7.7, \$8.7, \$9.6, \$10.5
5.6	Are impacts which may not be themselves significant, but which may contribute incrementally to a significant effect considered?	<b>√</b>	Ditto
5.7	Does the information include a description of the methods/approaches used to identify impacts and the rationale for using them?	<b>✓</b>	\$3.6, \$4.6, \$5.5, \$6.4, \$7.3, \$7.6, \$8.3, \$9.3, \$10.3

A3 - 3

	TM Issues	Stat	116	Remarks
	TW ISSUES	Yes	No	Kemarks
5.8	If the nature of the project is such that accidents are possible which might cause severe damage within the surrounding environment, has an assessment of the probability and likely consequences of such events been carried out and the main findings reported?	√ ·		S5.6, S10.8
	Magnitude of Impacts			
5.9	Are impacts described in terms of the nature and magnitude of the change occurring and the nature (location, number, value, sensitivity) of the affected receiver?	<b>✓</b>		S3.7, S4.7, S5.6, S6.5, S7.7, S8.8, S9.6, S10.8
5.10	Has the timescale over which the effects will occur been predicted such that it is clear whether impacts are short, medium or long term, temporary or permanent, reversible or irreversible?	<b>✓</b>		Ditto
5.11	Where possible, have predictions of impacts been expressed in quantitative terms? Otherwise, have qualitative descriptions been defined?	<b>✓</b>		Ditto
5.12	Where quantitative predictions have been provided, is the level of uncertainty attached to the results described?	<b>√</b>		Ditto
	Data and Methods			
5.13	Have the methods used to predict the nature, size and scale of impacts been described and are they appropriate to the importance of each projected impact?	<b>✓</b>		\$3.6, \$4.6, \$5.5, \$6.4, \$7.3, \$7.6, \$8.3, \$9.3, \$10.3
5.14	Are the data used to estimate the size and scale of the main impacts sufficient for the task, are they clearly described and have their sources been clearly identified?	✓		\$3.6, \$4.6, \$5.5, \$6.4, \$7.5, \$7.6, \$8.3, \$9.3, \$10.3
6.	<u>Mitigation</u>			
	<b>Description of Mitigating Measures</b>			
6.1	Has the mitigation of significant negative impacts been considered and, where feasible, have specific measures been proposed to address each impact?	<b>✓</b>		S3.8, S4.8, S5.7, S6.6, S7.8, S8.9, S9.7, S10.9, and summarised in S12
6.2	Have the reasons for choosing the particular type of mitigation, and the other options available, been described?	<b>✓</b>		Ditto

	TM Issues	Status	Remarks
		Yes No	
6.3	Where mitigating measures are proposed, has the significance of any impact remaining after mitigation been described?	√	S3.8, S4.8, S5.7, S6.6, S7.8, S8.9, S9.7, S10.9
6.4	Where appropriate, do mitigation methods considered include modification of project design, construction and operation, the replacement of facilities/resources, and the creation of new resources, as well as "end-of-pipe" technologies for pollution control?	✓	Precautionary designs are suggested to minimize air quality, noise and water quality, and landscape and visual impacts. S3.8, S4.8, S5.7
6.5	Is it clear to what extent the mitigation methods will be effective?	✓	S3.8, S4.8, S5.7, S6.6, S7.8, S8.9, S9.7, S10.9
6.6	Where the effectiveness is uncertain or depends on assumptions about operating procedures, climatic conditions, etc., or where there is a risk that mitigation will not work, is this made clear and has data been introduced to justify the acceptance of the assumptions?	✓	Ditto
	Implementation of Mitigation Measures		
6.7	Have details of how the mitigation measures will be implemented and function over the time span for which they are necessary been presented? Does the report list out clearly what mitigation measures would be implemented, by whom, when, where and to what requirements? Is the responsibility for implementing the recommended mitigation measures clearly defined?	<b>✓</b>	S12
	Environmental Effects of Mitigation		
6.8	Have any adverse environmental effects of mitigation measures been investigated and described?	n/a	No adverse environmental effects will be anticipated from mitigation measures.
6.9	Has the potential for conflict between the benefits of mitigating measures and their adverse impacts been considered?	n/a	Ditto
7.	Evaluation of Residual Impacts		
7.1	Have the available standards, assumptions and criteria which can be used to evaluate the impacts been discussed?	<b>✓</b>	\$3.2, \$4.2, \$5.2, \$6.2, \$7.7, \$8.2, \$9.2, \$10.2
7.2	Have the predicted impacts been compared to the available standards and criteria?	✓	S3.7, S4.7, S5.6, S6.5, S7.7, S8.8, S9.6, S10.8
7.3	Have the residual impacts, which are the net impacts with the mitigation measures in place,	<b>✓</b>	\$3.9, \$4.9, \$5.8, \$6.7, \$7.9, \$8.10, \$9.8,

A3 – 4

TM Issues		Status	Remarks
		Yes No	
	been described and evaluated against the available Government policies, standards and criteria?		S10.10. No adverse impacts would arise from the implementation of the proposed mitigation measures.
7.4	Have the residual impacts been discussed and evaluated in terms of the impact on the health and welfare of the local community and on the protection of environmental resources?	n/a	
7.5	Have the magnitude, location and duration of the residual impacts been discussed in conjunction with the value, sensitivity and rarity of the resource?	✓ 	S3.9, S4.9, S5.8, S6.7, S7.9, S8.10, S9.8, S10.10
7.6	Where there are no generally accepted standards or criteria for the evaluation of residual impacts, have alternative approaches been discussed and, if so, is a clear distinction made between fact, assumption and professional judgement?	✓	Ditto
7.7	Have the residual impacts, if any, arising from the implementation of the proposed mitigation measures, been considered?	n/a	No adverse impacts would arise from the implementation of the proposed mitigation measures.
8.	Environmental Monitoring and Audit Proposals		
8.1	If impacts are uncertain, have monitoring arrangements been proposed to check the environmental impacts resulting from the implementation of the project and their conformity with the predictions made?	n/a	No uncertain impacts would be anticipated.
8.2	Does the scale of any proposed monitoring arrangements correspond to the potential scale and significance of deviations from expected impacts?	✓	S3.10, S4.10, S5.9, S6.8, S7.10, S8.11, S9.10, S10.10, and summarised in S11
8.3	Is the need for and the scope of the monitoring and audit requirements defined in the report?	<b>√</b>	Ditto
8.4	Does the report contain an Environmental Monitoring and Audit programme, as prescribed in Annex 21, if it is found to be needed?	<b>✓</b>	S11
9.	<u>Difficulties Compiling the Information</u>		

TM Issues	Status	Remarks
	Yes No	
9.1 Have any gaps in the required data been indicated and the means used to deal with them in the assessment been explained?	n/a	
9.2 Have any difficulties in assembling or analysing the data needed to predict impacts been acknowledged and explained?	n/a	
10. Executive Summary		
10.1 Does the executive summary contain at least a brief description of the project and the environment, an account of the main mitigation measures to be implemented by the developer, and a description of any remaining or residual impacts?	✓	S2, Appendix 5.1 of ES
10.2 Have technical jargons been avoided as far as possible in the executive summary?	<b>√</b>	-
10.3 Does the executive summary present the main findings of the assessment and cover	<b>√</b>	S3 of ES
10.4 Does the executive summary include a brief explanation of the overall approach to the assessment?	<b>√</b>	S3 of ES
10.5 Does the executive summary provide an indication of the confidence which can be placed in the results?	<b>√</b>	S3 of ES
10.6 Is the executive summary presented in both English and Chinese?	<b>✓</b>	Yes, the Executive Summary presented in both English and Chinese. (Chinese version to be provided later)

# Attachment 4 Study Brief Checklist for the Project "Yuen Long South Effluent Polishing Plant"

Study Brief	Remark
S.1.2 The proposed works of the Project comprises:	S2.4
(i) Construction of a sewage treatment plant with a maximum	Figure 1.1
capacity to treat Average Dry Weather Flow (ADWF) up to	
65,000m 3/day;	
(ii) Construction of sludge treatment facilities for treating sludge	
generated from Yuen Long South Effluent Polishing Plant (YLS	
EPP) and additional sludge generated from the Hung Shui Kiu	
Effluent Polishing Plant (HSK EPP) and other nearby sewage	
treatment works;	
(iii) Construction of facilities for receiving and co-digesting pre-	
treated food or organic wastes;	
(iv) Construction of effluent discharge pipe for the purpose of	
emergency discharge; and	
(v) Associated ancillary works, including those associated with the	
treated effluent reuse.	
The location of the Project is shown in Appendix A.	
S.1.3 The Project is a designated project by virtue of Item F.1 of	S1.2. The Project is a designated
Schedule 2, Part I of the EIAO, which specifies "Sewage treatment	project by virtue of Item F.1:
works with an installed capacity of more than 15 000 m3 per day"	"Sewage treatment works with an
and Item F.4 of Schedule 2, Part I of the EIAO, which specifies "An	installed capacity of more than 15
activity for the reuse of treated sewage effluent from a treatment	000 m3 per day" and Item F.4: "An
plant". Based on the information provided in the Project Profile, the	activity for the reuse of treated
Project is also a designated project under Item G.4 of Schedule 2,	sewage effluent from a treatment
Part I of the EIAO, which specifies "A waste disposal facility	plant" under Schedule 2, Part I of the
(excluding any refuse collection point), or waste disposal activity,	EIAO, but not Item G.4: "A waste
for (a) refuse; or (b) chemical, industrial or special wastes", if pre-	disposal facility (excluding any
treated organic waste will be disposed and treated under the	refuse collection point), or waste
Project.	disposal activity, for (a) refuse; or (b)
	chemical, industrial or special
	wastes"
S.1.4 Pursuant to section 5(7)(a) of the EIAO, the Director of	Noted
Environmental Protection (the Director) issues this EIA study brief	
to the Applicant to carry out an EIA study.	
S.1.5(i) - the overall acceptability of any adverse environmental	S13
consequences that are likely to arise as a result of the Project;	
S.1.5(ii) - the conditions and requirements for the detailed design,	S3.8, S4.8, S5.7, S6.6, S7.8, S8.9,

Study Brief	Remark
construction and operation of the Project to mitigate against	S9.7
adverse environmental consequences wherever practicable; and	
S.1.5(iii) - the acceptability of residual impacts after the proposed	S3.9, S4.9, S5.8, S6.7, S7.9,
mitigation measures are implemented.	S8.10, S9.8
S.2.1(i) - to describe the Project and associated works together	S2.2, S2.3
with the requirements and environmental benefits for carrying out	
the Project;	
S.2.1(ii) - to identify and describe elements of community and	S3.4, S4.4, S5.4, S6.5, S7.4 –
environment likely to be affected by the Project and/or likely to	S7.6, S8.3 – S8.6, S9.5, S9.6,
cause adverse impacts to the Project, including both the natural	S10.4
and man-made environment and the associated environmental	
constraints;	
S.2.1(iii) - to identify and quantify emission sources and determine	S3.5 – S3.7, S4.5 – 4.7, S5.5, S5.6,
the significance of impacts on sensitive receivers and potential	S6.5, S7.7, S8.7, S8.8, S9.5, S9.6,
affected uses;	S10.5 – S10.8
S.2.1(iv) - to identify and quantify contaminated land within any	S7.5 – S7.8
project area for development works, and to propose measures to	
avoid disposal in the first instance;	
S.2.1(v) - to identify and quantify any potential losses or damages	S8.7, S8.8
to flora, fauna and natural habitats;	
S.2.1(vi) - to identify and evaluate any potential landscape and	S9.5 – S9.9
visual impacts and to propose measures to mitigate these impacts;	
S.2.1(vii) - to identify any potential hazard to life due to generation,	S10.5 – S10.9
storage, utilization, processing and transmission (if applicable) of	
biogas and other dangerous goods (DGs) during the operation	
phase of the Project and to propose measures to mitigate these	
impacts if required;	
S.2.1(viii) - to propose the provision of infrastructure or mitigation	S3.8, S4.8, S5.7, S6.6, S7.8, S8.9,
measures so as to minimize pollution, environmental disturbance	S9.7, S10.9
and nuisance during construction and operation of the Project;	
S.2.1(ix) - to investigate the feasibility, effectiveness and	Ditto
implications of the proposed mitigation measures;	
S.2.1(x) - to identify, predict and evaluate the residual (i.e. after	S3.9, S4.9, S5.8, S6.7, S7.9,
practicable mitigation) environmental impacts and the cumulative	S8.10, S9.8, S9.9
effects expected to arise during the construction and operation	
phases of the Project in relation to the sensitive receivers and	
potentially affected uses;	
S.2.1(xi) - to identify, assess and specify methods, measures and	S3.8, S4.8, S5.7, S6.6, S7.8, S8.9,

Study Brief	Remark
standards, to be included in the detailed design, construction and	S9.7, S10.9
operation of the Project which are necessary to mitigate these	
residual environmental impacts and cumulative effects and reduce	
them to acceptable levels;	
S.2.1(xii) - to design and specify the environmental monitoring and	S3.10, S4.10, S5.9, S6.8, S7.10,
audit requirements; and	S8.11, S9.10, S10.10, S11
S.2.1(xiii) - to identify any additional studies necessary to	No additional studies are required
implement the mitigation measures or monitoring and proposals	to implement the mitigation
recommended in the EIA report.	measures or monitoring and
	proposals recommended in the EIA
	report.
S.3.2.1(i) - environmental benefits and dis-benefits of different	S2.5 – S2.8
development options, design and construction methods of the	
Project with a view to deriving the preferred development option(s)	
that will avoid or minimize adverse environmental impact;	
S.3.2.1(ii) - potential water quality impacts on water system(s)	S5.4 – S5.6
including the Deep Bay Water Control Zone/ North Western Water	
Control Zone, and other affected Water Control Zones and	
relevant water sensitive receivers (e.g natural streams and nullah),	
during construction and operation of the Project;	
S.3.2.1(iii) - potential air quality and noise impacts on the sensitive	S3.4 – S3.7, S4.4 – S4.7
receivers during construction and operation of the Project, in	
particular arising from odour and noise emissions from the YLS	
EPP and the co-digestion facility for imported organic wastes, as	
well as the transportation of sludge and organic waste along Kung	
Um Road, and dust and noise during construction of the Project,	
including that affecting receivers along Kung Um Road;	
S.3.2.1(iv) - potential waste management issues and impacts	S6.5
during construction and operation of the Project, in particular	
arising from handling and disposal of construction & demolition	
materials, sewage sludge and screenings;	
S.3.2.1(v) - potential extent of land contamination within any	S7.5 – S7.7.
project area for development works and relevant mitigation	
measures;	
S.3.2.1(vi) - potential ecological impact on ecological sensitive	S8.7, S8.8
areas during construction and operation of the Project;	
S.3.2.1(vii) - potential landscape impact arising from the Project	S9.6 – S9.9
and potential visual impact arising from the above-ground	

Study Brief	Remark
structures of the Project;	
S.3.2.1(viii) - potential hazard to life due to generation, storage,	S10.5 – S10.8
utilization, processing and transmission (if applicable) of biogas	
and other DGs during operation of the Project;	
S.3.2.1(ix) - measures/ actions to avoid or minimize potential	S5.6
human health impacts associated with reuse of treated sewage	
effluent during operation of the Project; and	
S.3.2.1(x) - potential cumulative impacts of the Project, through	S2.10
interaction or in combination with other existing, committed and	
planned projects in the vicinity of the Project.	
S.3.3.1 Purpose(s) and Objectives of the Project	S1.1 – S1.4, S2.2, S2.3
The Applicant shall provide information on the purpose(s) and	
objectives of the Project, and describe the environmental benefits	
of the Project and scenarios with and without the Project.	
S.3.3.2 <u>Details of the Project</u>	S1.1 – S1.4, S2.2, S2.3, S2.8 –
The Applicant shall indicate the nature and status of project	S2.10
decision(s) for which the EIA study is undertaken. The Applicant	
shall describe the proposed land uses, design, construction	
methods, sequence of construction works and other major	
activities involved in the Project, using diagrams, plans and/or	
maps as necessary. The estimated duration of the construction	
phase and operational phase of the Project together with the	
programme within these phases shall be given. The land taken by	
the Project site(s), construction sites and any associated access	
arrangements, auxiliary facilities and landscaping areas shall be	
shown on a scaled map. The uses of the Project shall be described	
and the different land use areas shall be demarcated as	
appropriate.	
S.3.3.3 Background and History of the Project	S2
The Applicant shall provide information on the site location and site	
history of the Project, interactions with other projects including	
those related to the reuse of treated effluents, and the	
consideration of different development options, taking into account	
the principles of avoidance, minimizing and control of adverse	
environmental impacts. The options might include design, sewage	
treatment technologies, sludge treatment, co-digestion of organic	
waste, construction methods and sequence of construction works	
for the Project. The key reasons for selecting the preferred	

Study Brief	Remark
development option(s) and the part environmental factors played	
in the selection shall be described. The main environmental	
impacts of different development options shall be compared with	
those of the Project and with the likely future environmental	
conditions in the absence of the Project.	
S.3.4.1 The Applicant shall conduct the EIA study to address all	Noted
environmental aspects of the activities as described in the scope	
as set out above. The assessment shall be based on the best and	
latest information available during the course of the EIA study.	
S.3.4.2 The Applicant shall include in the EIA report details of the	S2.8 – S2.10
construction programme and methodologies. The Applicant shall	Appendix 2.1, Appendix 4.3
clearly state in the EIA report the time frame and work programmes	
of the Project and associated works and other concurrent projects,	
and assess the cumulative environmental impacts from the Project	
and associated works with all interacting projects, including staged	
implementation of the Project and associated works.	
Air Quality Impact	Noted
S.3.4.4.1 The Applicant shall follow the criteria and guidelines for	
evaluating and assessing air quality impact as stated in section 1	
of Annex 4 and Annex 12 of the TM respectively.	
S.3.4.4.2 The assessment area for air quality impact assessment	S3.4
shall be defined by a distance of 500 metres from the boundary of	
the Project Area and the works of the Project as identified in the	
EIA, which shall be extended to include major existing, committed	
and planned air pollutant emission sources identified to have a	
bearing on the environmental acceptability of the Project. The	
assessment shall include the existing, committed and planned	
sensitive receivers within the assessment area as well as areas	
where air quality may be potentially affected by the Project. The	
assessment shall be based on the best available information at the	
time of the assessment.	
S.3.4.4.3 The assessment of air quality impact arising from the	Noted.
construction and operation of the Project shall follow the detailed	
technical requirements given in Appendix B of this EIA study brief	
Noise Impact	Noted
S.3.4.5.1 The Applicant shall follow the criteria and guidelines for	
evaluating and assessing noise impact as stated in Annexes 5 and	
13 of the TM respectively.	

Study Brief	Remark
S.3.4.5.2 Assessment shall include construction noise and fixed	S4.3, S4.4
noise sources impact assessments of the existing, committed and	
planned noise sensitive receivers (NSRs) earmarked on the	
relevant Outline Zoning Plans, Development Permission Area	
Plans, Outline Development Plans, Layout Plans and other	
relevant published land use plans, including plans and drawings	
published by the Lands Department and any land use and	
development applications approved by the Town Planning Board,	
in the vicinity of the Project.	
S.3.4.5.3 The noise impact assessment for the construction and	Noted
operation of the Project shall follow the detailed technical	
requirements given in Appendix C of this EIA study brief.	
Water Quality Impact	Noted
S.3.4.6.1 The Applicant shall follow the criteria and guidelines for	
evaluating and assessing water pollution as stated in Annexes 6	
and 14 of the TM respectively.	
S.3.4.6.2 The assessment area for the water quality impact	S5.4, S5.5
assessment shall include areas within 500 metres from the	
boundary of the Project and shall cover Deep Bay, North Western	
and other affected Water Control Zones as designated under the	
Water Pollution Control Ordinance (Cap. 358) and water sensitive	
receivers, such as the natural streams and nullah in the vicinity of	
the Project. The assessment area shall be extended to include	
other areas if they are found also being impacted during the course	
of the EIA study and have a bearing on the environmental	
acceptability of the Project.	
S.3.4.6.3 The water quality impact assessment for the construction	Noted
and operation of the Project shall follow the detailed technical	
requirements given in Appendix D of this EIA study brief.	
Waste Management Implication	Noted
S.3.4.7.1 The Applicant shall follow the criteria and guidelines for	
evaluating and assessing waste management implication as	
stated in Annexes 7 and 15 of the TM respectively.	
S.3.4.7.2 The assessment of the waste management implications	Noted
arising from the construction and operation of the Project shall	
follow the detailed technical requirements given in Appendix E of	
this EIA study brief.	
Land Contamination	Noted

A4-3

0. 1. 5. 4	
Study Brief	Remark
S.3.4.8.1 The Applicant shall follow the criteria and guidelines for	
evaluating and assessing potential land contamination issues as	
stated in section 3.1 of Annex 19 of the TM.	
S.3.4.8.2 The assessment of the potential land contamination	Noted
issues shall follow the detailed technical requirements given in	
Appendix F of this EIA study brief.	
Ecological Impact (Terrestrial and Aquatic)	Noted
S.3.4.9.1 The Applicant shall follow the criteria and guidelines for	
evaluating and assessing ecological impact as stated in Annexes	
8 and 16 of the TM.	
S.3.4.9.2 The assessment area for the purpose of the terrestrial	S8.3
ecological impact assessment shall include areas within 500	Figure 8.1
metres distance from the boundary of the Project and any	
associated works as well as any other areas likely to be impacted	
by the Project. For aquatic ecology, the assessment area shall be	
the same as the water quality impact assessment described in	
section 3.4.6 of this EIA study brief. The assessment shall also	
include watercourses (and their riparian zones) identified with	
ecological importance.	
S.3.4.9.3 The ecological impact assessment for construction and	Noted
operation of the Project shall follow the detailed technical	
requirements given in Appendix G of this EIA study brief.	
Landscape and Visual Impacts	Noted
S.3.4.10.1 The Applicant shall follow the criteria and guidelines for	
evaluating and assessing the landscape and visual impacts as	
stated in Annexes 10 and 18 of the TM, and the EIAO Guidance	
Note No. 8/20 10 "Preparation of Landscape and Visual Impact	
Assessment under the EIAO".	
S.3.4.10.2 The assessment area for the landscape impact	\$9.5
assessment shall include all areas within a 500 metres distance	Figure 9.3, Figure 9.5, Figure 9.7
from the site boundary of the Project, while the assessment area	
for the visual impact assessment shall be defined by the visual	
envelope of the project. The defined envelope shall be shown on	
a plan in the EIA report.	
S.3.4.10.3 The landscape and visual impact assessments for	Noted
construction and operation of the Project shall follow the detailed	
technical requirements given in Appendix H of this EIA study brief.	
Hazard to Life	Noted
IIIIIII IIIIIIIIII	110.00

Study Brief	Remark
S.3.4.11.1 The Applicant shall follow the criteria for evaluating	
hazard to life as stated in section 2 of Annex 4 of the TM.	
S.3.4.11.2 The hazard to life assessment shall follow the detailed	Noted
technical requirements given in Appendix I of this EIA study brief.	
Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Requirements	S3.10, S4.10, S5.10, S6.8, S7.10,
S.3.5.1 The Applicant shall identify and justify in the EIA study	S8.11, S9.10, S10.10, S11
whether there is any need for EM&A activities during the	
construction and operation phases of the Project and, if	
affirmative, to define the scope of the EM&A requirements for the	
Project in the EIA study.	
S.3.5.2 Subject to the confirmation of the EIA study findings, the	Noted.
Applicant shall comply with the requirements as stipulated in	
Annex 21 of the TM.	
S.3.5.3 The Applicant shall prepare a Project Implementation	S12
Schedule (in the form of a checklist as shown in Appendix J)	
containing all the EIA study recommendations and mitigation	
measures with reference to the implementation programme.	
Presentation of Summary Information	S13
S.3.6.1 <u>Summary of Environmental Outcomes</u>	
The EIA report shall contain a summary of key environmental	
outcomes arising from the EIA study, including estimated	
population protected from various environmental impacts,	
environmentally sensitive areas protected, environmentally	
friendly options considered and incorporated in the preferred	
option, environmental designs recommended, key environmental	
problems avoided, compensation areas included and the	
environmental benefits of environmental protection measures	
recommended.	
S.3.6.2 <u>Summary of Environmental Impacts</u>	Appendix 13.2
To facilitate effective retrieval of pertinent key information, the EIA	
report shall contain a summary table of environmental impacts	
showing the assessment points, results of impact predictions,	
relevant standards or criteria, extents of exceedances predicted,	
impact avoidance measures considered, mitigation measures	
proposed and residual impacts (after mitigation). This summary	
shall cover each individual impact and shall also form an essential	
part of the executive summary of the EIA report.	
S.3.6.3 <u>Documentation of Key Assessment Assumptions</u> ,	Appendix 13.1

Study Brief	Remark
Limitation of Assessment Methodologies and related Prior	
Agreement(s) with the Director	
The EIA report shall contain a summary including the assessment	
methodologies and key assessment assumptions adopted in the	
EIA study, the limitations of these assessment(s)	
methodologies/assumptions, if any, plus relevant prior	
agreement(s) with the Director or other Authorities on individual	
environmental media assessment components. The proposed use	
of any alternative assessment tool(s) or assumption(s) have to be	
justified by the Applicant, with supporting documents based on	
cogent, scientific and objectively derived reason(s) before seeking	
the Director's agreement. The supporting documents shall be	
provided in the EIA report.	
S.3.6.4 Summary of Alternative Mitigation Measures	S2.5 – S2.8
The EIA report shall contain a summary of alternative development	
options and measures considered during the course of EIA study,	
including size/scale, design, construction methods and sequence	
of works for the Project, with a view to avoiding or minimizing and	
mitigating adverse environmental impacts. A comparison of the	
environmental benefits and dis-benefits of applying different	
development options, and/or mitigation measures shall be made.	
This summary shall cover the key impacts and shall also form an	
essential part of the executive summary of the EIA report.	
S.3.6.5 <u>Documentation of Public Concerns</u>	S2
The EIA report shall contain a summary of the main concerns of	
the general public, special interest groups and the relevant	
statutory or advisory bodies received and identified by the	
Applicant during the course of the EIA study, and describe how the	
relevant concerns have been taken into account.	
S.5.1 In preparing the EIA report, the Applicant shall refer to Annex	Noted
11 of the TM for the contents of an EIA report. The Applicant shall	
also refer to Annex 20 of the TM, which stipulates the guidelines	
for the review of an EIA report. When submitting the EIA report to	
the Director, the Applicant shall provide a summary, pointing out	
where in the EIA report the respective requirements of this EIA	
study brief and the TM (in particular Annexes 11 and 20) have been	
addressed and fulfilled.	
S.5.2 The Applicant shall supply the Director with hard and	Noted

Study Brief	Remark
electronic copies of the EIA report and the executive summary in	
accordance with the requirements given in Appendix K. The	
Applicant shall, upon request, make additional copies the above	
documents available to the public, subject to payment by the	
interested parties of full costs of printing.	
APPENDIX B (Requirements for Air Quality Impact Assessment)	
Background and Analysis of Activities	S3.5
(i) - Provision of background information relating to air quality	
issues relevant to the Project, e.g. description of the types of	
activities of the Project that may affect air quality during	
construction and operation stages of the Project.	
(ii) - Provision of an account, where appropriate, of the	Appendix 3.1 presented the
consideration/ measures that have been taken into consideration	construction programme. Section
during the planning of the Project to avoid and minimize the air	3.5.1 presented that the dusty
pollution impact. The Applicant shall consider alternative locations	excavation would be commenced,
of the new treatment facilities, alternative treatment processes of	conducted and completed in 1 year.
EPP and alternative construction methods to minimize the air	
quality impact during construction and operation stages of the	Alternative construction method,
Project.	alternative design of the EPP have
	been discussed in S2.5 & S2.6.
(iii) - Presentation of background air quality levels in the study area	S3.3, S3.6
for the purpose of evaluating cumulative air quality impacts during	
construction and operation stages of the Project. If the PATH	
model is used to estimate the future background air quality, details	
for the estimation of all emission sources to be adopted in the	
model runs should be clearly presented.	
Identification of Air Sensitive Receivers (ASRs) and Examination	S3.4
of Emission/Dispersion Characteristics	Figure 3.1, Figure 3.2
(i) - Identification and description of existing, committed and	
planned ASRs that would likely be affected by the Project,	
including those earmarked on the relevant Outline Zoning Plans,	
Development Permission Area Plans, Outline Development Plans	
and Layout Plans and other relevant published land use plans,	
including plans and drawings published by Lands Department and	
any land use and development applications approved by the Town	
Planning Board. The Applicant shall select the assessment points	
of the identified ASRs that represent the worst impact point of	
these ASRs. A map clearly showing the location and description	

Study Brief	Remark
such as name of buildings, their uses and height of the selected	
assessment points shall be given. The separation distances of	
these ASRs from the nearest emission sources shall also be given.	
(ii) - Provision of a list of air pollution emission sources, including	S3.5
any nearby emission sources which are likely to have impact	Appendices 3.2 – 3.7
related to the Project based on the analysis of the construction and	
operation activities in section 1 above. Confirmation regarding the	
validity of the assumptions adopted and the magnitude of the	
activities (e.g. volume of construction material to be handled, etc.)	
shall be obtained from the relevant government	
departments/authorities, where applicable, and documented in the	
EIA report.	
(iii) - Identification of chimneys and obtainment of relevant chimney	S3.5, S3.6
emission data in the assessment area, where appropriate, by	
carrying out a survey for assessing the cumulative air quality	
impact of air pollutants through chimneys. The Applicant shall	
ensure and confirm the validity of the emission data used in their	
assessment. Any errors found in their emission data used may	
render the submission invalid.	
(iv) - The emissions from any concurrent projects identified as	S3.5
relevant during the course of the EIA study shall be taken into	
account as contributing towards the overall cumulative air quality	
impact. The impact as affecting the existing, committed and	
planned ASRs within the study area shall be assessed, based on	
the best information available at the time of assessment.	
Construction Phase Air Quality Impact	Noted
(i) - The Applicant shall follow the requirements stipulated under	
the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation to ensure	
that construction dust impacts are controlled within the relevant	
standards as stipulated in section 1 of Annex 4 of the TM.	
(ii) - If the Applicant anticipates that the Project will give rise to	S3.8
significant construction dust impacts likely to exceed	
recommended limits in the TM at the ASRs despite the	
incorporation of the dust control measures proposed, a	
quantitative assessment shall be earned out to evaluate the	
construction dust impact at the identified ASRs. The Applicant shall	
follow the methodology set out in section 5 below when carrying	
out the quantitative assessment.	

Study Brief	Remark
(iii) - The applicant shall ensure that any odour emission resulting	S3.5, S3.10
from the construction activities of the Project is properly controlled	
and meet the relevant criteria as stipulated in section 1 of Annex 4	
of the TM. A monitoring and audit programme for the construction	
phase of the Project shall be devised to verify the effectiveness of	
the proposed control measures so as to ensure proper odour	
emission control.	
(iv) - Where necessary, the Applicant shall consider and evaluate	The evaluation of direct mitigation
direct mitigation measures, including but not limited to water-	measures is discussed in S3.8
spraying, re-scheduling construction programme to minimize	
concurrent dust impact arising from different construction sites, for	Transportation impacts are
fugitive dust control. The Applicant shall describe the means of	discussed in S3.5, S3.6, Appendix
transportation and their routings involved, with a view to	3.2, 3.3
addressing potential dust nuisance caused by transportation	
activities. Any mitigation measures recommended for fugitive dust	Dust mitigation measures are
control should be well documented in the EIA report.	discussed in S3.8, S3.9
(v) - A monitoring and audit programme for the construction phase	S3.10
of the Project shall be devised to verify the effectiveness of the	
proposed control measures so as to ensure proper control of	
fugitive dust emission.	
Operational Phase Air Quality Impact	S3.5.2
(i) - The Applicant shall assess the expected air quality impact	
arising from the activities in the proposed Project site, including	
odour and gaseous emissions, if any, from the sewage treatment	
plant and associated facilities, and odour from transport of sludge	
and organic wastes, during the operational phase based on	
assumed reasonably worst case scenario under normal operating	
condition.	
(ii) - If the Applicant anticipates that the Project will give rise to	S3.6.3, S3.8
significant operational phase air quality impacts likely to exceed	
the recommended limits in the TM at the ASRs, a quantitative	
assessment should be earned out to evaluate the operational	
phase air quality impacts at the identified ASRs. The Applicant	
shall follow the methodology set out in section 5 below when	
carrying out the quantitative assessment.	
(iii) - A monitoring and audit programme for the operational stage	S3.10
shall be devised to verify the effectiveness of the control measures	
proposed so as to ensure proper operational odour control.	

Study Brief	Remark
Quantitative Assessment Methodology	S3.6
(i) - The Applicant shall conduct the quantitative assessment by	
applying the general principles enunciated in the modeling	
guidelines in Appendix B-I while making allowance for the specific	
characteristic of the Project. This specific methodology must be	
documented in such level of details, preferably assisted with tables	
and diagrams, to allow the readers of the EIA report to grasp how	
the model has been set up to simulate the situation under study	
without referring to the model input files.	
(ii) - Detailed calculations of air pollutants emission rates for input	S3.6
to the model shall be presented in the EIA report. The Applicant	Appendix 3.2, Appendix 3.4,
must ensure consistency between the text description and the	Appendix 3.5, Appendix 3.6,
model files at every stage of submissions for review. In case of	Appendix 3.7
doubt, prior agreement between the Applicant and the Director on	
the specific modelling details should be sought.	
(iii) - The Applicant shall identify the key/representative air pollution	S3.5
parameters (types of pollutants and averaging time	Appendices 3.2 – 3.7
concentrations) to be evaluated and provide explanation for	
selecting such parameters for assessing the impact from the	
Project.	
(iv) - The Applicant shall calculate the overall cumulative air quality	S3.7
impact at the ASRs identified under section 2 above and compare	Figure 3.1 – 3.16
these results against the criteria set out in section 1 of Annex 4 in	
the TM. The predicted air quality impacts (both unmitigated and	
mitigated) shall be presented in the form of summary table(s) and	
pollution contours, to be evaluated against the relevant air quality	
standards and on any effect they may have on the land use	
implications. Plans of a suitable scale should be used to present	
pollution contours to allow buffer distance requirements to be	
determined properly.	
(v) - For the quantitative assessment of the odour emission impact	S3.6
upon the identified ASRs, the odour emission strength/ rates shall	Appendix 3.7
be based on the results of odorous air sampling/ measurement	
conducted directly at the odour emission sources within the	
assessment area as defined in section 3.4.4.2. The details of such	
odorous air sampling/ measurement, including the methodology	
and calculation of the odour emission strength/rates, shall be	
presented in the EIA report.	

Study Brief	Remark
Mitigation Measures for Air Quality Impact	n/a
Consideration for Mitigation Measures	
(i) - When the predicted air quality impact exceeds the criteria set	
in section 1 of Annex 4 in the TM, the Applicant shall consider	
mitigation measures to reduce the air quality impact on the	
identified ASRs. The feasibility, practicability, programming and	
effectiveness of the recommended mitigation measures shall be	
assessed and documented in the EIA report. Specific reasons for	
not adopting certain workable mitigation measures to reduce the	
air quality to a level meeting the criteria in the TM or to maximize	
the protection of the ASRs as far as possible should be clearly	
substantiated and documented in the EIA report	
Evaluation of Residual Air Quality Impact	n/a
(ii) - Upon consideration of mitigation measures, if the mitigated air	
quality impact still exceeds the relevant criteria in Annex 4 of the	
TM, the Applicant shall identify, predict, and evaluate the residual	
air quality impact in accordance with section 4.4.3 and section	
4.5.1(d) of the TM.	
Submission of Emission Calculation Details and Model Files	Noted
All input and output file(s) of the model run(s), including those files	
for the generation of pollution contours as well as the emissions	
calculation worksheets, shall be submitted to the Director in	
electronic format together with the submission of the EIA report.	
APPENDIX C (Requirements for Noise Impact Assessment)	
Description of the Noise Environment	S4.3
S.1.1 - The Applicant shall describe the prevailing noise	
environment in the EIA report.	
S.1.2 - The Applicant shall conduct prevailing background noise	S4.4
surveys to determine the standards for evaluating noise impact	
from fixed noise source. The respective noise environment should	
be documented in the EIA report.	
Construction Noise Impact Assessment	S4.6
Construction Noise Impact Assessment Methodology	
S.2.1.1 - The Applicant shall carry out construction noise impact	
assessment (excluding percussive piling) of the Project during	
daytime, i.e. 7am to 7pm, on weekdays other than general	
holidays in accordance with methodology in paragraphs 5.3 and	
5.4 of Annex 13 of the TM.	

Study Brief	Remark
Identification of Construction Noise Impact	S4.4
Identification of Assessment Area and Noise Sensitive Receivers	
(NSRs)	
S.2.2.1(a) - The Applicant shall propose the assessment area for	
agreement of the Director before commencing the assessment.	
The assessment area for the construction noise impact	
assessment shall generally include areas within 300 metres from	
the boundary of the Project and the works of the Project.	
S.2.2.1(b) - The Applicant shall identify all existing NSRs in the	S4.4
assessment area and select assessment points to represent	
identified NSRs for carrying out quantitative construction noise	
impact assessment described below.	
S.2.2.1(c) - The assessment points shall be confirmed with the	A WP on NIA presented the
Director prior to the commencement of the quantitative	assessment methodology has been
construction noise impact assessment and may be varied subject	submitted to EPD on 3 <sup>rd</sup> May 2021
to the best and latest information available during the course of the	and revised WP was submitted on
EIA study.	23 <sup>rd</sup> July 2021 & 8 <sup>th</sup> October 2021
	for agreement with the Director.
S.2.2.1(d) - A map showing the location and description such as	Table 4.6 – Table 4.8
name of building, use, and floor of each and every selected	Figure 4.1
assessment point shall be given. Photographs of existing NSRs	Appendix 4.2
shall be appended to the EIA report.	
Inventory of Noise Sources	S4.5
S.2.2.2 - The Applicant shall identify and quantify an inventory of	Appendix 4.4, Appendix 4.7
noise sources for representative construction equipment for the	
purpose of construction noise impact assessment.	
Prediction and Evaluation of Construction Noise Impact	A WP on NIA presented the
Phases of Construction	assessment methodology has been
S.2.3.1 - The Applicant shall identify representative phases of	submitted to EPD on 3 <sup>rd</sup> May 2021
construction that would have noticeable varying construction noise	and revised WP was submitted on
emissions at existing NSRs at the assessment area for agreement	23 <sup>rd</sup> July 2021 & 8 <sup>th</sup> October 2021
of the Director before commencing the construction noise impact	for agreement with the Director.
assessment.	
Scenarios	S4.6, S4.7
S.2.3.2 - The Applicant shall quantitatively assess the construction	
noise impact, with respect to criteria set in Annex 5 of the TM, of	
unmitigated scenario and mitigated scenario at different phases of	
construction of the Project.	

Study Brief	Remark
Prediction of Noise Impact	Table 4.11, Table 4.14
S.2.3.3(a) - The Applicant shall present the predicted noise levels	Appendix 4.6, Appendix 4.7
in Leq (30 min) dB(A) at the selected assessment points at various	
representative floor levels (in m P.D.) on tables and plans of	
suitable scale.	
S.2.3.3(b) - The assessment shall cover the cumulative	S4.5
construction noise impact resulting from the construction works of	Appendix 4.6, Appendix 4.8
the Project and other concurrent projects identified during the	
course of the E1A study on existing NSRs within the assessment	
area.	
S.2.3.3(c) - The potential construction noise impact under different	S4.7.1
phases of construction shall be quantified by estimating the total	
number of dwellings, classrooms and other noise sensitive	
receivers that will be exposed to noise impact exceeding the	
criteria set in Annex 5 in the TM.	
S.2.3.3(d) - The Applicant shall, as far as practicable, formulate a	S4.6
reasonable construction programme so that no work will be	
required in restricted hours as defined under the Noise Control	
Ordinance (NCO). In case the Applicant needs to evaluate whether	
construction works in restricted hours as defined under the NCO	
are feasible or not in the context of programming construction	
works, reference should be made to relevant technical	
memoranda issued under the NCO. Regardless of the results of	
construction noise impact assessment for restricted hours, the	
Noise Control Authority will process Construction Noise Permit	
(CNP) application, if necessary, based on the NCO, the relevant	
technical memoranda issued under the NCO, and the	
contemporary conditions/situations. This aspect should be	
explicitly stated in the noise chapter and the conclusions and	
recommendations chapter in EIA report.	
Mitigation of Construction Noise Impact	S4.8
Direct Mitigation Measures	
S.2.4.1 - Where the predicted construction noise impact exceeds	
the criteria set in Table 1 B of Annex 5 of the TM, the Applicant	
shall consider and evaluate direct mitigation measures including	
but not limited to, movable barriers, enclosures, quieter alternative	
methods, re-scheduling, restricting hours of operation of noisy	
tasks, etc. The feasibility, practicability, programming and	

Study Brief	Remark
effectiveness of the recommended mitigation measures shall be	
assessed. Any direct mitigation measures recommended should	
be well documented in the report. Specific reasons for not adopting	
certain direct mitigation measures to reduce the noise to a level	
meeting the criteria in the TM or to maximize the protection for the	
NSRs as far as possible should be clearly substantiated and	
documented in the EIA report.	
Evaluation of Residual Construction Noise Impact	N/A
S.2.5 - Upon exhaust of direct mitigation measures, if the mitigated	
noise impact still exceeds the relevant criteria in Annex 5 of the	
TM, the Applicant shall identify, predict, evaluate the residual	
construction noise impact in accordance with section 4.4.3 of the	
TM and estimate the total number of existing dwellings,	
classrooms and other noise sensitive elements that will be	
exposed to residual noise impact exceeding the criteria set in	
Annex 5 in the TM.	
Fixed Noise Sources Impact Assessment	Noted
Fixed Noise Sources Impact Assessment Methodology	
S.3.1 - The Applicant shall carry out fixed noise sources impact	
assessment from the Project in accordance with the methodology	
in paragraph 5.2 of Annex 13 of the TM.	
Identification of Fixed Noise Sources Impact	S4.4 and a WP on NIA presented
Identification of Assessment Area and Noise Sensitive Receivers	the assessment methodology has
(NSRs)	been submitted to EPD 3 <sup>rd</sup> May
S.3.2.1(a) - The Applicant shall propose the assessment area for	2021 and revised WP was
agreement of the Director before commencing the assessment.	submitted on 23 <sup>rd</sup> July 2021 & 8 <sup>th</sup>
The assessment area for the fixed noise impact shall generally	October 2021 for agreement with
include areas within 300 metres from the boundary of the Project	the Director.
and the works of the Project.	
S.3.2.1(b) - The Applicant shall identify all existing, committed and	S4.4
planned NSRs in the assessment area and select assessment	
points to represent identified NSRs for carrying out fixed noise	
sources impact assessment described below.	
S.3.2.1(c) - The assessment points shall be confirmed with the	S4.4 and a WP on NIA presented
Director prior to the commencement of the quantitative fixed noise	the assessment methodology has
sources impact assessment and may be varied subject to the best	been submitted to EPD on 3 <sup>rd</sup> May
and latest information available during the course of the EIA study.	2021 and revised WP was
	submitted on 23 <sup>rd</sup> July 2021 & 8 <sup>th</sup>

Study Brief	Remark
	October 2021 for agreement with
	the Director.
S.3.2.1(d) - A map showing the location and description such as	S.4.4 to present the location,
name of building, use, and floor of each and every selected	description, use and number of
assessment point shall be given. Photographs of existing NSRs	storeys of the NAPs, as well as the
shall be appended to the EIA report.	photos of existing NSRs. S.4.4
	should be read in conjunction with
	Table 4.8, Figure 4.1 and Appendix
	4.2.
S.3.2.1(e) - For planned noise sensitive land uses without	NAP selection for planned noise
committed site layouts, the Applicant should use the relevant land	sensitive site is referenced to the
use and planning parameters and conditions to work out	best available information (i.e.
representative site layouts for fixed noise sources impact	Revised Recommended Outline
assessment purpose. However, such parameters and conditions	Development Plan (RODP) for YLS
together with the representative site layouts and any constraints	DA).
identified shall be confirmed with the relevant responsible parties	
including Planning Department and Lands Department.	
Inventory of Noise Sources	S4.5, S4.6
S.3.2.2(a) - The Applicant shall identify and quantify an inventory	Appendix 4.6
of noise sources for fixed noise sources impact assessment. The	
inventory of noise sources shall include, but not limited to noise	
associated with any permanent and temporary industrial noise	
sources.	_
S.3.2.2(b) - The Applicant shall provide document or certificate,	S4.6
with a methodology accepted by recognized national/international	Appendix 4.6
organisation, for the sound power level of each type of fixed noise	
sources.	
S.3.2.2(c) - Validity of the inventory shall be confirmed with the	\$4.6
relevant government departments/authorities and documented in	Appendix 4.6
the EIA report.	0.17
Prediction and Evaluation of Fixed Noise Sources Impact	S4.7
Scenarios	
S.3.3.1(a) - The Applicant shall quantitatively assess the fixed	
noise sources impact of the Project, with respect to the criteria set	
in Annex 5 of the TM, of unmitigated scenario and mitigated	
scenario at assessment year of various operation modes including, but not limited to,	
(i) the worst operation mode which represents the maximum noise	
(i) the worst operation mode which represents the maximum hoise	

Study Brief	Remark
emission in connection of identified noise sources of the Project;	
and	
(ii) any other operation modes as confirmed with the Director.	
S.3.3.1(b) - Validity of the above operation modes shall be	S4.6
confirmed with relevant departments/authorities and documented	Appendix 4.6, Appendix 4.7
in the EIA report.	
Prediction of Noise Impact	S4.7
S.3.3.2(a) - The Applicant shall present the predicted noise levels	Table 4.12
in Leq (30 min) dB(A) at the selected assessment points at various	Appendix 4.6
representative floor levels (in m P.D.) on tables and plans of	
suitable scale.	
S.3.3.2(b) - The assessment shall cover the cumulative fixed noise	S4.7
sources impact associated with the operation of the Project on	
existing, committed and planned NSRs within the assessment	
area.	
S.3.3.2(c) - The potential fixed noise sources impact under	S4.7
different scenarios shall be quantified by estimating the total	
number of dwellings, classrooms and other noise sensitive	
receivers that will be exposed to noise impact exceeding the	
criteria set in Annex 5 in the TM.	
Mitigation of Fixed Noise Sources Impact	S4.8
Direct Mitigation Measures	
S.3.4.1 - Where the predicted fixed noise sources impact exceeds	
the criteria set in Table 1A of Annex 5 of the TM, the Applicant shall	
consider and evaluate direct mitigation measures including but not	
limited to noise barrier/enclosure, screening by noise tolerant	
buildings, etc. The feasibility, practicability, programming and	
effectiveness of the recommended mitigation measures shall be	
assessed. Any direct mitigation measures recommended shall be	
well documented in the report. Specific reasons for not adopting	
certain direct mitigation measures to reduce the noise to a level	
meeting the criteria in the TM or to maximize the protection for the	
NSRs as far as possible should be clearly substantiated and	
documented in the EIA report.	
Evaluation of Residual Fixed Noise Sources Impact	n/a
S.3.5 - Upon exhaust of direct mitigation measures, if the mitigated	
noise impact still exceeds the relevant criteria in Annex 5 of the	
TM, the Applicant shall identify, predict, evaluate the residual fixed	

Study Brief	Remark
noise sources impact in accordance with section 4.4.3 of the TM	
and estimate the total number of existing dwellings, classrooms	
and other noise sensitive elements that will be exposed to residual	
noise impact exceeding the criteria set in Annex 5 in the TM	
APPENDIX D (Requirements for Water Quality Impact	
Assessment)	
1. The Applicant shall identify and analyse physical, chemical and	S5.5, S5.6
biological disruptions of the water system(s) arising from the	
construction and operation of the Project.	
2. The Applicant shall predict, quantify and assess any water	S5.4 – S5.6
quality impacts arising from the construction and operation of the	Appendix 5.5
Project by appropriate mathematical modelling and/or other	
techniques proposed by the Applicant and approved by the	
Director. The mathematical modelling requirements are set out in	
Appendix D-I. Possible impacts due to dredging, fill extraction,	
backfilling, transportation and disposal of dredged materials, other	
marine works activities, effluent discharge, thermal/cooling water	
and biocide discharge, overflow of sewage pumping stations and	
site runoff shall include changes in hydrology, flow regime,	
sediment erosion and deposition patterns, morphological change	
of seabed profile, water quality and sediment quality. The	
prediction shall include possible different construction stages or	
sequences of the Project. Affected sensitive receivers shall be	
identified by the assessment tool with indications of degree of	
severity.	
3. The assessment shall include, but not be limited to the following:	S5.6
(i). the water quality impacts during the construction of the effluent	
discharge pipe for the purpose of temporary and accidental	
emergency discharge;	
(ii). the assessment on operation stage shall have regard to the	S5.6
frequency, duration, volume and flow rate of the discharges and its	
pollutant;	
(iii). the water quality impacts of temporary and accidental	S5.6
discharges at the EPP during construction and operation stages of	Appendix 3.4, Appendix 5.5
the Project to the surrounding waterbodies, such as Deep Bay,	
North Western and other affected Water Control Zones;	
(iv). the water quality impacts of chemical spillage during	S5.6
construction and operation stages of the Project in particular the	

A4-10

Study Brief	Remark
accidental spillage associated with transfer and storage of	
chemicals during operation of the Project;	
(v). the water quality impacts during the receiving and co-digesting	S5.6
of organic waste; and	
(vi). the water quality impacts during the operation of the treated	S5.6
sewage effluent reuse and the arrangement if the supply of the	Appendix 5.5
treated sewage effluent exceeds the demand.	
4. The Applicant shall address water quality impacts due to the	S5.3, S5.4, S5.6
construction phase and operational phase of the Project.	Appendix 5.1, Appendices 5.3 – 5.5
Essentially, the assessment shall address the following :	Figure 5.1
(i). collect and review background information on affected existing	
and planned water systems, their respective catchments and	
sensitive receivers which might be affected by the Project;	
(ii). characterize water quality of the water systems and sensitive	Ditto
receivers, which might be affected by the Project based on existing	
best available information and through appropriate site survey and	
tests when existing data are insufficient;	
(iii). identify and analyse relevant existing and planned future	S5.3, S5.4
activities, beneficial uses and water sensitive receivers related to	Appendix 5.3
the affected water system(s). The Applicant should refer to, inter	
alia, those developments and uses earmarked on the relevant	
Outline Zoning Plans, Development Permission Area Plans,	
Outline Development Plans and Layout Plans, and any other	
relevant published land use plans;	
(iv). identify pertinent water quality objectives and establish other	S5.1, S5.2
appropriate water quality criteria or standards for the water	
system(s) and the sensitive receivers identified in (i), (ii) & (iii)	
above;	
(v). review the specific construction methods and configurations,	S5.6
and operation of the Project to identify and predict the likely water	
quality impacts arising from the Project;	
(vi). identify any alternation of any water courses, natural streams,	S5.3
ponds, wetlands, flow regimes of water bodies, catchment types	
or areas, erosion or sedimentation due to the Project and any other	
hydrological changes in the study area;	
(vii). identify and quantify existing and likely future water pollution	S5.6, S5.8
sources, including point discharges and non-point sources to	
surface water runoff, sewage from workforce and polluted	

Study Brief	Remark
discharge generated from the Project;	
(viii). provide an emission inventory on the quantities and	S5.3, S5.6
characteristics of those existing and future pollution sources in the	
study area. Field investigation and laboratory test, shall be	
conducted as appropriate to fill relevant information gaps;	
(ix). predict and quantify the water quality impacts arising from	S5.6
those alternations and changes identified in (vi) to (viii) above. The	Appendix 5.4, Appendix 5.5
prediction shall take into account and include possible different	
construction and operation stages of the Project. The use of	
disinfection shall be carefully evaluated;	
(x). assess the cumulative impacts due to other related concurrent	S5.6, S5.8
and planned projects, activities or pollution sources within the	
study area that may have a bearing on the environmental	
acceptability of the Project;	
(xi). analyze the provision and adequacy of existing and planned	S5.7, S5.8
future facilities to reduce pollution arising from the point and non-	
point sources identified in (vii) above;	
(xii). develop effective infrastructure upgrading or provision,	S5.7, S5.10
contingency plan, water pollution prevention and mitigation	
measures to be implemented during construction and operation	
stages, including emergency sewage discharge in the case of	
sewage treatment works and sewage pumping stations, so as to	
reduce the water quality impacts to within standards. Effluent	
generated from the Project shall require appropriate collection,	
treatment and disposal in considering the stressed condition within	
Deep Bay catchment. Requirements to be incorporated in the	
Project contract document shall also be proposed;	
(xiii). investigate and develop best management practices to	S5.6, S5.7, S5.10
reduce storm water and non-point source pollution as appropriate;	
(xiv). evaluate and quantify residual impacts on water system(s)	S5.8
and the sensitive receivers with regard to the appropriate water	
quality objectives, criteria, standards or guidelines;	
(xv). evaluate, predict and characterize the effluent characteristics	S5.5, S5.6
of the Project with different levels of treatment and disinfection	Appendix 5.5
processes. The Applicant shall predict the effluent characteristics	
by making reference to the influent characteristics from both	
sewage and organic waste, anticipated performance of the	
treatment and disinfection process at the proposed EPP, the	

finding of previous studies, and conducting additional samplings and tests if needed;  (xvi). devise mitigation measures to avoid or minimize the impacts identified above. The residual water quality impacts of the water systems with regard to the relevant water quality objectives, criteria, standards or guidelines shall be assessed and quantified using appropriate mathematical models set out in Appendix D-I to this EI A study brief; and (xvii). recommend appropriate mitigation measures, including a contingency plan, to minimize the duration and impact of temporary and accidental discharges during operation stage of the Project.  APPENDIX D-1 (Hydrodynamic and Water Quality Modelling Requirements)  Modelling software shall be fully 3-dimensional capable of accurately simulating the stratified condition, salinity transport, and effects of wind and tide on the water body within the model area.  2. The modelling software shall consist of hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport, thermal and particle dispersion modules. All modules shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  3. The hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport and thermal modules shall be strictly mass conserved at all levels.  4. An initial dilution model shall be used to characterize the initial mixing of the effluent discharge, and to feed the terminal level and size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details — Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lema) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	Study Brief	Remark
(xvi). devise mitigation measures to avoid or minimize the impacts identified above. The residual water quality impacts of the water systems with regard to the relevant water quality objectives, criteria, standards or guidelines shall be assessed and quantified using appropriate mathematical models set out in Appendix D-I to this EI A study brief; and (xvii). recommend appropriate mitigation measures, including a contingency plan, to minimize the duration and impact of temporary and accidental discharges during operation stage of the Project.  APPENDIX D-1 (Hydrodynamic and Water Quality Modelling Requirements)  Modelling software general  1. The modelling software shall be fully 3-dimensional capable of accurately simulating the stratified condition, salinity transport, and effects of wind and tide on the water body within the model area.  2. The modelling software shall consist of hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport, thermal and particle dispersion modules. All modules shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  3. The hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport and thermal modules shall be strictly mass conserved at all levels.  4. An initial dilution model shall be used to characterize the initial mixing of the effluent discharge, and to feed the terminal level and size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details — Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lerna) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	finding of previous studies, and conducting additional samplings	
identified above. The residual water quality impacts of the water systems with regard to the relevant water quality objectives, criteria, standards or guidelines shall be assessed and quantified using appropriate mathematical models set out in Appendix D-I to this EI A study brief; and (xvii). recommend appropriate mitigation measures, including a contingency plan, to minimize the duration and impact of temporary and accidental discharges during operation stage of the Project.  APPENDIX D-1 (Hydrodynamic and Water Quality Modelling Requirements)  Modelling software general  1. The modelling software shall be fully 3-dimensional capable of accurately simulating the stratified condition, salinity transport, and effects of wind and tide on the water body within the model area.  2. The modelling software shall consist of hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport, thermal and particle dispersion modules. All modules shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  3. The hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport and thermal modules shall be strictly mass conserved at all levels.  4. An initial dilution model shall be used to characterize the initial mixing of the effluent discharge, and to feed the terminal level and size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details — Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lema) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	and tests if needed;	
systems with regard to the relevant water quality objectives, criteria, standards or guidelines shall be assessed and quantified using appropriate mathematical models set out in Appendix D-I to this EI A study brief; and  (xvii). recommend appropriate mitigation measures, including a contingency plan, to minimize the duration and impact of temporary and accidental discharges during operation stage of the Project.  APPENDIX D-1 (Hydrodynamic and Water Quality Modelling Requirements)  Modelling software general  1. The modelling software shall be fully 3-dimensional capable of accurately simulating the stratified condition, salinity transport, and effects of wind and tide on the water body within the model area.  2. The modelling software shall consist of hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport, thermal and particle dispersion modules. All modules shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  3. The hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport and thermal modules shall be strictly mass conserved at all levels.  4. An initial dilution model shall be used to characterize the initial mixing of the effluent discharge, and to feed the terminal level and size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details — Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lema) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	(xvi). devise mitigation measures to avoid or minimize the impacts	S5.7, S5.8, S5.10
criteria, standards or guidelines shall be assessed and quantified using appropriate mathematical models set out in Appendix D-I to this EI A study brief; and (xvii). recommend appropriate mitigation measures, including a contingency plan, to minimize the duration and impact of temporary and accidental discharges during operation stage of the Project.  APPENDIX D-1 (Hydrodynamic and Water Quality Modelling Requirements)  Modelling software general  1. The modelling software shall be fully 3-dimensional capable of accurately simulating the stratified condition, salinity transport, and effects of wind and tide on the water body within the model area.  2. The modelling software shall consist of hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport, thermal and particle dispersion modules. All modules shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  3. The hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport and thermal modules shall be strictly mass conserved at all levels.  4. An initial dilution model shall be used to characterize the initial mixing of the effluent discharge, and to feed the terminal level and size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details — Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lema) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	identified above. The residual water quality impacts of the water	
using appropriate mathematical models set out in Appendix D-I to this EI A study brief; and (xvii). recommend appropriate mitigation measures, including a contingency plan, to minimize the duration and impact of temporary and accidental discharges during operation stage of the Project.  APPENDIX D-1 (Hydrodynamic and Water Quality Modelling Requirements)  Modelling software general 1. The modelling software shall be fully 3-dimensional capable of accurately simulating the stratified condition, salinity transport, and effects of wind and tide on the water body within the model area.  2. The modelling software shall consist of hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport, thermal and particle dispersion modules. All modules shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  3. The hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport and thermal modules shall be strictly mass conserved at all levels.  4. An initial dilution model shall be used to characterize the initial mixing of the effluent discharge, and to feed the terminal level and size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details — Calibration & Validation 1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lema) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	systems with regard to the relevant water quality objectives,	
this EIA study brief; and (xvii). recommend appropriate mitigation measures, including a contingency plan, to minimize the duration and impact of temporary and accidental discharges during operation stage of the Project.  APPENDIX D-1 (Hydrodynamic and Water Quality Modelling Requirements)  Modelling software general  1. The modelling software shall be fully 3-dimensional capable of accurately simulating the stratified condition, salinity transport, and effects of wind and tide on the water body within the model area.  2. The modelling software shall consist of hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport, thermal and particle dispersion modules. All modules shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  3. The hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport and thermal modules shall be strictly mass conserved at all levels.  4. An initial dilution model shall be used to characterize the initial mixing of the effluent discharge, and to feed the terminal level and size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details — Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lema) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	criteria, standards or guidelines shall be assessed and quantified	
(xvii). recommend appropriate mitigation measures, including a contingency plan, to minimize the duration and impact of temporary and accidental discharges during operation stage of the Project.  APPENDIX D-1 (Hydrodynamic and Water Quality Modelling Requirements)  Modelling software general  1. The modelling software shall be fully 3-dimensional capable of accurately simulating the stratified condition, salinity transport, and effects of wind and tide on the water body within the model area.  2. The modelling software shall consist of hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport, thermal and particle dispersion modules. All modules shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  3. The hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport and thermal modules shall be strictly mass conserved at all levels.  4. An initial dilution model shall be used to characterize the initial mixing of the effluent discharge, and to feed the terminal level and size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details — Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lerna) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	using appropriate mathematical models set out in Appendix D-I to	
contingency plan, to minimize the duration and impact of temporary and accidental discharges during operation stage of the Project.  APPENDIX D-1 (Hydrodynamic and Water Quality Modelling Requirements)  Modelling software general  1. The modelling software shall be fully 3-dimensional capable of accurately simulating the stratified condition, salinity transport, and effects of wind and tide on the water body within the model area.  2. The modelling software shall consist of hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport, thermal and particle dispersion modules. All modules shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  3. The hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport and thermal modules shall be strictly mass conserved at all levels.  4. An initial dilution model shall be used to characterize the initial mixing of the effluent discharge, and to feed the terminal level and size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details — Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lema) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	this EI A study brief; and	
temporary and accidental discharges during operation stage of the Project.  APPENDIX D-1 (Hydrodynamic and Water Quality Modelling Requirements)  Modelling software general  1. The modelling software shall be fully 3-dimensional capable of accurately simulating the stratified condition, salinity transport, and effects of wind and tide on the water body within the model area.  2. The modelling software shall consist of hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport, thermal and particle dispersion modules. All modules shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  3. The hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport and thermal modules shall be strictly mass conserved at all levels.  4. An initial dilution model shall be used to characterize the initial size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details — Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lema) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	(xvii). recommend appropriate mitigation measures, including a	Ditto
Project.  APPENDIX D-1 (Hydrodynamic and Water Quality Modelling Requirements)  Modelling software general  1. The modelling software shall be fully 3-dimensional capable of accurately simulating the stratified condition, salinity transport, and effects of wind and tide on the water body within the model area.  2. The modelling software shall consist of hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport, thermal and particle dispersion modules. All modules shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  3. The hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport and thermal modules shall be strictly mass conserved at all levels.  4. An initial dilution model shall be used to characterize the initial mixing of the effluent discharge, and to feed the terminal level and size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details — Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lema) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	contingency plan, to minimize the duration and impact of	
APPENDIX D-1 (Hydrodynamic and Water Quality Modelling Requirements)  Modelling software general  1. The modelling software shall be fully 3-dimensional capable of accurately simulating the stratified condition, salinity transport, and effects of wind and tide on the water body within the model area.  2. The modelling software shall consist of hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport, thermal and particle dispersion modules. All modules shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  3. The hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport and thermal modules shall be strictly mass conserved at all levels.  4. An initial dilution model shall be used to characterize the initial mixing of the effluent discharge, and to feed the terminal level and size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details — Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lerna) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	temporary and accidental discharges during operation stage of the	
Modelling software general   1. The modelling software shall be fully 3-dimensional capable of accurately simulating the stratified condition, salinity transport, and effects of wind and tide on the water body within the model area.   2. The modelling software shall consist of hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport, thermal and particle dispersion modules. All modules shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.   3. The hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport and thermal modules shall be strictly mass conserved at all levels.   4. An initial dilution model shall be used to characterize the initial mixing of the effluent discharge, and to feed the terminal level and size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.   Model details – Calibration & Validation   55.5    1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lema) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.   2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.   55.5	Project.	
Modelling software general  1. The modelling software shall be fully 3-dimensional capable of accurately simulating the stratified condition, salinity transport, and effects of wind and tide on the water body within the model area.  2. The modelling software shall consist of hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport, thermal and particle dispersion modules. All modules shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  3. The hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport and thermal modules shall be strictly mass conserved at all levels.  4. An initial dilution model shall be used to characterize the initial mixing of the effluent discharge, and to feed the terminal level and size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details — Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lerna) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	APPENDIX D-1 (Hydrodynamic and Water Quality Modelling	
1. The modelling software shall be fully 3-dimensional capable of accurately simulating the stratified condition, salinity transport, and effects of wind and tide on the water body within the model area.  2. The modelling software shall consist of hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport, thermal and particle dispersion modules. All modules shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  3. The hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport and thermal modules shall be strictly mass conserved at all levels.  4. An initial dilution model shall be used to characterize the initial mixing of the effluent discharge, and to feed the terminal level and size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details – Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lema) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	Requirements)	
accurately simulating the stratified condition, salinity transport, and effects of wind and tide on the water body within the model area.  2. The modelling software shall consist of hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport, thermal and particle dispersion modules. All modules shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  3. The hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport and thermal modules shall be strictly mass conserved at all levels.  4. An initial dilution model shall be used to characterize the initial mixing of the effluent discharge, and to feed the terminal level and size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details – Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lema) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	Modelling software general	S5.5
effects of wind and tide on the water body within the model area.  2. The modelling software shall consist of hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport, thermal and particle dispersion modules. All modules shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  3. The hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport and thermal modules shall be strictly mass conserved at all levels.  4. An initial dilution model shall be used to characterize the initial mixing of the effluent discharge, and to feed the terminal level and size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details — Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lerna) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	1. The modelling software shall be fully 3-dimensional capable of	
2. The modelling software shall consist of hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport, thermal and particle dispersion modules. All modules shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  3. The hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport and thermal modules shall be strictly mass conserved at all levels.  4. An initial dilution model shall be used to characterize the initial mixing of the effluent discharge, and to feed the terminal level and size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details – Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lema) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	accurately simulating the stratified condition, salinity transport, and	
quality, sediment transport, thermal and particle dispersion modules. All modules shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  3. The hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport and thermal modules shall be strictly mass conserved at all levels.  4. An initial dilution model shall be used to characterize the initial mixing of the effluent discharge, and to feed the terminal level and size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details – Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lema) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	effects of wind and tide on the water body within the model area.	
modules. All modules shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  3. The hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport and thermal modules shall be strictly mass conserved at all levels.  4. An initial dilution model shall be used to characterize the initial mixing of the effluent discharge, and to feed the terminal level and size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details – Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lema) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	2. The modelling software shall consist of hydrodynamic, water	S5.5
applications locally and overseas.  3. The hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport and thermal modules shall be strictly mass conserved at all levels.  4. An initial dilution model shall be used to characterize the initial mixing of the effluent discharge, and to feed the terminal level and size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details – Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lerna) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	quality, sediment transport, thermal and particle dispersion	
3. The hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport and thermal modules shall be strictly mass conserved at all levels.  4. An initial dilution model shall be used to characterize the initial mixing of the effluent discharge, and to feed the terminal level and size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details – Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lerna) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	modules. All modules shall have been proven with successful	
thermal modules shall be strictly mass conserved at all levels.  4. An initial dilution model shall be used to characterize the initial mixing of the effluent discharge, and to feed the terminal level and size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details – Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lema) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	applications locally and overseas.	
4. An initial dilution model shall be used to characterize the initial mixing of the effluent discharge, and to feed the terminal level and size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details – Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lerna) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	3. The hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport and	S5.5
mixing of the effluent discharge, and to feed the terminal level and size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details – Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lema) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	thermal modules shall be strictly mass conserved at all levels.	
size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details – Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lerna) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	4. An initial dilution model shall be used to characterize the initial	S5.5
necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details – Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lema) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	mixing of the effluent discharge, and to feed the terminal level and	
successful applications locally and overseas.  Model details – Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lerna) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where	
Model details – Calibration & Validation  1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lerna) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with	
1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lerna) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	successful applications locally and overseas.	
applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lerna) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	Model details - Calibration & Validation	S5.5
use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lerna) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.	1. The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against	
the Dangan (Lerna) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.  S5.5	applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their	
validation shall be agreed with EPD.  2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.  S5.5	use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and	
Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.  S5.5	the Dangan (Lerna) Channel. The field data set for calibration and	
and time domain manner.	validation shall be agreed with EPD.	
	2. Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency	S5.5
	and time domain manner.	
3. For the purpose of calibration and validation, the model shall run   S5.5	3. For the purpose of calibration and validation, the model shall run	S5.5

Study	Brief	Remark
for not less than 15 days of real s	equence of tide (excluding model	Appendix 5.3
spin up) in both dry and wet seas	ons with due consideration of the	
time required to establish initial of	onditions.	
4. In general the hydrodynamic	models shall be calibrated to the	Ditto
following criteria:		
Criteria	Level of fitness with field data	
• tidal elevation (@)	< 8 %	
maximum phase error at high	< 20 minutes	
water and low water		
maximum current speed	< 30 %	
deviation		
maximum phase error at	< 20 minutes	
peak speed		
maximum direction error at	< 1 5 degrees	
peak speed		
maximum salinity deviation	< 2.5 ppt	
@ Root mean square of the	error including the mean and	
fluctuating components shall mee	et the criteria at no less than 80%	
of the monitoring stations in the r	model domain	
5. The Applicant shall be respons	sible for acquiring/developing and	S5.5
calibration of the models for use	e in this study themselves. They	
may make reference to the mod	els developed under the Update	
on Cumulative Water Quality ar	d Hydrological Effect of Coastal	
Developments and Upgrading of	of Assessment Tool (Agreement	
No. CE 42/97). They may also	propose to use other models	
subject to agreement with EPD.		
Model details - Simulation		S5.5
1. The water quality modelling	g results shall be qualitatively	Appendices 5.4 – 5.7
explainable, and any identifiabl	e trend and variations in water	
quality shall be reproduced by the	e model. The water quality model	
shall be able to simulate and ta	ake account of the interaction of	
dissolved oxygen, phytoplankton	, organic and inorganic nitrogen,	
phosphorus, silicate, BOD, te	emperature, suspended solids,	
contaminants release of dredged	and disposed material, air-water	
exchange, E. coli and benthic p	processes. It shall also simulate	
salinity. Salinity results simulate	d by hydrodynamic models and	
water quality models shall be der	monstrated to be consistent.	
2. The sediment transport mo	dule for assessing impacts of	Ditto

Study Brief	Remark
sediment loss due to marine works shall include the processes of	
settling, deposition and re-erosion. The values of the modelling	
parameters shall be agreed with EPD. Contaminants release and	
DO depletion during dredging and dumping shall be simulated by	
the model.	
3. The models shall at least cover the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl	Appendix 5.2
Estuary and the Dangan Channel to incorporate all major	
influences on hydrodynamic and water quality. A fine grid model	
may be used for detailed assessment of this study. It shall either	
be linked to a far field model or form part of a larger model by	
gradual grid refinement. The coverage of the fine grid model shall	
be properly designed such that it is remote enough so that the	
boundary conditions will not be affected by the project. The model	
coverage area shall be agreed with EPD.	
4. In general, grid size at the area affected by the project shall be	Ditto
less than 400 m in open waters and less than 75 m around	
sensitive receivers. The grid shall also be able to reasonably	
represent coastal features existing and proposed in the project.	
The grid schematization shall be agreed with EPD.	
5. The Applicant shall submit a Water Quality Modelling Plan for	S5.5 and a WP on WIA presented
agreement with EPD before proceeding to modelling assessment.	the assessment methodology has
The Plan shall at least demonstrate that the models meet the	been submitted to EPD on 20 <sup>th</sup>
requirements as set out under the sections of Modelling software	October 2020 and revised WP was
general, Model details - Calibration & Validation and Model details	submitted on 6 <sup>th</sup> October 2021 for
- Simulation in this Appendix.	agreement with the Director.
Modelling assessment	S5.5
1. The assessment shall include the construction and operation	
phases of the project. Where appropriate, the assessment shall	
also include maintenance dredging. Scenarios to be assessed	
shall cover the baseline condition and scenarios with various	
different options proposed by the Applicant in order to quantify the	
environmental impacts and improvements that will be brought	
about by these options. Corresponding pollution load, bathymetry	
and coastline shall be adopted in the model set up.	
2. Hydrodynamic, sediment transport and thermal modules, where	Appendix 5.5
appropriate, shall be run for (with proper model spin up) at least a	
real sequence of 15 days spring-neap tidal cycle in both the dry	
season and the wet season. Water quality module shall run for a	

Study Brief	Remark
complete year incorporating monthly variations in Pearl River	
discharges, solar radiation, water temperature and wind velocity in	
the operational stage. If necessary, construction stage impacts	
may be assessed by simulating typical spring-neap cycles in the	
dry and wet seasons.	
3. For assessing temporary discharges via the emergency outfall,	Appendix 5.6, Appendix 5.7
the Applicant shall estimate discharge loading, pattern and	
duration. The worst case scenario shall include discharge near	
slack water of neap tide. A period of at least 15 days spring-neap	
cycle in wet season, but long enough for recovery of the receiving	
water, shall be simulated. Detailed methodology shall be agreed	
with EPD.	
4. The results shall be assessed for compliance of Water Quality	Noted
Objectives.	
5. The impact on all sensitive receivers shall be assessed.	Noted
6. Cumulative impacts due to other projects, activities or pollution	Noted
sources within a boundary to the agreement of EPD shall also be	
predicted and quantified.	
7. All modelling input data and results shall be submitted in digital	Noted
media to EPD upon request.	
APPENDIX E (Requirements for Assessment of Waste	
Management Implications)	
1. Analysis of Activities and Waste Generation	S6.5, S6.6
(i) - The Applicant shall identify the quantity, quality and timing of	
the wastes arising as a result of the construction and operation	
activities of the Project based on the sequence, duration, method	
and process of these activities, e.g. any dredged/excavated	
sediment/mud, construction and demolition (C&D) materials,	
floating refuse, sewage sludge, screening, grits, chemical waste	
and other wastes which will be generated during construction and	
operation stages.	
(ii) - The Applicant shall adopt appropriate design, general layout,	S6.6
construction methods and programme to minimize the generation	
of public fill/inert C&D materials and maximize the use of public	
fill/inert C&D materials for other construction works.	
2. Proposal for Waste Management	S6.6
(i) - Prior to considering the disposal options for various types of	
wastes, opportunities for reducing waste generation, on-site or off-	

Study Brief	Remark
site re-use and recycling shall be evaluated. Measures that can be	
taken in the planning and design stages e.g. by modifying the	
design approach and in the construction stage for maximizing	
waste reduction shall be separately considered;	
(ii) - After considering the opportunities for reducing waste	S6.6
generation and maximizing re-use, the types and quantities of the	
wastes required to be disposed of as a consequence shall be	
estimated and the disposal methods/options for each type of	
wastes shall be described. The disposal methods/options	
recommended for each type of wastes shall take into account the	
result of the assessment in section (iv) below;	
(iii) - The EIA report shall state the transportation routings and the	S6.6.1.9
frequency of the trucks/vessels involved, any barging point or	Table 6.2
conveyor system to be used, the stockpiling areas and the	
disposal outlets for the wastes identified; and	
(iv) - The impact caused by handling (including stockpiling,	S6.6
labelling, packaging & storage), collection, transportation and re-	
use/disposal of wastes shall be addressed and appropriate	
mitigation measures shall be proposed. This assessment shall	
cover the following areas:	
- potential hazard;	
- air and odour emissions;	
- noise;	
- wastewater discharge; and	
- public transport.	
APPENDIX F (Requirements for Land Contamination	
Assessment)	
1. The Applicant shall identify the potential land contamination	S7.4, S7.5
site(s) within the Project Area (Appendix A refers) and, if any, within	
the boundaries of associated areas (e.g. work areas) of the	
Project.	
2. The Applicant shall provide a clear and detailed account of the	S7.5
present land use (including description of the activities, chemicals	Appendix 7.1
and hazardous substances handled, with clear indication of their	
storage and location, by reference to a site layout plan) and a	
complete past land uses history, in chronological order, in relation	
to possible land contamination (including accident records and	
change of land use(s) and the like).	

Study Brief	Remark
3. If any contaminated land uses is identified, the Applicant shall	Appendix 7.1
carry out the land contamination assessment as detailed from sub-	
section (i) to (iii) below and propose measure to avoid disposal:-	
(i) - During the course of the EIA study, the Applicant shall submit	
a Contamination Assessment Plan (CAP) to the Director for	
endorsement prior to conducting an actual contamination impact	
assessment of the land or site(s). The CAP shall include proposal	
with details on representative sampling and analysis required to	
determine the nature and the extent of the contamination of the	
land or site(s). Alternatively, the Applicant may refer to other	
previously agreed and still relevant and valid CAP(s) for the	
concerned site(s).	
(ii) - Based on the endorsed CAP, the Applicant shall conduct a	As the identified potentially
land contamination impact assessment and submit a	contaminated sites are still in
Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) to the Director for	operation and there could be
endorsement. If land contamination is confirmed, a Remedial	change in site activities and land
Action Plan (RAP) to formulate viable remedial measures with	uses within the Project Area prior to
supporting documents, such as agreement by the relevant	development, sampling and
facilities management authorities, shall be submitted to the	analysis and submission of CAR /
Director for approval. The Applicant shall then clean up the	RAP were unable to be conducted
contaminated land or site(s) according to the approved RAP, and	during the course of the EIA study.
a Remediation Report (RR) to demonstrate adequate clean-up	
should be prepared and submitted to the Director for endorsement	
prior to the commencement of any development or redevelopment	
works within the Project Area. The CAP, CAR and RAP shall be	
documented in the EIA report.	
(iii) - If there are potential contaminated sites which are	
inaccessible for conducting sampling and analysis during the	
course of the EIA study, e.g. due to site access problem, the	
Applicant's CAP shall include :	
(a) a review of the available and relevant information;	S2 and S3 of Appendix 7.1
(b) an initial contamination evaluation of these sites and possible	S5 of Appendix 7.1
remediation methods;	
(c) a confirmation of whether the contamination problem at these	S5 of Appendix 7.1
sites would be surmountable;	
(d) a sampling and analysis proposal which shall aim at	S4 of Appendix 7.1
determining the nature and the extent of the contamination of	
these sites; and	

Study Brief	Remark
(e) where appropriate, a schedule of submission of revised or	S6 of Appendix 7.1
supplementary CAP, CAR, RAP and RR as soon as these sites	
become accessible.	
APPENDIX G (Requirements for Ecological Impact	
Assessment (Terrestrial and Aquatic))	
1. In the ecological impact assessment, the Applicant shall	S8.3 – S8.8
examine the flora, fauna and other components of the ecological	
habitats within the assessment area. The aim shall be to protect,	
maintain or rehabilitate the natural environment. In particular, the	
Project shall avoid or minimize impacts on recognised sites of	
conservation importance and other ecologically sensitive areas	
such as the areas zoned as "Green Belt" and "Conservation Area"	
on the relevant Outline Zoning Plans, the Tai Lam Country Park,	
the clean-up reedbed (to be established) and watercourses in the	
vicinity. The assessment shall identify and quantify as far as	
possible the potential ecological impacts to the natural	
environment and the associated wildlife groups and	
habitats/species arising from the Project including its construction	
and operation phases as well as the subsequent management and	
maintenance of the proposals.	
2. The assessment shall include the followings:	S8.4
(i) - Review of the findings of relevant studies/surveys and	
collection of the available information regarding the ecological	
characters of the assessment area;	
(ii) - Evaluation of information collected and identification of any	S8.4
information gap relating to the assessment of potential ecological	
impact, and determine the ecological field surveys and	
investigations that are needed for an impact assessment as	
required in the following sections;	
(iii) - Carrying out necessary field surveys of at least 6 months	S8.3
covering both the wet and dry seasons and the ardeid breeding	
season, and investigations to verify the information collected in (ii)	
above, to fill the information gaps identified and to fulfill the	
objectives of the EIA study;	
(iv) - Establishment of the general ecological profile of the	S8.4 – S8.6
assessment area based on data of relevant previous	Figure 8.3
studies/surveys and results of the ecological field surveys, if any,	Appendix 8.1
and description of the characteristics of each habitat found. Major	

Study Brief	Remark
information to be provided shall include :	
(a) description of the physical environment, including all	
recognized sites of conservation importance and other	
ecologically sensitive areas, and assessment of whether these	
sites/areas will be affected by the Project or not;	
(b) habitat maps of suitable scale (1:1000 to 1:5000) showing the	
types and locations of habitats/species in the assessment area;	
(c) ecological characteristics of each habitat type such as size,	
vegetation, type, species present, dominant species found,	
species diversity and abundance, community structure, seasonal	
pattern, ecological value and inter-dependence of the habitats and	
species, and presence of any features of ecological importance;	
(d) representative colour photos of each habitat type and any	
important ecological features identified; and	
(e) species found that are rare, endangered and/or listed under	
local legislation, international conventions for conservation of	
wildlife/ habitats or red data books.	
(v) - Investigation and description of the existing wildlife uses of	S8.5, S8.6
the various habitats with special attention to those wildlife groups	
and habitats with conservation interests, including but not limited	
to:	
(a) natural and man-made wetland habitats including	
watercourses, drainage channels, reedbed, marshes and others,	
if any;	
(b) breeding egrets and herons foraging in the wetland habitats	
above and their flight lines;	
(c) waterbirds, wetland-dependent and reedbed -associated bird	
species;	
(d) freshwater crabs, in particular Somanniathelphusa zanklon and	
Cryptopotamon anacoluthon', and	
(e) any other habitats or species identified as having special	
conservation interests by this study.	
(vi) - Using suitable methodology and considering also other	S8.7, S8.8
projects in the vicinity of the Project area reasonably likely to occur	
at the same time, identification and quantification as far as	
possible of any direct, indirect, on-site, off-site, primary, secondary	
and cumulative ecological impacts, such as destruction of habitats,	
reduction of species abundance/diversity, loss of roosting,	

Study Brief	Remark
breeding and feeding grounds, reduction of ecological carrying	
capacity, loss in ecological linkage and function, habitat	
fragmentation and any other possible disturbance caused by the	
Project, and in particular the followings:	
(a) noise, glare, dust and other human disturbance to wildlife in	
particular breeding ardeids, waterbirds, wetland-dependent and	
reedbed-associated bird species, freshwater crabs and sensitive	
wetland habitats in the vicinity such as reedbed and watercourses	
during construction and operation phases;	
(b) indirect ecological impacts due to changes in the water quality	
and hydrology, as a result of surface run-off, discharge of treated	
effluent and any associated disinfection activities, temporary	
sewage overflow, accidental discharge of untreated sewage, etc.	
in the watercourses, drainage channels, reedbed and other	
wetland habitats in the assessment area during construction and	
operation phases;	
(c) disturbance and obstruction of flight lines of breeding ardeids	
from major breeding sites to foraging grounds;	
(d) impacts on birds due to collision to buildings; and	
(e) cumulative impacts due to the Yuen Long South Development	
which will cause direct loss of habitats within the boundary of the	
Project, as well as the rising main for raw sewage and rising main	
for treated sewage effluent.	
(vii) - Evaluation of ecological impact based on the best and latest	S8.8
information available during the course of the EIA study, using	
quantitative approach as far as practicable and covering	
construction and operation phases of the Project as well as the	
subsequent management and maintenance requirement of the	
Project;	
(viii) - Recommendations for possible alternatives and practicable	S8.9
mitigation measures, such as restriction of works at specified	
season or time, adoption of appropriate construction methods	
and/or programme, to avoid, minimize and/or compensate for the	
adverse ecological impacts identified during construction and	
operation of the Project;	
(ix) - Evaluation of the feasibility and effectiveness of the	S8.9
recommended mitigation measures and definition of the scope,	
type, location, implementation arrangement, resources	

Study Brief	Remark
requirement, subsequent management and maintenance of such	
measures;	
(x) - Determination and quantification as far as possible of the	S8.10
residual ecological impacts after implementation of the proposed	
mitigation measures;	
(xi) - Evaluation of the significance and acceptability of the residual	S8.10
ecological impacts by making reference to the criteria in Annex 8	
of the TM; and	
(xii) - Review of the need for and recommendation on any	S8.11
ecological monitoring programme required.	
APPENDIX H (Requirements for Landscape and Visual Impact	
Assessment)	
1.The Applicant shall review relevant plan(s) and/or studies which	Noted
may identify areas of high landscape value and recommend	
country park, coastal protection area, green belt and conservation	
area designations. Any guidelines on landscape and urban design	
strategies and frameworks that may affect the appreciation of the	
Project shall also be reviewed. The aim is to gain an insight to the	
future outlook of the area affected so as to assess whether the	
Project can fit into the surrounding setting. Any conflict with the	
statutory town plan(s) and any published land use plans shall be	
highlighted and appropriate follow-up action shall be	
recommended.	
2.The Applicant shall describe, appraise, analyse and evaluate the	S9.5, S9.6
existing and planned landscape resources and character of the	Figure 9.3 – 9.6
assessment area. A system shall be derived for judging landscape	
and visual impact significance. Annotated oblique aerial	
photographs and plans of suitable scale showing the baseline	
landscape character areas and landscape resources and mapping	
of impact assessment shall be extensively used to present the	
findings of impact assessment. Descriptive text shall provide a	
concise and reasoned judgment from a landscape and visual point	
of view. The sensitivity of the landscape framework and its ability	
to accommodate change shall be particularly focused on. The	
Applicant shall identify the degree of compatibility of the Project	
with the existing and planned landscape setting, recreation and	
tourism related uses, and scenic spot. The landscape impact	
assessment shall quantify the potential landscape impact as far as	

Study Brief	Remark
possible so as to illustrate the significance of such impacts arising	
from the proposed development. Clear mapping of the landscape	
impact is required. Tree survey shall be earned out and the	
impacts on existing trees shall be addressed. Cumulative	
landscape and visual impacts of the Project with other committed	
and planned developments shall be assessed.	
3.The Applicant shall assess the visual impacts of the Project.	S9.5, S9.6
Clear illustration including mapping of visual impact is required.	Figure 9.7, Figure 9.8
The assessment shall include the following:	
(i) - identification and plotting of visual envelope of the Project;	
(ii) - appraisal of existing visual resources and character as well as	\$9.5
the future outlook of the visual system of the assessment area;	Figure 9.7, Figure 9.8
(iii) - identification of the key groups of existing and planned	S9.5
sensitive receivers within the visual envelope with regard to views	Figure 9.7, Figure 9.8
from ground level, sea level and elevated vantage points;	
(iv) - description of the visual compatibility of the Project with the	S9.6
surrounding and the planned setting, and its obstruction and	Figure 9.7, Figure 9.8
interference with the key views of the study areas; and	
(v) - identification of the severity of visual impacts in terms of	S9.5, S9.6
distance, nature and number of sensitive receivers. The visual	Figure 9.7, Figure 9.8
impacts of the Project with and without mitigation measures shall	
be included so as to demonstrate the effectiveness of the	
proposed mitigation measures.	
4. The Applicant shall evaluate the merits of preservation in totality,	S9.6, S9.7
in parts or total destruction of existing landscape and the	Figure 9.9 – 9.14
establishment of a new landscape character area. In addition,	
alternative location, layout, design, built-form and construction	
method that will avoid or reduce the identified landscape and	
visual impacts shall be evaluated for comparison before adopting	
other mitigation or compensatory measures to alleviate the	
impacts. The mitigation measures proposed shall not only be	
concerned with damage reduction but shall also include	
consideration of potential enhancement of existing landscape and	
visual quality. The Applicant shall recommend mitigation measures	
to minimize adverse effects identified above, including provision of	
a master landscape plan.	
5. The mitigation measures shall also include the preservation of	S9.7, S12
vegetation, transplanting trees in good condition and value,	Figure 9.9 – 9.14

Study Brief	Remark
provision of screen planting, re-vegetation of disturbed lands,	
compensatory planting, woodland restoration, design of structure,	
provision of finishes to structure, colour scheme and texture of	
material used and any measures to mitigate the impact on the	
existing and planned land use and visually sensitive receivers.	
Parties shall be identified for the on going management and	
maintenance of the proposed mitigation works to ensure their	
effectiveness throughout the construction phase and operation	
phase of the Project, associated works, supporting facilities and	
essential infrastructures. A practical programme and funding	
proposal for the implementation of the recommendation measures	
shall be provided.	
6. Annotated illustration materials such as colour perspective	Figure 9.1 – 9.14
drawings, plans and section/elevation diagrams, annotated	
oblique aerial photographs, photographs taken at vantage points,	
and computer-generated photomontage shall be adopted to fully	
illustrate the landscape and visual impacts of the Project. In	
particular, the landscape and visual impacts of the Project with and	
without mitigation measures from representative viewpoints,	
particularly from views of the most severely affected visually	
sensitive receivers (i.e. worst case scenario), shall be properly	
illustrated in existing and planned setting at four stages (existing	
condition, Day 1 with no mitigation measures, Day 1 with mitigation	
measures and Year 10 with mitigation measures) by computer-	
generated photomontage so as to demonstrate the effectiveness	
of the proposed mitigation measures. Computer graphics shall be	
compatible with Microstation DGN file format. The Applicant shall	
record the technical details in preparing the illustration, which may	
need to be submitted for verification of the accuracy of the	
illustration.	
APPENDIX I (Requirements for Hazard to Life Assessment)	
Biogas	S10.5 – S10.8
1. The Applicant shall investigate methods to avoid and/or	
minimize biogas risk during the operation stages of the Project.	
The Applicant shall carry out hazard assessment to evaluate	
potential hazard to life due to biogas.	
2. The hazard assessment shall include the following.	S10.5
(i) - Identify hazardous scenarios associated with the generation,	

Study Brief	Remark
storage, utilization, processing and transmission (if applicable) of	
biogas due to the Project and then determine a set of relevant	
scenarios to be included in a Quantitative Risk Assessment (QRA);	
(ii) - Execute a QRA of the set of hazardous scenarios determined	S10.8
in sub-section (i) above, expressing population risks in both	
individual and societal terms;	
(iii) - Compare individual and societal risks with the criteria for	S10.8
evaluating hazard to life stipulated in Annex 4 of the TM; and	
(iv) - Identify and assess practicable and cost-effective risk	S10.9
mitigation measures.	
3. The methodology to be used in the hazard assessment shall be	S10.3.
consistent with previous studies having similar issues (e.g.	
Development of Organic Waste Treatment Facilities, Phase 2).	
Chlorine and other non-fuel gas DGs	S10.1
4. The Applicant shall investigate methods to avoid and/or	
minimize risks from chlorine and other DGs defined in Dangerous	
Goods Ordinance (Cap. 295) but not covered by Gas Safety	
Ordinance (Cap. 51), i.e. non-fuel gas DGs. If chlorine/other non-	
fuel gas DGs will be stored and used in the Project, the Applicant	
shall carry out hazard assessment to evaluate potential hazard to	
life due to chlorine/other non-fuel gas DGs.	
5. The hazard assessment shall include the following:	S10.1
(i) - Identify hazardous scenarios associated with the transport,	
storage, manufacture and use of chlorine/other non-fuel gas DGs	
due to the Project and then determine a set of relevant scenarios	
to be included in a Quantitative Risk Assessment (QRA);	
(ii) - Execute a QRA of the set of hazardous scenarios determined	S10.1
in (5)(i), expressing population risks in both individual and societal	
terms;	
(iii) - Compare individual and societal risks with the criteria for	S10.1
evaluating hazard to life stipulated in Annex 4 of the TM; and	
(iv) - Identity and assess practicable and cost-effective risk	S10.1
mitigation measures.	
6. The methodology to be used in the hazard assessment shall be	Noted
consistent with previous studies having similar issues.	
APPENDIX K (Requirements for EIA Report Documents)	
1. The Applicant shall supply the Director with the following	Noted
number of copies of the EIA report and the executive summary:	

Study Brief	Remark
(i) - 30 copies of the EIA report and 30 copies of the bilingual (in	
both English and Chinese) executive summary as required under	
section 6(2) of the EIAO to be supplied at the time of application	
for approval of the EIA report.	
(ii) - When necessary, addendum to the EIA report and the	Noted
executive summary submitted in item (i) above as required under	
section 7(1) of the EIAO, to be supplied upon advice by the	
Director for public inspection.	
(iii) - 20 copies of the EIA report and 50 copies of the bilingual (in	Noted
both English and Chinese) executive summary with or without	
Addendum as required under section 7(5) of the EIAO, to be	
supplied upon advice by the Director for consultation with the	
Advisory Council on the Environment.	
2. To facilitate public inspection of EIA report via EIAO Internet	Noted
Website, the Applicant shall provide electronic copies of both the	
EIA report and the executive summary prepared in HyperText	
Markup Language (HTML) and in Portable Document Format	
(PDF), unless otherwise agreed by the Director. For both of the	
HTML and PDF versions, a content page capable of providing	
hyperlink to each section and sub-section of the EIA report and the	
executive summary shall be included in the beginning of the	
document. Hyperlinks to figures, drawings and tables in the EIA	
report and the executive summary shall be provided in the main	
text from where respective references are made. The EIA report,	
including drawings, tables, figures and appendices shall be	
viewable by common web-browsers including Internet Explorer 8,	
Firefox 23, Chorme and Safari 8 or later versions as agreed by the	
Director, and support languages including Traditional Chinese,	
Simplified Chinese and English.	
3. The electronic copies of the EIA report and the executive	Noted
summary shall be submitted to the Director at the time of	
application for approval of the EIA report.	
4. When the EIA report and the executive summary are made	Noted
available for public inspection under section 7(1) of the EIAO, the	
content of the electronic copies of the EIA report and the executive	
summary must be the same as the hard copies and the Director	
shall be provided with the most updated electronic copies.	
5. To promote environmentally friendly and efficient dissemination	Noted

Study Brief	Remark
of information, both hardcopies and electronic copies of future	
EM&A reports recommended by the EIA study shall be required	
and their format shall be agreed by the Director.	